

Potential Priority Populations	Quantitative Data Analysis	Geographic Areas	Family Engagement Efforts	Findings From Family Engagements				
<p><b>Children under age 3</b></p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="413 266 849 428"> <p># Children Birth to 3: Jackson Co: 7,890 Josephine Co: 2,687 (Source: PDG Data)</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="413 428 849 688"> <p># Children Served: Jackson: 732 (9%) Josephine: 306 (11%) Publicly-Funded 100% FPL: Jackson: 171 (8%) Josephine: 115 (16%) (Source: PDG Data)</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="413 688 849 915"> <p>Disparities Observed: Our region lacks access to slots for infant/toddler, regardless of ability to pay, in both counties. When income is considered, access is much more limited.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="413 915 849 1421"> <p>Given that expansion for 2020-2021 is focused on preschool expansion rather than an emphasis infant/toddler expansion, our region would like state that infant/toddler care is very needed in our region and we hope to see funding expansion prioritized in the near future.</p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p># Children Birth to 3: Jackson Co: 7,890 Josephine Co: 2,687 (Source: PDG Data)</p>	<p># Children Served: Jackson: 732 (9%) Josephine: 306 (11%) Publicly-Funded 100% FPL: Jackson: 171 (8%) Josephine: 115 (16%) (Source: PDG Data)</p>	<p>Disparities Observed: Our region lacks access to slots for infant/toddler, regardless of ability to pay, in both counties. When income is considered, access is much more limited.</p>	<p>Given that expansion for 2020-2021 is focused on preschool expansion rather than an emphasis infant/toddler expansion, our region would like state that infant/toddler care is very needed in our region and we hope to see funding expansion prioritized in the near future.</p>	<p>There is very limited access to publicly-funded early learning opportunities in all regions of Southern Oregon.</p> <p>Given that ACS child population data do not separate 0-2 and 3-5, we cannot determine reach rate by city for this population. However, the low percentages of children served per county as represented by the PDG data, demonstrates high need across our region.</p> <p>Currently, there are only a few cities with access to publicly-funded 0-3 slots: Ashland, Cave Junction, Grants Pass, and Medford. Many of our more rural locations with high poverty rates lack access.</p>	<p>WE RECEIVED 398 FAMILY ENGAGEMENT SURVEYS INCLUSIVE OF ALL PRIORITY POPULATIONS, REPRESENTING MAJORITY OF CITIES ACROSS THE REGION: So many of our partners serve several of our priority populations, so we sent electronic and paper surveys to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Addictions Recovery Center</li> <li>Children's Advocacy Center</li> <li>Choices</li> <li>Community Works</li> <li>Early Head Start and Head Start</li> <li>DHS SS and CW</li> <li>Bridging Communities</li> <li>Perinatal Task Force</li> <li>Members</li> <li>EI/ECSE in both counties</li> <li>FACT Oregon</li> <li>Family Nurturing Center</li> <li>Family Solutions</li> <li>Jackson County Mental Health</li> <li>Jackson County Foster Parent Association</li> <li>Kaleidoscope Facilitators</li> <li>Preschool Promise</li> <li>Programs</li> </ul>	<p><b>Priority Population Response:</b> 53% of the 398 family respondents have at least one child under age 3. 15% are enrolled in EHS. 12% receive EI services. 26% report not being connected to any publicly-funded programs for children under 3. Only 5 families stated they do not want or need child care.</p> <p><b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. quality of staff/child interactions</li> <li>2. clean environment with variety of materials</li> <li>3. hours that meet the families needs</li> </ol> <p><b>Other factors:</b> Families from 10 of the 15 cities listed cost as a driving factor. Families from 7 of the 15 cities cited proximity to home or work as very important and all of these responses were from rural communities.</p> <p><b>Open ended comments listed:</b> Long waitlists (2+years) for EHS Limited options in all 0-2 programs Feeling forced to select a lower quality program because that is what was available A need for evening and weekend hours to accommodate work schedules.</p>
<p># Children Birth to 3: Jackson Co: 7,890 Josephine Co: 2,687 (Source: PDG Data)</p>								
<p># Children Served: Jackson: 732 (9%) Josephine: 306 (11%) Publicly-Funded 100% FPL: Jackson: 171 (8%) Josephine: 115 (16%) (Source: PDG Data)</p>								
<p>Disparities Observed: Our region lacks access to slots for infant/toddler, regardless of ability to pay, in both counties. When income is considered, access is much more limited.</p>								
<p>Given that expansion for 2020-2021 is focused on preschool expansion rather than an emphasis infant/toddler expansion, our region would like state that infant/toddler care is very needed in our region and we hope to see funding expansion prioritized in the near future.</p>								

<p><b>Children without early learning opportunities prior to kindergarten</b></p>	<p><b># Children under 5:</b>  <b>Jackson Co: 12,033</b>                  Under 200% FPL: 7,915 (65%)  <b>Josephine Co: 4,079</b>                  Under 200% FPL: 2,882 (71%)                  (Source: PDG Data)</p> <p><b># Children Served:</b>  <b>Jackson: 3,079</b> (26%)  <b>Jackson Publicly-Funded:</b>                  100% FPL: 813 (26%)                  101-200% FPL: 72 (2%)  <b>Josephine: 1,113</b> (27%)  <b>Josephine Publicly-Funded:</b>                  100% FPL: 365 (33%)                  101-200% FPL: 46 (4%)                  (Source: PDG Data)</p> <p><b>Disparities Observed:</b> Our region lacks access to slots for 0-5, regardless of ability to pay, in both counties. As noted in the next column, 9 of our 22 cities lack publicly-funded programs.</p>	<p>Reach Rate for publicly-funded programs for children 0-5, by city (cities with at least 20% of families with children 0-5 at or below 100% FPL are highlighted in red):</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="943 250 1634 1149"> <thead> <tr> <th>City</th> <th># Slots</th> <th># Pop under 5</th> <th>% Served – Reach Rate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Ashland</td><td>206</td><td>619</td><td>33%</td></tr> <tr><td>Butte Falls</td><td>0</td><td>31</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Cave Junction</td><td>77</td><td>75</td><td>102% (CJ child population may be underrepresented due to community under responding to census survey)</td></tr> <tr><td>Central Point</td><td>95</td><td>1,176</td><td>8%</td></tr> <tr><td>Eagle Point</td><td>74</td><td>748</td><td>10%</td></tr> <tr><td>Gold Hill</td><td>18</td><td>73</td><td>25%</td></tr> <tr><td>Grants Pass</td><td>371</td><td>2,403</td><td>15%</td></tr> <tr><td>Jacksonville</td><td>0</td><td>47</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Medford</td><td>583</td><td>5,802</td><td>10%</td></tr> <tr><td>Merlin</td><td>20</td><td>41</td><td>49%</td></tr> <tr><td>Phoenix</td><td>88</td><td>136</td><td>65%</td></tr> <tr><td>Rogue River</td><td>25</td><td>184</td><td>14%</td></tr> <tr><td>Ruch</td><td>0</td><td>75</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Shady Cove</td><td>0</td><td>475</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Talent</td><td>0</td><td>347</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Trail</td><td>0</td><td>24</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>White City</td><td>59</td><td>650</td><td>9%</td></tr> <tr><td>Williams</td><td>20</td><td>108</td><td>19%</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NOTE: O'Brien, Prospect, Selma and Wimer have 0 listed in ACS for child population under 5, although they exist. Opportunities are needed in these communities. (Sources: ACS 2017 and 2019 Public-funded program data from PSP, HS, OPK from local programs)</p>	City	# Slots	# Pop under 5	% Served – Reach Rate	Ashland	206	619	33%	Butte Falls	0	31	0%	Cave Junction	77	75	102% (CJ child population may be underrepresented due to community under responding to census survey)	Central Point	95	1,176	8%	Eagle Point	74	748	10%	Gold Hill	18	73	25%	Grants Pass	371	2,403	15%	Jacksonville	0	47	0%	Medford	583	5,802	10%	Merlin	20	41	49%	Phoenix	88	136	65%	Rogue River	25	184	14%	Ruch	0	75	0%	Shady Cove	0	475	0%	Talent	0	347	0%	Trail	0	24	0%	White City	59	650	9%	Williams	20	108	19%	<p><b>List Cont. From Prev. Page:</b>                  LISTO                  Maslow Project                  Nurse Family Partnership                  Oasis                  Oregon Child Development Council                  OnTrack                  Options of Southern Oregon                  Siskiyou Community Health Center                  The Family Connection                  Grants Pass Treatment Center                  Women's Crisis Support Team                  Young Parent Group Facilitators                  Rogue Challenge                  UCAN</p>	<p><b>Priority Population Response:</b>                  23% of respondents report their children are not in an early learning program. When asked what prevents them from using child care or preschool, 71% cited cost as at least one of the top reasons.</p> <p><b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b>                  1. Quality of staff/child interactions                  2. Clean environment with variety of materials                  3. Cost</p>
City	# Slots	# Pop under 5	% Served – Reach Rate																																																																													
Ashland	206	619	33%																																																																													
Butte Falls	0	31	0%																																																																													
Cave Junction	77	75	102% (CJ child population may be underrepresented due to community under responding to census survey)																																																																													
Central Point	95	1,176	8%																																																																													
Eagle Point	74	748	10%																																																																													
Gold Hill	18	73	25%																																																																													
Grants Pass	371	2,403	15%																																																																													
Jacksonville	0	47	0%																																																																													
Medford	583	5,802	10%																																																																													
Merlin	20	41	49%																																																																													
Phoenix	88	136	65%																																																																													
Rogue River	25	184	14%																																																																													
Ruch	0	75	0%																																																																													
Shady Cove	0	475	0%																																																																													
Talent	0	347	0%																																																																													
Trail	0	24	0%																																																																													
White City	59	650	9%																																																																													
Williams	20	108	19%																																																																													

<p><b>Children experiencing a delay or disability</b></p>	<p><b># Eligible Children:</b> Number of children in region with disability not available</p>	<p><b>Percentage of children 0-5 on IFSP being served in publicly-funded programs, by city:</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>City</th> <th># IFSPs</th> <th># Children</th> <th>% IFSP</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Ashland</td><td>26</td><td>207</td><td>13%</td></tr> <tr><td>Butte Falls</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Cave Junction</td><td>6</td><td>77</td><td>8%</td></tr> <tr><td>Central Point</td><td>5</td><td>95</td><td>5%</td></tr> <tr><td>Eagle Point</td><td>4</td><td>74</td><td>5%</td></tr> <tr><td>Gold Hill</td><td>2</td><td>18</td><td>11%</td></tr> <tr><td>Grants Pass</td><td>27</td><td>371</td><td>7%</td></tr> <tr><td>Jacksonville</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Medford</td><td>67</td><td>583</td><td>11%</td></tr> <tr><td>Merlin</td><td>4</td><td>20</td><td>20%</td></tr> <tr><td>O'Brien</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Phoenix</td><td>10</td><td>88</td><td>11%</td></tr> <tr><td>Prospect</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Rogue River</td><td>2</td><td>25</td><td>8%</td></tr> <tr><td>Ruch</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Sams Valley</td><td>0</td><td>18</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Selma</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Shady Cove</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Talent</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Trail</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>White City</td><td>9</td><td>59</td><td>15%</td></tr> <tr><td>Williams</td><td>0</td><td>20</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Wimer</td><td>NA</td><td>207</td><td>13%</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(Sources: 2019 Public-funded program data from PSP, HS, OPK from local programs) NOTE: if city does not have publicly-funded early learning, NA is noted.</p>	City	# IFSPs	# Children	% IFSP	Ashland	26	207	13%	Butte Falls	NA			Cave Junction	6	77	8%	Central Point	5	95	5%	Eagle Point	4	74	5%	Gold Hill	2	18	11%	Grants Pass	27	371	7%	Jacksonville	NA			Medford	67	583	11%	Merlin	4	20	20%	O'Brien	NA			Phoenix	10	88	11%	Prospect	NA			Rogue River	2	25	8%	Ruch	NA			Sams Valley	0	18	0%	Selma	NA			Shady Cove	NA			Talent	NA			Trail	NA			White City	9	59	15%	Williams	0	20		Wimer	NA	207	13%	<p><b>The partners above include:</b> EI/ECSE FACT Oregon Kairos Options Bridging Communities. These partners focus exclusively on supporting families with children experiencing a delay or disability. Additionally, many programs already have families with children experiencing disability.</p>	<p><b>Priority Population Response:</b> 21% of respondents have at least one child with a disability or delay. 9.9% have at least one child with a mental health condition.</p> <p><b>The top four preferences for child care include:</b> 1. Quality of staff/child interactions 2. Clean environment with variety of materials 3. Cost 4. Accommodations for child's disability needs.</p> <p><b>Open-ended comments:</b> Staff at early learning programs need training on how to better support children with delays or disabilities.</p> <p>Environments need to focus more on inclusion and accommodating needs so all children can play outside on playgrounds and play in all activities.</p> <p>One parent suggested a parent conference helping parents navigate the complexities of the respite care system would be helpful.</p>
	City		# IFSPs	# Children	% IFSP																																																																																															
Ashland	26	207	13%																																																																																																	
Butte Falls	NA																																																																																																			
Cave Junction	6	77	8%																																																																																																	
Central Point	5	95	5%																																																																																																	
Eagle Point	4	74	5%																																																																																																	
Gold Hill	2	18	11%																																																																																																	
Grants Pass	27	371	7%																																																																																																	
Jacksonville	NA																																																																																																			
Medford	67	583	11%																																																																																																	
Merlin	4	20	20%																																																																																																	
O'Brien	NA																																																																																																			
Phoenix	10	88	11%																																																																																																	
Prospect	NA																																																																																																			
Rogue River	2	25	8%																																																																																																	
Ruch	NA																																																																																																			
Sams Valley	0	18	0%																																																																																																	
Selma	NA																																																																																																			
Shady Cove	NA																																																																																																			
Talent	NA																																																																																																			
Trail	NA																																																																																																			
White City	9	59	15%																																																																																																	
Williams	0	20																																																																																																		
Wimer	NA	207	13%																																																																																																	
<p><b># Children Served</b> <b>Jackson County:</b> EI- 258 (3.8%) ECSE- 413 (5.6%) <b># Children Served Josephine County:</b> EI- 90 (4.1%) ECSE- 134 (5.2%) (Source: PDG Data)</p> <p><b>Disparities Observed:</b> The biggest disparity is that so few children have access to state-funded programs that are required to assess children and are more likely to refer families to EI/ECSE services.</p>																																																																																																				

<p><b>Rural communities</b></p>	<p><b># Children 0-5:</b>                  Jackson Co: 2,779                  Josephine Co: 224                  (Source: ACS 2017; excluded Ashland, Central Point, Grants Pass and Medford based upon city population)</p>	<p><b>Reach Rate for publicly-funded programs for children 0-5, by rural city.</b> Cities with at least 20% of families with children 0-5 at or below 100% FPL are highlighted in red. Ashland, Central Point, Grants Pass and Medford were excluded due to population size.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>City</th> <th># Served</th> <th># Pop</th> <th>% Served</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Butte Falls</td> <td>20</td> <td>31</td> <td>65%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cave Junction</td> <td>77</td> <td>75</td> <td>102% (CJ may be underrepresented due to community under responding to census survey);</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Eagle Point</td> <td>74</td> <td>748</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gold Hill</td> <td>18</td> <td>73</td> <td>25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jacksonville</td> <td>0</td> <td>47</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Merlin</td> <td>20</td> <td>41</td> <td>49%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Phoenix</td> <td>88</td> <td>136</td> <td>65%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rogue River</td> <td>25</td> <td>184</td> <td>14%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ruch</td> <td>0</td> <td>75</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Shady Cove</td> <td>0</td> <td>475</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Talent</td> <td>0</td> <td>347</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trail</td> <td>0</td> <td>24</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>White City</td> <td>59</td> <td>650</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Williams</td> <td>20</td> <td>108</td> <td>19%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NOTE: O'Brien, Prospect, Selma and Wimer have 0 listed in ACS for child population under 5, although they exist. Opportunities are needed in these rural communities. (Sources: ACS 2017 and 2019 Public-funded program data from PSP, HS, OPK from local programs. Sources for Table to left: ACS 2017 and CCRN Provider Report, November 2019)</p>	City	# Served	# Pop	% Served	Butte Falls	20	31	65%	Cave Junction	77	75	102% (CJ may be underrepresented due to community under responding to census survey);	Eagle Point	74	748	10%	Gold Hill	18	73	25%	Jacksonville	0	47	0%	Merlin	20	41	49%	Phoenix	88	136	65%	Rogue River	25	184	14%	Ruch	0	75	0%	Shady Cove	0	475	0%	Talent	0	347	0%	Trail	0	24	0%	White City	59	650	9%	Williams	20	108	19%	<p><b>The partners above include:</b>                  Choices                  Early Head Start and Head Start                  DHS SS and CW                  Perinatal Task Force                  Members                  EI/ECSE in both counties                  FACT Oregon                  Family Nurturing Center                  Kaleidoscope Facilitators                  Preschool Promise                  Programs                  Oregon Child Development Council                  Options of Southern Oregon                  Siskiyou Community Health Center                  The Family Connection                  Grants Pass Treatment Center                  Women's Crisis Support Team                  Young Parent Group                  Facilitators                  Rogue Challenge                  UCAN</p>	<p><b>Priority Population Response:</b>                  18% of respondents report living in a rural community.                  40% state that child care close to home is an important factor when considering a child care facility.                  77% report they need child care for work.                  33% state lack of access in their areas and/or long wait lists is preventing them from accessing care.</p> <p><b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b>                  1. quality of staff/child interactions                  2. clean environment with variety of materials                  3. cost</p>																
	City		# Served	# Pop	% Served																																																																											
	Butte Falls		20	31	65%																																																																											
	Cave Junction		77	75	102% (CJ may be underrepresented due to community under responding to census survey);																																																																											
	Eagle Point		74	748	10%																																																																											
	Gold Hill		18	73	25%																																																																											
	Jacksonville		0	47	0%																																																																											
	Merlin		20	41	49%																																																																											
	Phoenix		88	136	65%																																																																											
	Rogue River		25	184	14%																																																																											
Ruch	0	75	0%																																																																													
Shady Cove	0	475	0%																																																																													
Talent	0	347	0%																																																																													
Trail	0	24	0%																																																																													
White City	59	650	9%																																																																													
Williams	20	108	19%																																																																													
<p><b># Children 0-5 Served:</b>                  Jackson Co:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>City</th> <th># Served</th> <th># Pop</th> <th>% Served</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BF</td> <td>20</td> <td>31</td> <td>65%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EP</td> <td>80</td> <td>748</td> <td>11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GH</td> <td>19</td> <td>73</td> <td>26%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jville</td> <td>0</td> <td>47</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Phx</td> <td>151</td> <td>136</td> <td>111%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prospect</td> <td>0</td> <td>*</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RR</td> <td>74</td> <td>184</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ruch</td> <td>17</td> <td>75</td> <td>23%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Shady Cove</td> <td>25</td> <td>475</td> <td>5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Talent</td> <td>20</td> <td>347</td> <td>6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trail</td> <td>16</td> <td>24</td> <td>67%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>White City</td> <td>146</td> <td>650</td> <td>22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wimer</td> <td>0</td> <td>*</td> <td>0*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CJ</td> <td>69</td> <td>75</td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Merlin</td> <td>0</td> <td>41</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>O'Brien</td> <td>0</td> <td>*</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Selma</td> <td>0</td> <td>*</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Williams</td> <td>20</td> <td>108</td> <td>19%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wolf Crk</td> <td>20</td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	City	# Served	# Pop	% Served	BF	20	31	65%	EP	80	748	11%	GH	19	73	26%	Jville	0	47	0%	Phx	151	136	111%	Prospect	0	*	0%	RR	74	184	40%	Ruch	17	75	23%	Shady Cove	25	475	5%	Talent	20	347	6%	Trail	16	24	67%	White City	146	650	22%	Wimer	0	*	0*	CJ	69	75	92%	Merlin	0	41	0%	O'Brien	0	*	0%	Selma	0	*	0%	Williams	20	108	19%	Wolf Crk	20	*	*
City	# Served	# Pop	% Served																																																																													
BF	20	31	65%																																																																													
EP	80	748	11%																																																																													
GH	19	73	26%																																																																													
Jville	0	47	0%																																																																													
Phx	151	136	111%																																																																													
Prospect	0	*	0%																																																																													
RR	74	184	40%																																																																													
Ruch	17	75	23%																																																																													
Shady Cove	25	475	5%																																																																													
Talent	20	347	6%																																																																													
Trail	16	24	67%																																																																													
White City	146	650	22%																																																																													
Wimer	0	*	0*																																																																													
CJ	69	75	92%																																																																													
Merlin	0	41	0%																																																																													
O'Brien	0	*	0%																																																																													
Selma	0	*	0%																																																																													
Williams	20	108	19%																																																																													
Wolf Crk	20	*	*																																																																													
<p><b>Disparities Observed:</b> 6 of the 19 rural communities lack access to early learning opportunities in their community. 10 of these cities lack access to publicly-funded early learning programs.                  *= no child pop listed for city.</p>																																																																																

<p><b>Spanish-speaking families</b></p>	<p><b># Children 5-17:</b> Jackson 4,085 Josephine 811 (PDG Data)</p>	<p><b>Percentage of Spanish speaking providers compared to percentage of families with Spanish as home language, by city.</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>City</th> <th>% Spanish as Home Language</th> <th>% SP Providers</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Ashland</td><td>2%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Butte Falls</td><td>3%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Cave Junction</td><td>3%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Central Point</td><td>6%</td><td>21%</td></tr> <tr><td>Eagle Point</td><td>1%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Gold Hill</td><td>1%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Grants Pass</td><td>6%</td><td>2%</td></tr> <tr><td>Jacksonville</td><td>NA</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Medford</td><td>11%</td><td>17%</td></tr> <tr><td>Merlin</td><td>1%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Phoenix</td><td>2%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Rogue River</td><td>1%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Ruch</td><td>2%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Shady Cove</td><td>3%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>Talent</td><td>10%</td><td>14%</td></tr> <tr><td>Trail</td><td>0%</td><td>0%</td></tr> <tr><td>White City</td><td>24%</td><td>23%</td></tr> <tr><td>Williams</td><td>0%</td><td>0%</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	City	% Spanish as Home Language	% SP Providers	Ashland	2%	0%	Butte Falls	3%	0%	Cave Junction	3%	0%	Central Point	6%	21%	Eagle Point	1%	0%	Gold Hill	1%	0%	Grants Pass	6%	2%	Jacksonville	NA		Medford	11%	17%	Merlin	1%	0%	Phoenix	2%	0%	Rogue River	1%	0%	Ruch	2%	0%	Shady Cove	3%	0%	Talent	10%	14%	Trail	0%	0%	White City	24%	23%	Williams	0%	0%	<p>In the larger outreach, we shared electronic and paper surveys with LISTO, a culturally specific family literacy program.</p>	<p><b>Priority Population Response:</b> 14.6% report Spanish as home language.</p> <p><b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Quality of staff/child interactions</li> <li>2. Clean environment with variety of materials</li> <li>3. Hours that meet the families' needs.</li> </ol> <p><b>Open-ended comments:</b> When asked what prevents them from accessing care, 48% said cost, 38% said long waits lists, and 24% said transportation.</p>
	City		% Spanish as Home Language	% SP Providers																																																									
Ashland	2%	0%																																																											
Butte Falls	3%	0%																																																											
Cave Junction	3%	0%																																																											
Central Point	6%	21%																																																											
Eagle Point	1%	0%																																																											
Gold Hill	1%	0%																																																											
Grants Pass	6%	2%																																																											
Jacksonville	NA																																																												
Medford	11%	17%																																																											
Merlin	1%	0%																																																											
Phoenix	2%	0%																																																											
Rogue River	1%	0%																																																											
Ruch	2%	0%																																																											
Shady Cove	3%	0%																																																											
Talent	10%	14%																																																											
Trail	0%	0%																																																											
White City	24%	23%																																																											
Williams	0%	0%																																																											
<p><b># Children Served:</b> <b>Preschool Promise:</b> <b>Jackson:</b> 67/148 (45%) children being served who have Spanish as home language <b>Josephine:</b> 0/54 children being served who have Spanish as home language <b>Head Start/OPK:</b> <b>Jackson/Josephine:</b> 97/1,134 (9%) children being served who have Spanish as home language. (Source: ODE, ELD 2017-18, PDG Data)</p> <p><b>Disparities Observed:</b> Children in Josephine County who are Spanish speaking are not being served by Preschool Promise. Due to data limitations, it is unknown at this time how many children in HS/OPK are Spanish speaking in Josephine County.</p>	<p>Sources: CCR&amp;R Data Report November 2019 and ACS 2017</p>																																																												

<p><b>Families making over 200% of FPL (not qualifying for HS or PSP) but unable to afford child care</b></p>	<p><b># Eligible Children thru age 5:</b>  <b>Jackson</b> 7,593  <b>Josephine</b> 2,469                  (extrapolated with PDG data)                  NOTE: this includes ALL families with children thru 5 over 200% FPL</p> <hr/> <p><b># Children Served:</b>  <b>Jackson</b> 2,194 (14%)  <b>Josephine</b> 704 (13%)                  (extrapolated with PDG data)</p> <hr/> <p><b>Disparities Observed:</b> Due to increase in Oregon's minimum wage, many families no longer qualify for HS or PSP, but still cannot afford to pay for early learning. Head Start struggles to find eligible families for some areas.</p>	<p>Geographic data by city not available for families over 200% FPL.</p>	<p>Shared on our FB page and website. Sent to all partners on distribution list, including libraries, Kaleidoscope Play and Learn Group facilitators who interact with a large cross-section of the community, regardless of income.</p>	<p><b>Open-ended Comments:</b>                  several families indicated they were over income for publicly-funded programs but unable to afford child care and/or preschool, limiting both their child's opportunities before kindergarten and their family's ability to work and have stable child care.</p>
<p><b>Foster Families</b></p>	<p><b># Eligible Children:</b> NA</p> <hr/> <p><b># Children Served:</b>  <b>Jackson</b> 0-2 155 (2%)  <b>Jackson</b> 3-5 92 (1.2%)  <b>Josephine</b> 0-2 50 (1.9%)  <b>Josephine</b> 3-5 20 (1.1%)                  (DHS CW, Josh Miller, Oct 2019)                  State average: 1.2%</p> <hr/> <p><b>Disparities Observed:</b> 0-2 rates are almost twice the state average and early care for 0-3 is in shortest supply.</p>	<p>The number of foster children in publicly-funded programs is not known at the time of this report.</p>	<p>Shared survey with Foster Parent Associations in both counties.</p>	<p><b>Priority Population Response:</b>                  7.3% of respondents are Foster parents.</p> <p><b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b>                  1. quality of staff/child interactions                  2. clean environment with variety of materials                  3.hours that meet the family's needs</p>

<b>Families in recovery from substance use disorder (SUD)</b>	<b># Eligible Children:</b> Not available	City-level data for families in recovery from SUD in publicly-funded programs was not known at the time of this report. Our region has some of the highest opioid usage numbers in the state.	Shared with our recovery partners and encouraged them to share with clients and on their social media pages. Oasis Center On Track Choices Adapt Grants Pass Treatment Center	<b>Priority Population Response:</b> 14.6% of respondents shared that someone in their family has experienced SUD. <b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b> 1. quality of staff/child interactions 2. clean environment with variety of materials 3. hours that meet the families' needs.
	<b># Children Served:</b> Not available			
	<b>Disparities Observed:</b> No available data, but anecdotally, SUD providers share that families in recovery may have inconsistent attendance and their children may be exhibiting dysregulation, both may lead to expulsion.			
<b>Homeless Families</b>	<b># Eligible Children:</b> Not available	City-level data for homeless numbers in publicly-funded programs was not known at the time of this report. Our region has some the highest homeless rates in the state only one county has higher rates that Jackson and Josephine.	Shared with DHS and Maslow Project, serving the largest percentage of homeless families in our region.	<b>Priority Population Response:</b> 3.6% report being homeless. <b>The top three preferences for child care include:</b> 1. quality of staff/child interactions 2. hours that meet the family's needs 3. cost
	<b># Children 5-17 Served:</b> Jackson K-12: 2,206 (7.2%) Josephine K-12: 969 (8.9%) (PDG Data)			
	Disparities Observed: TBD			