Data Tables for Early Learning Hubs - Overview & Table of Contents **Preschool Development Grant**

September 27th, 2019

Population Characteristics Intended Use:

- 1. Describe counties in terms of key demographic, social, and other characteristics,
- 2. Help identify populations that may most benefit from expanded/improved early learning services and supports.

Key Indicator & Definition	Data Table Number & Content	Excel Sheet Name	Pg.
File/Workbook Name: PDG Workbook	File/Workbook Name: PDG Workbook 1 – Child & Family Population Characteristics		
Section I: Total Estimated Child Population 0-5	1. Total population for children 0-5 (under 5, under 3, 3-5, under 6)	1. Child Population 0-5	9
Section II: Children Under 18 by Race/Ethnicity	1. 0-18 by Race/Ethnicity	1. 0-18 by Race-Ethnicity	8
Section III: Children 5-17 who speak a language other than English at home	1. Children 5-17 who speak a language other than English 2. Percent for each other language category	1. Children 5-17 by language 2. Languages spoken (detail)	10 11
Section IV: Children under 6 who are living in poverty	1. Estimate of children under 6 living in poverty by FPL (100% FPL, 100-200% FPL, <200% FPL)	1. Children 0-5 Living in Poverty	13
Section V: Children 0-5 living in high or concentrated poverty	 Children under 5 yrs of age living below poverty level in census tracts with concentrated or high poverty Concentrated or high poverty separated 	1. & 2. Children 0-5 Conc Poverty	15
Section VI: Families with children 0-5 with no parent in workforce	1. Children 0-5 with No Parents in Labor Force by Household Type	1. No parent in workforce	17
Section VII: Single Parent Households with Children 0-5	1. Households with a child under 5 years of age which are single parent households	1. Single Parent HH	19
Section VIII: K-12 students who are homeless	1. K-12 students who are homeless	1. Homeless K-12 Students	21

1

Child Care/Early Learning Current Enrollment, Availability & Quality

Purpose:

- 1. Describe the current number of children enrolled in key early learning services.
- 2. Help identify service gaps by estimating the "reach rate"- the percentage of children likely to be eligible for programs who are currently being served by various early learning services.
- 3. Describe current availability of child care services of varying types for children of different ages, and the availability of SPARK-rated program.
- 4. Help identify gaps in types of child care needed.

Key Indicator & Definition	Data Table Number & Content	Excel Sheet Name	Pg.
File/Workbook Name: PDG Workbook 2 – Early Learning Enrollment & Reach	2 – Early Learning Enrollment & Reach		
Section I: Estimated enrollment in Federal and State Funded Preschool	 Federal and State funded PreK by funding source Estimated Percent of Eligible Children Enrolled in 	1. & 2. Public PreK Enrollment	23
(Preschool Promise, OPK, Head Start)	Federal and State Funded PreK by funding source	1 & 2 HS-OBK Enrollment hv	25
Oregon PreK Head Start (OPK)	2. Grantee Type (OPK/HS, AIAN HS, Migrant HS)	County	26
Enrollment	3. Income (below 100% FPL, over income, 100-130%	3. HS-OPK Enrollment by Income	27
	FPL)	4. HS-OPK Enrollment by Race-Ethn	28
	4. Race-Ethnicity of Child	5. HS-OPK Enrollment by Lang	29
	5. Home Language	6. HS-OPK Enrollment by Model	
	6. Model Type (days/week, hours/day, days/year)		
Section III: Current enrollment in	1. Income (0-200% FPL, below 100% FPL, 101-200% FPL)	1. PP Enrollment by Income	31
Preschool Promise	2. Race/ethnicity of child	2. PP Enrollment by Race-Ethnicity	32
	3. Child's home language	3. PP Enrollment by Lang	33
	4. Provider type (community-based, private, etc.)	4. PP Enrollment by Provider Type	34
Section IV: Current enrollment in	1. Percent of Total 0-2 Population Enrolled in El	1. EI-ECSE Total Counts	36
Early Intervention (EI, 0-2)	2. Birth to Age 2 (EI) by Race/Ethnicity	2. El - Race-Ethnicity	37
	3. Birth to Age 2 (EI) by Primary Language	3. El – Primary Language	38
Section IV: Current enrollment in	1. Percent of Total 3-5 Population Enrolled in ECSE	1. EI-ECSE Total Counts	36
Early Childhood Special Education	4. Age 3 to 5 (ECSE) by Race/Ethnicity	4. ECSE - Race-Ethnicity	39
(ECSE, 3-5)	5. Age 3 to 5 (ECSE) by Primary Language	5. ECSE - Primary Language	40

Child Care/Early Learning Current Enrollment, Availability & Quality (cont.)

Key Indicator & Definition	Data Table Number & Content	Excel Sheet Name	Pg.
File/Workbook Name: PDG Workb	File/Workbook Name: PDG Workbook 3 - Early Learning Availability & Quality		
Section I: Child Care and Early Learning Facilities	 Type of care Regulation type (regulated, recorded, exempt) Facility type (center-based, home-based) Type of care by ages 0-2 Type of care by ages 3-5 Type of care by ages 0-5 Regulation type by age (0-2, 3-5, 0-5) 	1., 2. & 3. Facilities 4 8. Facilities (AG 0-5)	43-45
Section II: Available child care/early learning slots per child 0-5 years (total)	8. Facility type and age (0-2, 3-5, 0-5) 1. Percent of Children Ages 0-5 with Access to a Slot 2. Children 0-5 with Access to a Slot by Regulation Type	1. EL Slots (Access) 2. Access (AG 0-5 by RegType)	54 55
Section II: Available child care/early learning slots per child aged 0-2 years	1. Percent of Children Ages 0-2 with Access to a Slot 2. Children 0-2 with Access to a Slot by Regulation Type	1. EL Slots (Access) 2. Access (AG 0-5 by RegType)	54 55
Section II: Available child care/early learning slots per child aged 3-5 years	1. Percent of Children Ages 3-5 with Access to a Slot 2. Children 3-5 with Access to a Slot by Regulation Type	1. EL Slots (Access) 2. Access (AG 0-5 by RegType)	54 55
Section II: Publically funded early learning slots per child, ages 0-2 (Early Head Start only)	 3. Public Slots per Estimated Number of Children 0-2 in Poverty 4. Ages 0-2 by Type of Care 6. Regulation Type and Age 7. Facility Type and Age 	3. Public Slots 47. PublicSlots (AG 0-5)	56
Section II: Publically funded early learning care slots per child, ages 3-5 (Head Start/OPK/Preschool Promise)	3. Public Slots per Estimated Number of Children 3-5 in Poverty5. Ages 3-5 by Type of Care6. Regulation Type and Age	3. Public Slots 5 7. PublicSlots (AG 0-5)	56 58-60

	7. Facility Type and Age		
Section III: Child care/early	1. Regulated Facilities with at Least One Child on DHS Subsidy	1. Subsidy	63
learning facilities with at least	1. Regulated Facilities with At Least One Child on DHS Subsidy	2. Subsidy by Type of Care	64
one child on DHS subsidy (ERDC	By Type of Care		
and/or TANF)			
Section IV: Child care/early	1. Facility Type Statewide	1. & 2. Waitlists	29-99
learning facilities with a waitlist	2. Average for All Facility Types by County		
Section V: Child care/early	1. Facility type (center, home based) statewide only	1. & 2. Sick, Ext and Flexible	02-69
learning offering service	2. Average for All Facility Types by County	Care	
non-traditional hours (sick,			
extended hours, flexible hours			
care)			
Section VI: Child care/early	1. Facilities with a Spark Rating of 3 or Higher	1. Spark Data	73
learning Facilities with Spark	2. Facilities with a Spark Rating of 3 or Higher by Type of Care	2. Spark by Type of Care	74
rating of 3 and above			

PDG Workbook 1 Child & Family Population Characteristics

Section I Total Estimated Child Population Under 5

Source: ACS 5-year estimate

Age: 0-5 Most recent year available: 2017

Data location/link: https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.

Race/ethnicity categories NA
Geographic region/level County
Domain: Population

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the total number of children ages 0-5 in your region.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile Low (L)=0-829, Low-Moderate (LM)=830-2220, High-Moderate (HM)=2221-5823,

Calculation: High (H)=5824-45833; Mean=6440, Std. Dev. = 10374

Definition of Terms Children under 5 years old includes children age 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4. Children under

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were

categorized as "H" (High)

Child & Family Population Characteristics Total population for children 0-5

	Total population for Children under 5
	N
State	231,854

Estimated Under 3 years	Estimate d 3-5 years	Estimate d Under 6 years
N	N	N
134918	142381	277299

Table 1	Total Population for Children under 5			
	N			
Baker	808	L		
Benton	3708	НМ		
Clackamas	21847	Н		
Clatsop	2073	LM		
Columbia	2606	НМ		
Coos	3111	HM		
Crook	985	LM		
Curry	859	LM		
Deschutes	9240	Н		
Douglas	5556	НМ		
Gilliam	105	L		
Grant	327	L		
Harney	390	L		
Hood River	1518	LM		
Jackson	12033	Н		
Jefferson	1455	LM		
Josephine	4079	НМ		
Klamath	4059	НМ		
Lake	417			
Lane	18196	Н		
Lincoln	2317	НМ		
Linn	7428	Н		
Malheur	2124	LM		
Marion	22490			
Morrow	820			
Multnomah	45833	Н		
Polk	4611			
Sherman	57			
Tillamook	1273			
Umatilla	5344			
Union	1492			
Wallowa	307			
Wasco	1645			
Washington	36772			
Wheeler	56			
Yamhill	5913			
Oregon	231854			

Estimated Under 3	Estimate d 3-5	Estimate d Under	
years	years	6 years	
N	N	N	
566	425	991	
2125	2391	4516	
12306	14017	26323	
1276	1136	2412	
1667	1545	3212	
1697	2077	3774	
605	706	1311	
504	543	1047	
5145	6023	11168	
3207	3320	6527	
40	88	128	
143	251	394	
238	208	446	
737	1076	1813	
6742	7383	14125	
829	930	1759	
2171	2591	4762	
2160	2454	4614	
306	187	493	
10775	11284	22059	
1348	1517	2865	
4506	4555	9061	
1176	1391	2567	
13196	13355	26551	
531	436	967	
27088	27359	54447	
3067	2441	5508	
36	35	71	
691	919	1610	
3501	2838	6339	
912	867	1779	
169	222	391	
1068	979	2047	
20983	23091	44074	
40	24	64	
3367	3717	7084	
134918	142381	277299	

Source: ACS, 2017

PDG Workbook 1 **Child & Family Population Characteristics Section II**

Children Under 18 by Race/Ethnicity

Source: Census/Kids Count Children 0 to 18 Age:

2017 Most recent year available:

Data location/link: KidsCount, https://datacenter.kidscount.org/data#OR/2/0/char/0

> Race/ethnicity categories available: Hispanic Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic Two or More Races, Non-Hispanic WhiteNon-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska Native, Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Black,

Race/ethnicity categories availabl Non-Hispanic Asian

Geographic region/level available County Domain: Population

> Estimates the percentage of children ages 0-18 in your region within major categories of race/ethnicity. These estimates are based on U.S. Census categories and definitions. Not available for children 0-5 specifically.

Rationale for inclusion:

Metric calculation numerator: number of children in race/ethnicity

category

Risk/Reach Level Quartile Calculat Metric calculation denominator: total number of children

Definition of Terms NA

Child & Family Population Characteristics Race-Ethnicity of Children 0-18

		Race-Ethnicity of Children 0-18						
Table 1	Hispanic/Latinx	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian	Black	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	White	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
State	24%	1%	5%	3%	1%	7%	71%	

	Race-Ethnicity of Children 0-18							
Table 2	Hispanic/Latinx	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian	Black	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	White	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Baker	8%	1%	1%	1%	0%	5%	91%	
Benton	16%	1%	10%	2%	0%	8%	100%	
Clackamas	15%	1%	5%	1%	0%	7%	81%	
Clatsop	19%	1%	2%	1%	0%	5%	83%	
Columbia	9%	1%	1%	1%	0%	7%	91%	
Coos	13%	4%	1%	1%	0%	8%	83%	
Crook	15%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	87%	
Curry	16%	3%	1%	1%	0%	7%	82%	
Deschutes	15%	1%	1%	1%	0%	5%	87%	
Douglas	11%	2%	1%	1%	0%	6%	88%	
Gilliam	16%	2%	2%	0%	1%	4%	83%	
Grant	7%	2%	1%	0%	0%	5%	94%	
Harney	10%	5%	1%	1%	0%	5%	88%	
Hood River	51%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	52%	
Jackson	25%	1%	1%	1%	0%	6%	76%	
Jefferson	36%	23%	1%	2%	0%	4%	43%	
Josephine	14%	1%	1%	1%	0%	6%	87%	
Klamath	25%	5%	1%	1%	0%	7%	72%	
Lake	17%	3%	0%	1%	0%	7%	81%	
Lane	18%	1%	3%	1%	0%	8%	84%	
Lincoln	23%	5%	1%	1%	0%	7%	72%	
Linn	17%	1%	1%	1%	0%	6%	83%	
Malheur	55%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	51%	
Marion	45%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5%	55%	
Morrow	56%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	50%	
Multnomah	22%	1%	8%	9%	1%	9%	62%	
Polk	26%	2%	2%	2%	0%	6%	77%	
Sherman	14%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	87%	
Tillamook	25%	1%	1%	0%	0%	6%	76%	
Umatilla	43%	4%	1%	1%	0%	3%	57%	
Union	9%	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%	94%	
Wallowa	6%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	95%	
	34%	3%		†		4%		
Washington			1%	1%	1%		65%	
Washington	28%	1%	11%	3%	1%	8%	59%	
Wheeler	15%	4%	1%	1%	0%	10%	82%	
Yamhill	28%	1%	2%	1%	0%	5%	77%	

Data Source: KidsCount, 2017

PDG Workbook 1 Child & Family Population Characteristics

Section III Children 5-17 who speak a language other than English

Source: ACS, 5-year estimates

Age: children 5 years old - 17 years old

Most recent year available: 2017

Data location/link: https://factfinder.census.gov/

Race/ethnicity categories NA
Geographic region/level County
Domain: Population

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of children ages 5-17 who speak a language other than English at home.

Higher estimates indicate that there may be more dual language learners in your community.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile

Calculation:

Metric calculation numerator: Number of children 5-17 who speak a language other than English.

Metric calculation denominator: Total number of children 5-17.

Percentages of each non-English language category are for the population of children aged 5-17 who

speak a language other than English.

Numerators are number of children 5-17 who speak Spanish, Other Indo-European language, Asian

or Pacific Island language, or Other language.

Denominator used for each of these language categories is the number of children who speak a

language other than English. Risk Level calculation:

Low=0-6.94%, Low-Moderate=6.95-11.69%, High-Moderate=11.70-19.09%, High=19.10-38.60%;

Mean = 15%, Standard Deviation=.10

Definition of Terms Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low)

relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as "H"

(High)

Child & Family Population Characteristics Children 5-17 who speak a language other than English

	Children 5-17 speak a langua Eng	ige other than			
	# %				
Oregon	130299	21%			

Table 1	Children 5-17 speak a langua Eng		
County	#	%	Estimated Risk level
Baker	206	9%	LM
Benton	2003	18%	MH
Clackamas	10665	16%	MH
Clatsop	621	12%	LM
Columbia	259	3%	L
Coos	702	8%	LM
Crook	398	12%	MH
Curry	229	9%	LM
Deschutes	1982	7%	LM
Douglas	675	4%	L
Gilliam	38	12%	MH
Grant	58	6%	L
Harney	91	8%	LM
Jackson	4440	14%	MH
Jefferson	978	25%	Н
Josephine	836	7%	L
Klamath	1174	11%	LM
Lake	71	7%	L
Lane	5464	11%	LM
Lincoln	907	16%	MH
Linn	2034	10%	LM
Malheur	1723	31%	Н
Marion	21064	35%	Н
Morrow	801	36%	Н
Multnomah	31018	29%	Н
Polk	2452	18%	MH
Sherman	4	2%	L
Tillamook	448	12%	MH
Umatilla	4571	32%	Н
Union	222	5%	L
Wallowa	32	3%	L
Wasco	785	19%	MH
Washington	28308	28%	Н
Wheeler	5	3%	L
Yamhill	3435	19%	Н
Hood River	1600	39%	H

Data source: ACS, 2017

Child & Family Population Characteristics Children 5-17 who speak a language other than English by language

	Percent of Children 5-17 who speak a language other than English by language						
Table 2	Spanish	Other Indo- European language	Asian or Pacific Island language	Other language			
County	%	%	%	%			
Baker	47%	34%	11%	9%			
Benton	61%	11%	26%	3%			
Clackamas	59%	24%	15%	2%			
Clatsop	83%	6%	10%	0%			
Columbia	76%	5%	18%	0%			
Coos	73%	16%	10%	2%			
Crook	83%	2%	15%	0%			
Curry	94%	6%	0%	0%			
Deschutes	80%	11%	8%	1%			
Douglas	77%	10%	13%	0%			
Gilliam	100%	0%	0%	0%			
Grant	62%	14%	16%	9%			
Harney	73%	0%	0%	27%			
Hood River	96%	3%	2%	0%			
Jackson	92%	4%	3%	1%			
Jefferson	88%	1%	3%	8%			
Josephine	97%	0%	3%	1%			
Klamath	85%	6%	7%	2%			
Lake	77%	3%	20%	0%			
Lane	76%	10%	13%	1%			
Lincoln	96%	2%	2%	1%			
Linn	85%	7%	4%	4%			
Malheur	96%	0%	4%	0%			
Marion	88%	7%	4%	1%			
Morrow	100%	0%	0%	0%			
Multnomah	52%	20%	20%	8%			
Polk	86%	4%	8%	2%			
Sherman	100%	0%	0%	0%			
Tillamook	98%	0%	2%	0%			
Umatilla	99%	0%	0%	0%			
Union	71%	8%	20%	0%			
Wallowa	72%	13%	16%	0%			
Wasco	92%	2%	4%	2%			
Washington	66%	11%	19%	4%			
Wheeler	0%	100%	0%	0%			
Yamhill	93%	2%	5%	0%			
Oregon	72%	12%	13%	4%			

Data source: ACS, 2017

PDG Workbook 1 **Child & Family Population Characteristics**

Children under 6 in poverty (below 100% of FPL, between 100-200% FPL, and below 200% FPL) Section IV

Source: ACS 5-year estimate Children under 6 Age:

Most recent year available:

Data location/link: https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/17_5YR/B17001

Race/ethnicity categories

available: None

Geographic region/level

available: County **Domain** Risk

> Estimates the percentage of children 0-5 who are living in households that are: (1) at or below the Federal Poverty Level (100% FPL); (2) somewhat above the FPL (100%-200% FPL) or (3) at or below 200% of the FPL (200% FPL). Families who are just above the FLP may not be eligible for some state and federal programs that can help support stability and well-being. These families are often identified as the "working poor" (although this group also includes families <100% FPL).

Rationale for inclusion: Metric calculation numerator: Number of children in poverty under 6. Total number of children under 6.

Metric calculation denominator:

Risk level calculation:

Below 100% FPL: Low=0-18.49%, Low-Moderate=18.50-25.68%, High-Moderate=25.69-

30.09%, High=30.10-48.90%; Mean = 25%, Standard Deviation=.10

Between 100-200% FPL: Low=0-26.29%, Low-Moderate=26.30-27.97%, High-Moderate=27.98-

31.91%, High=31.92-64.80%; Mean = 29%, Standard Deviation=.09

Below 200% FPL: Low=0-46.88%, Low-Moderate=46.89-56.52%, High-Moderate=56.53-

63.61%, High=63.62-82.26%; Mean = 54%, Standard Deviation=.13

Numerator and denominator are from the Universe of persons for whom poverty status can be

Definition of Terms: determined.

For below 100%, between 100-200%, and below 200% FPL: numerators represent the total

number of children under 6 living in poverty for each FPL range specified.

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized

as "H" (High)

Child & Family Population Characteristics Children under 6 in poverty

	Estimate of children under 6 living in poverty by FPL					
	Below 1	Below 100% FPL Between 100 - 200% FPL			Below 20	00% FPL
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Oregon	58548	22%	64427	24%	122975	45%

	Es	Estimate of children under 6 living in poverty by FPL						Estimated risk level		
Table 1	Below 1	00% FPL	Between 100 - 200% FPL Below 200% FPL		00% FPL	Below 100% FPL	Between 100-200%	Below 200% FPL		
County	#	%	#	%	#	%	10070112	FPL	200 /6 TT L	
Baker	265	27%	299	31%	564	58%	МН	МН	МН	
Benton	720	16%	684	15%	1404	32%	L	L	L	
Clackamas	3227	13%	4261	17%	7488	29%	L	L	L	
Clatsop	436	18%	772	32%	1208	50%	L	Н	LM	
Columbia	591	19%	834	27%	1425	45%	LM	LM	L	
Coos	1103	29%	1117	30%	2220	59%	MH	MH	MH	
Crook	405	31%	511	40%	916	71%	Н	Н	Н	
Curry	170	17%	195	20%	365	37%	L	L	L	
Deschutes	1941	18%	3080	28%	5021	46%	L	LM	L	
Douglas	1884	30%	1743	28%	3627	58%	Н	МН	МН	
Gilliam	0	0%	83	65%	83	65%	L	н	н	
Grant	110	28%	162	41%	272	69%	МН	Н	Н	
Harney	122	27%	141	32%	263	59%	МН	MH	МН	
Hood River	438	24%	481	27%	919	51%	LM	LM	LM	
Jackson	4049	29%	3866	28%	7915	57%	MH	LM	МН	
Jefferson	662	38%	463	27%	1125	65%	Н	LM	Н	
Josephine	1336	30%	1546	34%	2882	64%	MH	Н	Н	
Klamath	1113	25%	1736	39%	2849	64%	LM	Н	Н	
Lake	235	49%	132	27%	367	76%	Н	LM	Н	
Lane	4946	23%	5767	27%	10713	50%	LM	LM	LM	
Lincoln	938	34%	827	30%	1765	63%	Н	MH	MH	
Linn	2023	23%	2773	31%	4796	54%	LM	MH	LM	
Malheur	1168	47%	490	20%	1658	67%	Н	L	Н	
Marion	6785	26%	7639	30%	14424	56%	MH	MH	LM	
Morrow	261	28%	236	25%	497	52%	MH	L	LM	
Multnomah	10791	20%	9986	19%	20777	39%	LM	L	L	
Polk	1127	21%	1480	28%	2607	49%	LM	LM	LM	
Sherman	1	1%	24	34%	25	35%	L	Н	L	
Tillamook	483	30%	502	31%	985	61%	MH	мн	MH	
Umatilla	1865	30%	2058	33%	3923	63%	Н	Н	MH	
Union	391	23%	504	30%	895	53%	LM	MH	LM	
Wallowa	118	30%	108	28%	226	58%	Н	LM	MH	
Wasco	353		531		884					
Washingto		17%		26%		43%	L	L	L	
n Whooler	6728	15%	7743	18%	14471	33%	L	L	L	
Wheeler Yamhill	26 1737	42% 24.9%	25 1628	23.4%	3365	82% 48%	H LM	H L	H LM	

PDG Workbook 1 Child & Family Population Characteristics

Section V Children 0-5 in poverty living in Concentrated or High Poverty

Source: ACS 5 year estimates

Age: Children under 5 years of age

Most recent year available: 2017

Data location/link: https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/17 5YR/S1701

Race/ethnicity categories

available:NoneGeographic region/level available:CountyDomainRisk

Estimates the percentage of children 0-5 who are living in census tracts in which 40% or more of the households are at or below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). Higher estimates indicate more

Rationale for inclusion: children experiencing broader community-level risks related to poverty.

Metric calculation numerator: Children under 5 years of age living below the poverty level.

High and concentrated poverty rates were the number of people living below the federal poverty

line (below 100% FPL), as a % of the total number of people for whom poverty status was

Metric calculation denominator: determined.

Census tracts were classified as high poverty if the % of people below 100% FPL was 20-39% of the

population in that census tract.

Census tracts were classified as concentrated poverty if the % of people below 100% FPL was 40%

or more of the population in that census tract.

Table 1 contains county estimates for children under 5 living in poverty who are living in

concentrated or high poverty census tracts.

Table 2 contains county and statewide estimates for children under 5 living in poverty separately for those living in (1) concentrated poverty census tracts and those living in (2) high poverty census

tracts.

Low = 0-16.2%, Low-Moderate = 16.3-43.7%, High-Moderate = 43.8% - 64.0%, High = 64.1-100%;

Risk level calculation: Mean = 42.3%, Standard Deviation = .30

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as

Definition of Terms: "H" (High)

Child & Family Population Characteristics Children 0-5 in Poverty Living in Concentrated or High Poverty

	Children under 5 yrs of age living below poverty level in census tracts with			
	concentrate	ed or high poverty		
County	# %			
Oregon	23427 48%			

Table 1	Children u living below census concentrate		
County	#	%	Estimated Risk
Baker	0	0%	L
Benton	271	43%	LM
Clackama	159	6%	L
Clatsop	0	0%	L
Columbia	188	38%	LM
Coos	559	54%	MH
Crook	207	73%	Н
Curry	127	85%	Н
Deschutes	371	22%	LM
Douglas	1198	74%	Н
Gilliam	0	0%	L
Grant	38	43%	LM
Harney	0	0%	L
Hood Rive	356	85%	Н
Jackson	1534	44%	MH
Jefferson	317	56%	MH
Josephine	716	60%	MH
Klamath	721	68%	Н
Lake	191	90%	Н
Lane	1942	48%	MH
Lincoln	516	67%	Н
Linn	579	37%	LM
Malheur	863	90%	Н
Marion	3136	56%	МН
Morrow	0	0%	L
Multnomal	5648	63%	MH
Polk	469	46%	MH
Sherman	0	0%	L
Tillamook	67	16%	LM
Umatilla	763	53%	MH
Union	52	16%	L
Wallowa	0	0%	L
Wasco	63	22%	LM
Washingto		31%	LM
Wheeler	18	100%	Н
Yamhill	576	39%	LM

Table 2	Children under 5 yrs of age living below poverty level in census tracts with concentrated or high poverty				
County	# in Concentrated Poverty	# in High Poverty			
Baker	0	0			
Benton	16	255			
Clackamas	0	159			
Clatsop	0	0			
Columbia	0	188			
Coos	0	559			
Crook	0	207			
Curry	0	127			
Deschutes	0	371			
Douglas	0	1198			
Gilliam	0	0			
Grant	0	38			
Harney	0	0			
Hood River	0	356			
Jackson	331	1203			
Jefferson	204	113			
Josephine	0	716			
Klamath	0	721			
Lake	0	191			
Lane	99	1843			
Lincoln	0	516			
Linn	0	579			
Malheur	0	863			
Marion	0	3136			
Morrow	0	0			
Multnomah	299	5349			
Polk	0	469			
Sherman	0	0			
Tillamook	0	67			
Umatilla	0	763			
Union	0	52			
Wallowa	0	0			
Wasco	0	63			
Washingtor		1239			
Wheeler	0	18			
Yamhill	0	576			
Oregon	1492	21935			

Data source: ACS, 2017

PDG Workbook 1 Child & Family Population Characteristics

Section VI Children 0-5 with No Parents in the Workforce

Source: ACS B23008

Age: 0 to 5
Most recent year available: 2017

Data location/link: https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk

Race/ethnicity categories

available: NA

Geographic region/level

available: County
Domain: Risk

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of children 0-5 living in families with no employed adult.

Higher estimates indicate more young children whose well-being may be negatively

impacted by family unemployment.

Metric calculation numerator: number of children 0-5 with no parents in workforce

Metric calculation denominator: total number of children 0-5

Risk Level calculation: Low=0-6%, Low-Moderate=7-9%, High-Moderate=10-13%, High

=14-21%; Mean=10%, Standard Deviation=.048

Counties are assigned a Risk Level based on their relationship to the state average of

children 0-5 with no parent in the workforce.

Counties above the state average are in the High-Moderate Risk or High Risk categories while counties below the state average are either in Low-Moderate Risk or Low Risk

catogorios

Definition of Terms Age of own children under 18 years in families and subfamilies by living arrangements

by employment status of parents

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher

than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as "H" (High)

Child & Family Population Characteristics Children 0-5 with No Parents in the Workforce

	Childr	Children 0-5 with No Parents in Labor Force by Household Type						
	with one parent: - Living with father: - Not in labor	Children Living with one parent: - Living with mother: - Not in labor force		Total Number of Children with No Parent in labor force	Percent of Children with No Parent in labor force			
	N	N	N	N	%			
Oregon	3005	17927	3064	23996	9%			

Children 0-5 with No Parents in Labor Force by Household Type						
	Children Living	Children Living				
	with one	with one	Children Living			
	parent: - Living	parent: - Living	with two	Total Number	Percent of	
	with father: -	with mother: -	parents: -	of Children with	Children with	
	Not in labor	Not in labor	Neither parent	No Parent in	No Parent in	Estimate
Table 1	force	force	in labor force	labor force	labor force	d Risk
	N	N	N	N	%	Level
Baker	18	73	33	124	13%	HM
Benton	8	189	111	308	7%	
Clackamas	166	1129	307	1602	6%	
Clatsop	29	280	17	326	13%	
Columbia	51	183	16	250		LM
Coos	142	370	99	611	18%	
Curry	0 4	54 19	13	67 23	5% 2%	L
Curry Deschutes	191	470	56	717		LM
	71	338	134	543		
Douglas	71	7	0	7	9% 5%	L
Gilliam	10	57				Н
Grant			0	67	21%	
Harney	0	13	0	13	3%	L
Hood River	36	98	0	134		LM
Jackson	354	1028	217	1599	12%	
Jefferson	99	177	0	276	17%	
Josephine	241	557	102	900	21%	
Klamath	56	404	14	474	11%	
Lake	4	0	0	4	1%	
Lane	281	1892	469	2642	13%	
Lincoln	138	127	29	294	11%	
Linn	73	602	270	945	11%	
Malheur	10	186	12	208	9%	LM
Marion	175	2095	210	2480	10%	НМ
Morrow	0	38	10	48	5%	L
Multnomah	405	3828	522	4755	9%	LM
Polk	39	299	119	457	8%	LM
Sherman	0	14	2	16	16%	Н
Tillamook	0	129	27	156	10%	НМ
Umatilla	3	398	13	414	7%	LM
Union	0	205	20	225	13%	НМ
Wallowa	13	44	0	57	15%	Н
Wasco	24	141	3	168	8%	LM
Washington	348	1829	197	2374	5%	L
Wheeler	0	1	0	1	3%	L
Yamhill	16	653	42	711	10%	НМ

Source: ACS, 2017

PDG Workbook 1 **Child & Family Population Characteristics Section VII**

Single Parent Households with Children 0-5

Source: ACS 5 year estimate Table B09002 Age: Children less than 5 yrs of age

Most recent year available: 2017

Data location/link: https://factfinder.census.gov **Contact Person** N\A - data publicly available

Race/ethnicity categories

available:

Geographic region/level

available:

County

None

Domain: **Population**

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of children 0-5 living in families with one parent or

adult caregiver.

Definition of Terms Metric calculation numerator: Number of children under 5 yrs of age living in

single parent households.

Metric calculation denominator: Total number of households.

Risk Level calculation:

Low=0-6.94%, Low-Moderate=6.95-11.69%, High-Moderate=11.70-19.09%,

High=19.10-38.60%; Mean = 15%, Standard Deviation=.10

Definition of Terms:

Counties are assigned a Risk Level based on their relationship to the state average of households with children 0-5 that are single parent households. Counties above the state average are in the High-Moderate Risk or High Risk

in Low-Moderate Risk or Low Risk categories.

Child & Family Population Characteristics Single Parent Households with children 0-5

	Househol child under age which parent ho	5 years of are single		
	% #			
Oregon	7% 51,277			

Table 1	Househol child under age which parent ho		
County	%	Estimated Risk Level	
Baker	5%	150	L
Benton	2%	313	L
Clackamas	4%	3,055	L
Clatsop	8%	512	МН
Columbia	8%	729	MH
Coos	9%	905	Н
Crook	7%	256	LM
Curry	8%	238	MH
Deschutes	6%	2,042	LM
Douglas	9%	1,550	МН
Gilliam	13%	47	Н
Grant	10%	119	Н
Harney	5%	64	L
Hood River	8%	400	МН
Jackson	9%	3,437	МН
Jefferson	12%	481	Н
Josephine	8%	1,033	МН
Klamath	9%	1,123	MH
Lake	11%	139	Н
Lane	7%	4,470	LM
Lincoln	12%	783	Н
Linn	7%	1,728	LM
Malheur	11%	729	Н
Marion	8%	5,624	LM
Morrow	6%	159	LM
Multnomah	7%	10,110	LM
Polk	5%	905	L
Sherman	3%	5	L
Tillamook	11%	486	Н
Umatilla	9%	1,651	Н
Union	7%	376	LM
Wallowa	8%	86	МН
Wasco	5%	282	L
Washington	5%	6,022	L
Wheeler	5%	9	L
Yamhill	6%	1,259	LM

Data source: ACS, 2017

PDG Workbook 1 **Child & Family Population Characteristics**

Section VIII K-12 Students who are Homeless Source: Oregon Department of Education

K-12 Age:

Most recent year available: 2017-2018

Data location/link: https://www.oregon.gov/ode/about-us/Documents/Statewide%20Report%

20Card,%2011-30-18.pdf; Total Enrollment - https://www.oregon.

gov/ode/reports-and-data/students/Pages/Student-Enrollment-Reports.aspx

Contact Person NA Race/ethnicity categories

available:

NA

Geographic region/level

available:

County

Domain: Risk

Rationale for inclusion: Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of students in the K-12

> system in the region whose families are homeless. Higher estimates indicate more children whose well-being may be negatively impacted by homelessness.

Metric calculation numerator: number of homeless K-12 students Metric calculation denominator: total number of K-12 students

Risk Level calculation: Low=0-2.75%, Low-Moderate=2.76-3.75%, High-

Moderate=3.76-4.49%, High=4.50-15%; Mean=4%,

Standard Deviation=.026

Definition of Terms Counties are assigned a Risk Level based on their relationship to the state

average of K-12 homeless students.

Counties above the state average are in the High-Moderate Risk or High Risk

categories while counties below the state average are either

in Low-Moderate Risk or Low Risk categories.

Child & Family Population Characteristics K-12 Students who are Homeless

Table 1	Number of K-12 Homeless	Percent of K-12 Students	Estimate
Tubic 1	Students	who are Homeless	d Risk
	N	%	Level
Baker	175	4.2%	MH
Benton	259	2.9%	LM
Clackamas	1147	1.9%	L
Clatsop	312	6.0%	Н
Columbia	229	3.1%	LM
Coos	576	5.7%	Н
Crook	92	3.1%	LM
Curry	129	2.5%	L
Deschutes	884	3.3%	LM
Douglas	629	4.4%	MH
Gilliam	0	0.0%	L
Grant	6	0.7%	L
Harney	68	3.8%	МН
Hood River	32	0.8%	L
Jackson	2206	7.2%	Н
Jefferson	137	3.8%	Н
Josephine	969	8.9%	Н
Klamath	367	3.8%	МН
Lake	48	4.0%	MH
Lane	2296	5.0%	Н
Lincoln	825	14.8%	Н
Linn	1024	4.5%	MH
Malheur	261	5.1%	Н
Marion	1649	2.6%	L
Morrow	112	4.5%	Н
Multnomah	3349	3.6%	LM
Polk	269	3.8%	МН
Sherman	0	0.0%	L
Tillamook	249	7.1%	Н
Umatilla	177	1.3%	L
Union	168	4.3%	МН
Wallowa	26	3.0%	LM
Wasco	141	4.0%	МН
Washington	2638	3.0%	LM
Wheeler	22	1.7%	L
Yamhill	589	3.6%	LM
Total	21756	3.7%	

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education, 2018

PDG Workbook 2 PDG Workbook 2 - Early Learning Availability and Enrollment

Section I **Federal and State Funded Preschool Enrollment**

Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division

Age: 3 to 5 Most recent year available: 2017-2018

Geographic region/level

available:

County

Domain:

Reach

Rationale for inclusion:

Estimates the percentage of income-eligible 3-5 year old children being served by Head Start,

OPK, and Preschool Promise in the region or county. Indicates the potential need for expansion

of publically funded preschool.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile

Calculation:

Metric calculation numerator: # children enrolled in publicly funded prek

Metric calculation denominator: # children 3-5 0-200% FPL

Risk/Reach Level quartile calculation: Low = 0-18.25%, Low Moderate = 18.26-25%, High

Moderate=25.1-31%, High=31.1-92% Mean=28%, Standard Deviation=.192

Definition of Terms

ACS 5-year estimates, 2017: Table B17024 and B17001

Data are calculated for # of children 3-5 years old at different levels of poverty

Poverty at each level was calculated for each year of age, then added together for the ages 3

years through 5 years old (e.g., 3.0 years old to under 6.0 years old)

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon

counties were categorized as "H" (High)

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Federal and State Funded PreK Enrollment

	Federal and State Funded PreK Enrollment by Funding Source					
					Estimated	
					Total	
			Estimated	d Federal	Children	
Table 1	Preschoo	ol Promise	& State H	ead Start	Enrolled in	
	Children		Children	% of	Fed & State	
County	Enrolled	% of Total	Enrolled	Total	Funded PreK	
Baker	6	8%	73	92%	79	
Benton	-	-	179	100%	179	
Clackamas	28	2%	1186	98%	1214	
Clatsop	15	12%	112	88%	127	
Columbia	28	14%	172	86%	200	
Coos	-	-	273	100%	273	
Crook	-	-	-	-	-	
Curry	-	-	118	100%	118	
Deschutes	-	-	481	100%	481	
Douglas	97	20%	398	80%	495	
Gilliam	-	-	16	100%	16	
Grant	-	-	20	100%	20	
Harney	-	-	88	100%	88	
Hood River	_	-	92	100%	92	
Jackson	148	16%	756	84%	904	
Jefferson	-	-	288	100%	288	
Josephine	54	17%	272	83%	326	
Klamath	19	8%	230	92%	249	
Lake	-	-	NA	NA	NA	
Lane	204	15%	1177	85%	1381	
Lincoln	-	-	190	100%	190	
Linn	-	-	347	100%	347	
Malheur	36	16%	189	84%	225	
Marion	257	40%	382	60%	639	
Marion, Polk	377	16%	2033	84%	2410	
Morrow	-	-	60	100%	60	
Multnomah	214	6%	3086	94%	3300	
Polk	120	84%	23	16%	143	
Sherman	-	-	12	100%	12	
Tillamook	37	39%	57	61%	94	
Umatilla	-	-	555	100%	555	
Union	-	-	117	100%	117	
Wallowa	_	-	15	100%	15	
Wasco	_	_	188	100%	188	
Washington	175	13%	1140	87%	1315	
Wheeler	-	-	16	100%	16	
Yamhill			385	100%	385	
Total	1438	9%	15227	91%	16665	
	1-70	370	19221	J±/0	10005	

		igible Childr		
			Estimated	
	Estimated		% Served	
Estimated	% Served	Estimated	by Federal	
Population	by	% Served	& OR State	Estimated
3-5 0-200%	Preschool	by Head	Funded	Reach
FPL	Promise	Start/OPK	PreK	Level
293	2%	25%	26.9%	МН
682	-	26%	26.3%	MH
3761	1%	32%	32.3%	Н
592	3%	19%	21.4%	LM
712	4%	24%	28.1%	МН
1045	-	26%	26.1%	МН
490	-	-	0.0%	L
178	-	66%	66.5%	Н
2451	-	20%	19.6%	LM
1779	5%	22%	27.8%	МН
42	-	39%	38.6%	Н
138	-	14%	14.5%	L
119	-	74%	73.8%	Н
428	-	22%	21.5%	LM
3898	4%	19%	23.2%	LM
552	-	52%	52.2%	Н
1396	4%	19%	23.4%	LM
1347	1%	17%	18.5%	L
173	-	NA	NA	NA
5402	4%	22%	25.6%	MH
890		21%	21.4%	LM
2458		14%	14.1%	L
838	4%	23%	26.8%	МН
7243	4%	NA	NA	NA
8499	-	28%	28.4%	НМ
255	-	24%	23.5%	LM
10403	2%	30%	31.7%	Н
1257	10%	NA	NA	NA
13	-	92%	92.3%	Н
489	8%	12%	19.2%	LM
2029	-	27%	27.3%	МН
443	-	26%	26.4%	МН
114	-	13%	13.2%	L
443	-	42%	42.5%	Н
7180	2%	16%	18.3%	L
28	-	58%	57.8%	Н

23%

25%

3%

23.2% LM

27%

1658

61214

Table 2

Source: Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division 2017-2018

 $Estimated\ Population\ 3-5\ 0-200\%\ FPL\ data\ source:\ ACS\ 5-year\ estimates,\ 2017:\ Table\ B17024\ and\ B17001$

Data are calculated for # of children 3-5 years old at different levels of poverty

Poverty at each level was calculated for each year of age, then added together for the ages 3 years through 5 years old (e.g., 3.0 years old to under 6.0 years old)

^{*}Estimated enrollment by county for Federal and State Head Start only available for 83% of total enrollment counts

^{*}Numbers presented for multiple counties when unable to break out by county

^{*}Total enrolled taken from total enrollment reported, including Grantees who did not report enrollment by county

^{*}Marion and Polk not included separately in Reach level due to large amount of missing enrollment data by county

⁻None reported

PDG Workbook 2 Early Learning Availability and Enrollment

Section II Head Start and Oregon PreK Head Start (OPK) Enrollment
Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division

Age: 3-5

Most recent year available: 2017-2018

Race/ethnicity categories White Non Hispanic or Non Latino origin Hispanic or Latino American Indian/

Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian Pacific Islander

Other Race/ Ethnicity

Geographic region/level

available:

available: Grantee and County (not available for all grantees)

Domain: Reach

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of income-eligible 3-5 year old children being served

by Head Start, OPK, and Preschool Promise in the region or county. Indicates the

potential need for expansion of publically funded preschool.

Definition of terms:

*Total cumullative enrollment for OCDC includes numbers for 0-2 year olds, taken out for county breakdowns but unable to take out for race/ethnicity, language, and income numbers. No data available for North Central ESD. Salem-Keizer Head Start enrollment taken from the Partnership for PreK Improvement

project provider survey administered in Spring 2019.

*County level data not available for all grantees

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Federal and State Funded Head Start Enrollment by Grantee and County

Migrant

Alaska Native HS

Oregon PreK/HS

Estimated Grantee Cumulative Enrollment

Z

Z

American Indian

By Grantee Type

Z Z

iomah, Washington, rrow, Umatilla, Malheur

	Estimated HS	
Table 1	Enrollment by County	Table 2
County	z	Counties Served
Baker	73	Baker, Union
Benton	179	Benton
Clackamas	1186	Clackamas
Clatsop	112	Columbia, Clatsop, Tillamook
Columbia	172	Coos
Coos	273	Coos, Curry, Douglas
Curry	118	Deschutes
Deschutes	481	Douglas
Douglas	3/8	Harney
		Hood River, Clackamas, Wasco, Marion, Multno
Douglas	20	Yamhill, Polk, Jackson, Klamath, Jefferson, Mor
Gilliam	16	Hood River, Wasco, Jefferson
Grant	20	Jackson, Josephine
Harney	88	Jefferson
Hood River	92	Klamath, Lake
Jackson	756	Lane
Jefferson	288	Lincoln
Josephine	272	Linn, Benton, Marion
Klamath	230	Malheur
Lake	NA	Marion, Polk
Lane	1177	Multnomah
Lincoln	190	Multnomah, Marion, Lincoln
Linn	347	Polk
Malheur	189	Sherman, Umatilla, Gilliam, Morrow, Wallowa,
Marion Balk	382	Umatilla Washington
Morrow	60	Yamhill
Multnomah	3086	Total
Multnomah, Marion, Lincoln	117	
Polk	23	
Sherman	*	
Tillamook	57	
Umatilla	555	
Union	117	
Wallowa	15	
Wasco	188	
Washington	1140	
Wheeler	* (
Yamhill	385	
Total	14033	

Learning Division	
- Early	
lucation - I	
t of Ed	
n Department of	
regon D	
e: 0	
ourc	
Data So	
2	_

*Numbers below 5 are suppressed Data given for multiple counties when not available broken out by county.

Federal and State Funded Head Start Enrollment by Grantee and Income Early Learning Availablity and Enrollment

			Percent of E	Percent of Enrollment by Income	y Income		
	Cumulative	Children Enrolled	Enrolled	Children Enrolled	Enrolled	Income between100	veen100
Table 3	Enrollment	Below 100% FPL	30% FPL	Above 100% FPL	00% FPL	and 130 of Poverty	Poverty
Counties Served	z	z	%	z	%	z	%
Baker, Union	218	101	46%	15	7%	12	%9
Clackamas	783	183	23%	37	2%	27	3%
Columbia, Clatsop, Tillamook	387	181	47%	28	7%	63	16%
Coos	20	9	45%	6	45%	-	•
Coos, Curry, Douglas	442	197	45%	41	%6	35	8%
Deschutes	481	324	%29	22	2%	40	8%
Douglas	378	211	%95	9	7%	10	3%
Harney	88	38	43%	8	%6	15	17%
_							
Washington, Yamhill, Polk, Jackson, Klamath,							
Jefferson, Morrow, Umatilla, Malheur	2778	1791	64%	276	10%	568	70%
Hood River, Wasco, Jefferson	404	199	49%	43	11%	68	17%
Jackson, Josephine	1134	410	36%	105	%6	ı	
Jefferson	146	51	35%	56	38%	ı	
Klamath, Lake	376	172	46%	16	4%	6	2%
Lane	1177	478	41%	116	10%	128	11%
Lincoln	190	84	44%	16	8%	21	11%
Linn, Benton, Marion	486	211	43%	46	%6	70	14%
Malheur	189	140	74%	-	-	-	1
Marion, Polk	911	404	44%	85	%6	121	13%
Multnomah	2790	1485	53%	187	7%	334	12%
Multnomah, Marion, Lincoln	117	59	20%	34	29%	_	
Polk	23	13	57%	*	*	ı	ı
Sherman, Umatilla, Gilliam, Morrow, Wallowa, Grant	708	415	29%	59	%8	88	12%
Umatilla	44	22	20%	15	34%	ı	ı
Washington	879	313	36%	76	%6	130	15%
Yamhill	385	172	45%	44	11%	88	23%
Total	15534	7663	49%	1343	%6	1827	12%

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division, 2017-18
Data not available for all grantees
*Data suppressed for numbers less than 5
-None reported

Percent calculated of total enrollment.

Federal and State Funded Head Start Enrollment by Counties Served and Race-Ethnicity Early Learning Availability and Enrollment

						Ra	Race-Ethnicity of Child	ity of	Child						
Table 4	Total Cumulative Enrollment	White Non Hispanic or Non Latino origin	Non or Non origin	Hispanic or Latino	nic or ino	Ame Indian/ Na	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian	u u	Black or African American	or In can	Native Hawaiian Pacific Islander	ve iian fic der	Other Race/ Ethnicity	er e/ icity
Counties Served	z	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%
Baker, Union	218	166	%9/	23	11%	10	2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	14	%9
Benton	107	80	75%	37	35%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	10%
Clackamas	783	448	21%	233	30%	*	*	12	2%	11	1%	*	*	73	%6
Columbia, Clatsop, Tillamook	387	235	61%	111	29%	8	2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	24	%9
Coos	20	*	*	*	*	13	%59					-	1	0	%0
Coos, Curry, Douglas	442	326	74%	72	16%	18	4%	9	1%	*	*	-	1	19	4%
Deschutes	481	304	%89	139	29%	7	1%	9	1%	9	1%	-	-	21	4%
Douglas	378	279	74%	89	18%	11	3%	*	*	*	*	-	-	21	%9
Harney	88	62	%0/	11	13%	7	%8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hood River, Clackamas, Wasco,															
Marion, Multnomah,															
Washington, Yamhill, Polk,															
Jackson, Klamath Jefferson,	1	C C	ò	1	ò	C	ò	*	*	+	*	(ò	Ç	ò
Morrow, Umatilla, Maineur	8//7	790	%6	74/10	89%	0	%ô	+	÷		+	5	%	1	%
Hood River, Wasco, Jefferson	404	183	45%	177	44%	18	4%	0	%0	9	1%	*	*	18	4%
Jackson, Josephine	1134	736	65 %	290	76%	20	7%	*	*	22	7%	9	1%	89	%9
Jefferson	146	*	*	7	2%	130	86%			-		-	ı	6	%9
Klamath, Lake	376	233	62%	91	24%	13	3%	*	*	9	7%	,	1	33	%6
Lane	1177	670	21%	341	29%	27	7%	10	1%	27	2%	6	1%	100	%8
Lincoln	190	107	%95	89	36%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	∞	4%
Linn, Benton, Marion	486	287	29%	137	28%	9	1%	*	*	8	7%	8	2%	36	7%
Malheur	189	54	79%	119	63%	11	%9	-	-	9	3%	*	*	6	2%
Marion, Polk	1251	348	78%	749	%09	18	1%	13	1%	30	7%	40	3%	59	2%
Multnomah	2790	569	70%	1028	37%	70	3%	244	%6	784	78%	49	2%	160	%9
Multnomah, Marion, Lincoln	117	29	25%	19	16%	82	%0/			*	*	-	1	-	ı
Polk	23	7	30%	*	*	15	%59			-		-	-		
Sherman, Umatilla, Gilliam,															
Morrow, Wallowa, Grant	708	339	48%	348	49%	*	*	*	*	12	7%	*	*	*	*
Umatilla	44			44	100%	44	100%			,			ı	,	ı
Washington	879	191	22%	554	889	*	*	29	3%	63	2%	7	1%	40	2%
Yamhill	385	155	40%	195	51%	*	*	*	*	7	7%	*	*	24	%9
Total	15981	8909	38%	7337	46%	528	3%	320	7%	886	%9	119	1%	787	2%

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division

^{*}Individuals may be represented in multiple race/ethnicity categories *Numbers are suppressed for less than 5

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Federal and State Funded Head Start Enrollment by Grantee and Language

			Home	Languag	ge		
Table 5	Total	Eng	lish	Span	ish	Oth	er
Counties Served	N	N	%	N	%	N	%
Baker, Union	218	200	92%	9	4%	-	-
Clackamas	783	665	85%	104	13%	14	2%
Columbia, Clatsop, Tillamook	387	324	84%	62	16%	-	-
Coos	20	20	100%	0	0%	-	-
Coos, Curry, Douglas	442	413	93%	27	6%	*	*
Deschutes	481	403	84%	71	15%	*	*
Douglas	378	363	96%	12	3%	*	*
Harney	88	83	94%	2	2%	-	-
Hood River, Clackamas, Wasco, Marion, Multnomah, Washington, Yamhill, Polk, Jackson, Klamath Jefferson, Morrow,							
Umatilla, Malheur	2778	138	5%	2323	84%	45	2%
Hood River, Wasco, Jefferson	404	277	69%	126	31%	*	*
Jackson, Josephine	1134	1029	91%	97	9%	8	1%
Jefferson	146	145	99%	-	-	-	-
Klamath, Lake	376	355	94%	17	5%	*	*
Lane	1177	1001	85%	159	14%	17	1%
Lincoln	190	146	77%	43	23%	*	*
Linn, Benton, Marion	486	377	78%	92	19%	16	3%
Malheur	189	132	70%	50	26%	7	4%
Marion, Polk	911	558	61%	324	36%	29	3%
Multnomah	2790	1582	57%	698	25%	510	18%
Multnomah, Marion, Lincoln	117	117	100%	-	-	-	-
Polk	23	23	100%	-	-	-	-
Sherman, Umatilla, Gilliam, Morrow,							
Wallowa, Grant	708	522	74%	181	26%	*	*
Umatilla	44	44	100%	0	0%	-	-
Washington	879	404	46%	366	42%	98	11%
Yamhill	385	261	68%	123	32%	*	*
Total	15534	9582	62%	4886	31%	765	5%

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division

^{*}Numbers suppressed for less than 5

⁻ None reported

^{*}Data not available for all grantees

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Federal and State Funded Head Start Enrollment by Model Type

								Fec	deral an	d Head	Federal and Head Start Enrollment by Model Type	ollment	by Mo	del Type								
Table 6	Total Cumulative Enrollment	Centerbased - Full Day 5 days per week		Centerbased - Full Day 5 days per week full working day		Centerbased - Full Day 5 days per week full working day full year		Centerbased - Part Day 5 days per week	ased C ay 5 ber di	Centerbased - Part Day 5 days per week double session	sed - C y 5 · week	Centerbased - Full Day 4 days per week	ised Ce	Centerbased - Part Day 4 days per week	b 4	Centerbased - Part Day 4 days per week double session	1 4	Homebased Option		Combination Option	Locally Designed Option	e d
Counties Served	z	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	- %	% N	Z	%	z	%	z	%
Baker, Union	218	-	-	,	-		-	-	-		-		,	3	\ 0				1	-		
Benton	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 1	107 100%		-	-	-	-	
Clackamas	783	09	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	568 73	73%			-	-	-	-	
Clackamas	327		1		,			-		,		18	%9	1	- 2	204 62%	- %		,	1	105 3	32%
Columbia, Clatsop, Tillamook	387	8/	20%	-	-	,	1		1	,		,	,	293 76	%92	-		'			,	1
Coos	70	-	-							,	-	20 1	100%		-		<u>'</u>		•	٠	,	
Coos, Curry, Douglas	442	18	4%	18	4%		-	54	12%	-	-	-	1	318 72	72%		<u>'</u>		-	-	-	ı
Deschutes	481	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	8%	385 80	80%	-		-	-	-	-	-
Douglas	378	1	1		•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	332 88	88%	_	-	'	-	•	-	
Harney	88	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 09	9 %89	60 68%	% 20	0 23%	- 9	-	-	-
Hood River, Clackamas, Wasco, Marion, Multnomah,																						
Washington, Yamhill, Polk, Jackson, Klamath Jefferson,																						
Morrow, Umatilla, Malheur	2778		'	2778	100%	'	-										<u>'</u>	'	-			
Hood River, Wasco,	404	74	18%	36	%6	36	%6					T	\dashv	_	%09	-	10	0 2%	18	4%	•	-
Jackson, Josephine	1134	ı	-		,		-		1	,	1	172	15% 8	828 73	73%	1	<u>'</u>	1	'	,	,	1
Jefferson	268	126	47%	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	122 46%		-	-	-	-	1
Klamath, Lake	376	40	11%		_	'	-	'	-	,	-	,		_	77%	1			-	'	,	
Lane	1177	82	7%	85	2%	82	7%	90	%8	,	,	1	1	859 73	73%	'		'	'	,	,	1
Lincoln	190	ı	1		,	'		-	-					1	23%		'	'	1	,		ı
Linn, Benton, Marion	486	36	7%	18	4%	1	-	19	4%	-		137	28%	245 50	20%	-		1	-	1	-	,
Malheur	189	06	48%	90	48%	1	-	-		-	-	'	1	-	-	1	<u>'</u>	'	89	36%	-	,
Marion, Polk	1251	09	2%	20	7%	20	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	733 59	59% 2	238 19%		'	'	-	-	
Multnomah	3067	1375	45%	979	70%		-	'		348	11%	-	-	924 30	30% 2	24 1%		_	'	-	'	
Multnomah, Marion, Lincoln	117	٠	-				-	'		-		12	10%	100 85	85%		<u>'</u>	'	'	-	'	
Polk	23	20	87%	'			-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	<u>'</u>	'	'	-	1	
Sherman, Umatilla, Gilliam,	708	18	3%	18	3%		-	-		-	-	180	_	403 57	21%	-	9	5 1%	-	-	-	,
Umatilla	44			_		-	-	,				40	91%	-	1	1	<u>'</u>	1	'	,		
Washington	879	18	7%	18	7%	18	7%			1		-		759 86	%98	1	<u>'</u>			ı		
Yamhill	385	٠	,	,	,		-	-	1	,	-	70	2%	,	1	' '		· -	333	%98	-	1
Total	16707	2098	13%	3707	22%	159	1%	163	1%	348	2%	734	4% 7	7589 45	45% 5	517 3%	% 78	8 ≤ .05%	% 419	3%	105 1	1%

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division - None reported

PDG Workbook 2 Early Learning Availability and Enrollment

Section III Preschool Promise Enrollment

Source: Oregon Department of Education - Early Learning Division

Age: 3 to 5

Most recent year available: 2017-2018

Latinx/Hispanic African American White Asian Pacific Islander American

Race/ethnicity categories available: Indian/Alaska Native Multi-racial

Geographic region/level available: County **Domain:** Reach

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of income-eligible 3-5 year old children being

served by Head Start, OPK, and Preschool Promise in the region or county. Indicates the potential need for expansion of publically funded preschool.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile Calculation: Metric calculation numerator:number of children enrolled by income (0-

200% FPL, 0-100% FPL, 101-200% FPL)

Metric calculation denominator: Poverty at each level was calculated for each year of age from ACS, then added together for the ages 3 years through

5 years old (e.g., 3.0 years old to under 6.0 years old)

Defintion of Terms: ACS 5-year estimates, 2017: Table B17024 and B17001

Data are calculated for # of children 3-5 years old at different levels of poverty

Poverty at each level was calculated for each year of age, then added

together for the ages 3 years through 5 years old (e.g., 3.0 years old to under

6.0 years old)

Early Learning and Availability Preschool Promise Enrollment by Income

	Estimated Per	Estimated Percent of Children Enrolled 0-200% FPL	Enrolled	
		Estimated		
	Total Children	Population		
Table 1	Enrolled in	Total Children	Estimated	
	Preschool	3-5 0-200%	Percent	
County	Promise	FPL	Served	
Baker	9	294	2%	
Clackamas	28	3760	1%	
Clatsop	15	592	3%	
Columbia	28	711	4%	
Douglas	97	1778	5%	
Jackson	148	3897	4%	
Josephine	54	1395	4%	
Klamath	19	1346	1%	
Lane	204	5401	4%	
Malheur	36	838	4%	
Marion	257	7242	4%	
Multnomah	214	10402	2%	
Polk	120	1256	10%	
Tillamook	37	489	8%	
Washington	175	7179	2%	
Total	1438	46580	3%	

	0																			
olled 0-		Estimate	d Percent	Served	%7	> .05%	1%	1%	%5	%7	1%	% E	4%	2%	2%	1%	%8	2%	1%	2%
Estimated Percent of Children Enrolled 0- 100% FPL	Estimated	Population Total	Children 3-5 0-	100% FPL	144	1630	206	294	907	1964	622	478	2518	593	3423	5409	516	238	3308	22250
Estimated Perce	Children Enrolled in	Preschool	Promise 0-100%	FPL	9	5	3	4	42	92	8	13	96	10	61	74	43	13	35	489

Percent of Childr	Percent of Children Enrolled 101-200% FPL	.00% FPL
לים וייסילוילי	Estimated	
in Preschool	Total Children	Estimated
Promise 101-	3-5 101-200%	Percent
200% FPL	FPL	Served
0	150	%0
23	2130	1%
12	386	3%
24	417	%9
55	871	%9
72	1933	4%
46	773	%9
6	868	1%
108	2883	4%
26	245	11%
196	3819	2%
140	4993	3%
77	740	10%
24	251	10%
140	3871	4%
0.00	00070	/01

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division 2017-2018

ACS 5-year estimates, 2017: Table B17024 and B17001

Data are calculated for # of children 3-5 years old at different levels of poverty

Poverty at each level was calculated for each year of age, then added together for the ages 3 years through 5 years old (e.g., 3.0 years old to under 6.0 years

Preschool Promise Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity Early Learning and Availability

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division 2017-2018

^{*}Numbers below 5 are suppressed -None reported

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Preschol Promise enrollment by Child's Home Language

_			By C	Child's Hor	me Langua	age	
Table 3	Total Preschool	Eng		Spai		Other La	inguage
	Promise						
County	Children	N	%	N	%	N	%
Baker	6	6	100%	-	-	-	-
Clackamas	28	22	79%	6	21%	-	-
Clatsop	15	13	87%	2	13%	-	-
Columbia	28	28	100%	-	-	-	-
Douglas	97	96	99%	3	3%	-	-
Jackson	148	81	55%	66	45%	-	-
Josephine	54	50	93%	*	*	6	11%
Klamath	19	19	100%	-	-	-	-
Lane	204	144	71%	60	29%	-	-
Malheur	36	30	83%	6	17%	-	-
Marion	257	128	50%	120	47%	17	7%
Multnomah	214	87	41%	42	20%	82	38%
Polk	120	88	73%	20	17%	*	*
Tillamook	37	25	68%	12	32%	-	-
Washington	175	68	39%	104	59%	6	3%
Total	1438	885	62%	444	31%	114	8%

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division 2017-2018

^{*}Numbers below 5 are suppressed

⁻None reported

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Preschool Promise Enrollment by Provider Type

								8	y Provi	By Provider Type	 						
	Total	Comn	Community	Education	ation							Public	olic				
	Preschool	Ba	Based	Service	/ice	Head	ad	Private	ate	Private	ate	Charter	rter	Public	olic	Relief	əf
Table 4	Promise	Organ	Organization	Dist	District	Start/OPK	/OPK	Center	ter	Home-based	based	Sch	School	School	loo	Nursery	ery
County	Children	N	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%
Baker	9	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	9	100%	-	-	-	-	_	
Clackamas	28 -	-	-	1	-	10	36%	1	-	1	-		-	18	64%	_	_
Clatsop	15	-	-	1	-		ı	1	-	1	-		-	15	100%	_	_
Columbia	28	-	-	1	-		ı	1	-		-		-	28	100%	_	_
Douglas	6	-	-	-	-		-	1	-	-	-	-	-	26	100%	_	
Jackson	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	36%	62	42%	-	-	-	-	32	22%
Josephine	54	-	-	-	-	34	%89	20	37%	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Klamath	19	19	100%	1	-		ı	1	-		-		-	ı		_	_
Lane	204	55	27%	1	-		ı	21	10%	36	18%		-	82	40%	10	5%
Malheur	36	-	-	36	100%		ı	1	-	8	22%		-	ı		_	_
Marion	257	-	-	-	-	65	25%	113	44%	59	23%	-	-	20	8%	-	_
Multnoma	214	21	10%	1	-	40	19%	1	-	15	7%	14	7%	124	58%	_	_
Polk	120	-	-	1	-	47	39%	61	51%	12	10%		-	ı		_	_
Tillamook	37	ı	1	ı	1		ı	22	59%		ı	,	1	15	41%		
Washingto	175	50	29%	-	_	72	41%	-	_	10	%9	-	-	43	25%	_	
Total	1438	145	10%	36	3%	268	19%	291	20%	208	14%	14	1%	442	31%	42	3%

Data Source: Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division 2017-2018

⁻None reported

PDG Workbook 2 Early Learning Availability and Enrollment

Section IV Early Intervention and Early Childhood Special Education

Source: Oregon Department of Education, Special Education Child Count

Age: 0 to 5

Most recent year available: 2018-2019 School Year

Data location/link: https://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-and-

family/SpecialEducation/earlyintervention/Pages/default.aspx

Race/ethnicity categories

available:

Asian, Black, Hispanic, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, Multi-racial

Geographic region/level available: County

Domain: Reach

Rationale for inclusion: El Enrollment data: Estimates the percent of age-eligible children in your county who are served annually

by Early Intervention. Low estimates indicate less early identification and enrollment in El services compared to other counties, and indicate potential need to strengthen systems for early developmental

screening.

ESCS Enrollment Data:

Estimates the percent of age-eligible children in your county who are served annually by Early Childhood Special Education. Low estimates indicate less early identification and enrollment in El services compared to other counties, and indicate potential need to strengthen systems for early developmental screening.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile Calculation:

Metric calculation numerator: Number of children receiving services

Metric calculation denominator: Total population number of children by age group, ACS B09001

Risk/reach level calculation:

EI -Low=0-2.2%, Low-Moderate=2.3-3.3%, High-Moderate=3.4-3.8%, High=3.9%-5.5%;

Mean = 3.1%, Standard Deviation=.01

ECSE - Low=0-5.2%, Low-Moderate=5.3-5.8%, High-Moderate=5.9-7.8%, High=7.9-17%;

Mean = 6.8%, Standard Deviation = .03

Definition of Terms EI - Early Intervention, serving children birth through age 2

ECSE - Early Childhood Special Education, serving children age 3 through 5

*To conform with the Department of Education's confidentiality policy, cell sizes less than 6 are suppressed. In some cases, larger cells may require suppression in order to maintain confidentiality. Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative

to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties.

Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that

are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as "H" (High)

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Enrollment in Early Intervention or Early Childhoold Special Education

	Percent of Total 0-2 Population Enrolled in El		
	Birth to Age	%	Estimated
Table 1	2 (EI)	Children	El Reach
County	N	%	Level
Baker	11	1.9%	L
Benton	45	2.1%	L
Clackamas	432	3.5%	MH
Clatsop	54	4.2%	Н
Columbia	62	3.7%	МН
Coos	58	3.4%	МН
Crook	33	5.5%	Н
Curry	7	1.4%	L
Deschutes	159	3.1%	LM
Douglas	138	4.3%	Н
Gilliam	*	*	*
Grant	*	*	*
Harney	6	2.5%	LM
Hood River	24	3.3%	LM
Jackson	258	3.8%	МН
Jefferson	32	3.9%	Н
Josephine	90	4.1%	Н
Klamath	91	4.2%	Н
Lake	*	*	*
Lane	412	3.8%	МН
Lincoln	37	2.7%	LM
Linn	105	2.3%	LM
Malheur	42	3.6%	МН
Marion	355	2.7%	LM
Morrow	7	1.3%	L
Multnomak	891	3.3%	LM
Polk	58	1.9%	L
Sherman	*	*	*
Tillamook	23	3.3%	LM
Umatilla	104	3.0%	LM
Union	17	1.9%	L
Wallowa	*	*	*
Wasco	31	2.9%	LM
Washington	722	3.4%	МН
Wheeler	*	*	*
Yamhill	71	2.1%	L
Oregon	4388	3.3%	

Percent of Population		
-	SE	
Age 3 to 5	% Children	ECSE
(ECSE)	Served	Reach
N	%	Level
25	5.9%	МН
91	3.8%	L
808	5.8%	LM
118	10.4%	Н
131	8.5%	Н
144	6.9%	MH
44	6.2%	MH
24	4.4%	L
264	4.4%	L
268	8.1%	Н
*	*	*
17	6.8%	МН
32	15.4%	МН
69	6.4%	МН
413	5.6%	LM
73	7.8%	МН
134	5.2%	L
143	5.8%	LM
10	5.3%	LM
1069	9.5%	Н
82	5.4%	LM
270	5.9%	МН
75	5.4%	LM
682	5.1%	L
39	8.9%	Н
1582	5.8%	LM
118	4.8%	L
6	17.1%	Н
50	5.4%	LM
195	6.9%	МН
44	5.1%	L
6	2.7%	L
95	9.7%	Н
1379	6.0%	МН
*	*	
180	4.8%	L
8688	6.1%	

Percent of Total 0-5 Population Enrolled in El-				
ECSE				
EI-ECSE	% Children			
Total	Served EI-ECSE			
N	%			
36	3.6%			
136	3.0%			
1240	4.7%			
172	7.1%			
193	6.0%			
202	5.4%			
77	5.9%			
31	3.0%			
423	3.8%			
406	6.2%			
*	*			
19	4.8%			
38	8.5%			
93	5.1%			
671	4.8%			
105	6.0%			
224	4.7%			
234	5.1%			
15	3.0%			
1481	6.7%			
119	4.2%			
375	4.1%			
117	4.6%			
1037	3.9%			
46	4.8%			
2473	4.5%			
176	3.2%			
6	8.5%			
73	4.5%			
299	4.7%			
61	3.4%			
10	2.6%			
126	6.2%			
2101	4.8%			
*	*			
251	3.5%			
13076	4.7%			

Data Source: December Special Education Child Count

Date Created: 08/09/2019

https://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-and-family/SpecialEducation/earlyintervention/Pages/default.aspx

Percent children served is calculated using total number of children 0-2 and 3-5 from ACS B09001.

Reach level is determined by splitting percent served by county into quartiles to determine low (L), low-moderate (LM), moderate-high (MH), and high (H).

^{*}To conform with the Department of Education's confidentiality policy, cell sizes less than 6 are suppressed. In some cases, larger cells may require suppression in order to maintain confidentiality.

^{**}More information about EI/ECSE can be found at:

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Enrollment in Early Intervention by Race/Ethnicity

[Birth to A	ge 2 (EI) I	by Race/E	thnicity					
							El Na							
Table 2	EI As	ian	EI BI	ack	El His	oanic	Amer	rican	El Pacific	Islander	EI W	hite	El Multi	i-Racial
County	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
Baker	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	10	91%	*	*
Benton	*	*	*	*	12	27%	*	*	*	*	31	69%	*	*
Clackamas	13	3%	8	2%	57	13%	*	*	*	*	343	79%	10	2%
Clatsop	*	*	*	*	12	22%	*	*	*	*	40	74%	*	*
Columbia	*	*	*	*	6	10%	*	*	*	*	53	85%	*	*
Coos	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	56	97%	*	*
Crook	*	*	*	*	6	18%	*	*	*	*	26	79%	*	*
Curry	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	86%	*	*
Deschutes	*	*	*	*	29	18%	*	*	*	*	118	74%	7	4%
Douglas	*	*	*	*	8	6%	*	*	*	*	123	89%	7	5%
Gilliam	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grant	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Harney	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	100%	*	*
Hood River	*	*	*	*	7	29%	*	*	*	*	15	63%	*	*
Jackson	*	*	*	*	46	18%	*	*	*	*	205	79%	*	*
Jefferson	*	*	*	*	6	19%	9	28%	*	*	17	53%	*	*
Josephine	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	86	96%	*	*
Klamath	*	*	*	*	18	20%	6	7%	*	*	66	73%	*	*
Lake	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lane	*	*	*	*	57	14%	*	*	*	*	328	80%	18	4%
Lincoln	*	*	*	*	7	19%	*	*	*	*	23	62%	6	16%
Linn	*	*	*	*	19	18%	*	*	*	*	84	80%	*	*
Malheur	*	*	*	*	24	57%	*	*	*	*	17	40%	*	*
Marion	*	*	*	*	134	38%	*	*	*	*	216	61%	*	*
Morrow	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Multnomah	44	5%	64	7%	180	20%	*	*	*	*	520	58%	76	9%
Polk	*	*	*	*	9	16%	*	*	*	*	48	83%	*	*
Sherman	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tillamook	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	20	87%	*	*
Umatilla	*	*	*	*	45	43%	6	6%	*	*	49	47%	*	*
Union	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16	94%	*	*
Wallowa	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Wasco	*	*	*	*	12	39%	*	*	*	*	18	58%	*	*
Washington	55	8%	15	2%	230	32%	*	*	*	*	351	49%	68	9%
Wheeler	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Yamhill	*	*	*	*	15	21%	*	*	*	*	56	79%	*	*
Oregon	122	3%	94	2%	952	22%	35	1%	13	£ 0.5%	2960	67%	212	5%

Data Source: December Special Education Child Count

Date Created: 08/09/2019

https://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-and-family/SpecialEducation/earlyintervention/Pages/default.aspx

Percents are calculated using the total number of EI children served in each county.

^{*}To conform with the Department of Education's confidentiality policy, cell sizes less than 6 are suppressed. In some cases, larger cells may require suppression in order to maintain confidentiality.

^{**}More information about EI/ECSE can be found at:

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Enrollment in Early Intervention by Language

Γ		Birth to	Age 2 (EI) by	Primary Lang	guage	
Table 3	El En		El Spa	-	El Other L	anguage
County	N	%	N	%	N	%
Baker	11	100%	*	*	*	*
Benton	37	82%	*	*	*	*
Clackamas	375	87%	21	5%	35	8%
Clatsop	49	91%	*	*	*	*
Columbia	59	95%	*	*	*	*
Coos	56	97%	*	*	*	*
Crook	26	79%	*	*	*	*
Curry	7	100%	*	*	*	*
Deschutes	129	81%	15	9%	15	9%
Douglas	137	99%	*	*	*	*
Gilliam	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grant	*	*	*	*	*	*
Harney	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hood River	18	75%	6	25%	*	*
Jackson	222	86%	28	11%	8	3%
Jefferson	30	94%	*	*	*	*
Josephine	86	96%	*	*	*	*
Klamath	66	73%	*	*	20	22%
Lake	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lane	379	92%	19	5%	14	3%
Lincoln	33	89%	*	*	*	*
Linn	93	89%	7	7%	*	*
Malheur	25	60%	9	21%	8	19%
Marion	266	75%	54	15%	35	10%
Morrow	*	*	*	*	*	*
Multnomal	647	73%	59	7%	181	20%
Polk	50	86%	*	*	*	*
Sherman	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tillamook	21	91%	*	*	*	*
Umatilla	74	71%	18	17%	12	12%
Union	17	100%	*	*	*	*
Wallowa	*	*	*	*	*	*
Wasco	16	52%	*	*	11	35%
Washingtor	482	67%	169	23%	63	9%
Wheeler	*	*	*	*	*	*
Yamhill	60	85%	*	*	6	8%
Oregon	3488	79%	447	10%	439	10%

Data Source: December Special Education Child Count

Date Created: 08/09/2019

https://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-and-family/SpecialEducation/earlyintervention/Pages/default.aspx Percents are calculated using the total number of El children served in each county.

^{*}To conform with the Department of Education's confidentiality policy, cell sizes less than 6 are suppressed. In some cases, larger cells may require suppression in order to maintain confidentiality.

^{**}More information about EI/ECSE can be found at:

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Enrollment in Early Childhood Special Education by Race/Ethnicity

						Age 3	to 5 (ECSE)	by Race/Eth	nicity					
							ECSE 1	Native	ECSE P	acific				
Table 4	ECSE /	Asian	ECSE	Black	ECSE Hi	spanic	Ame	rican	Islan	der	ECSE \	White	ECSE Mul	ti-Racial
County	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Baker	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	23	92%	*	*
Benton	*	*	*	*	19	21%	*	*	*	*	60	66%	9	10%
Clackamas	22	3%	11	1%	134	17%	*	*	*	*	611	76%	24	3%
Clatsop	*	*	*	*	29	25%	*	*	*	*	80	68%	*	*
Columbia	*	*	*	*	14	11%	*	*	*	*	107	82%	8	6%
Coos	*	*	*	*	12	8%	*	*	*	*	128	89%	*	*
Crook	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	38	86%	*	*
Curry	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	23	96%	*	*
Deschutes	*	*	*	*	50	19%	*	*	*	*	197	75%	8	3%
Douglas	*	*	*	*	20	7%	*	*	*	*	227	85%	19	7%
Gilliam	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grant	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16	94%	*	*
Harney	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	30	94%	*	*
Hood River	*	*	*	*	43	62%	*	*	*	*	25	36%	*	*
Jackson	*	*	*	*	80	19%	*	*	*	*	324	78%	7	2%
Jefferson	*	*	*	*	16	22%	28	38%	*	*	29	40%	*	*
Josephine	*	*	*	*	6	4%	*	*	*	*	125	93%	*	*
Klamath	*	*	*	*	32	22%	8	6%	*	*	97	68%	*	*
Lake	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9	90%	*	*
Lane	12	1%	13	1%	147	14%	12	1%	*	*	830	78%	53	5%
Lincoln	*	*	*	*	19	23%	*	*	*	*	50	61%	9	11%
Linn	*	*	*	*	45	17%	*	*	*	*	212	79%	10	4%
Malheur	*	*	*	*	41	55%	*	*	*	*	33	44%	*	*
Marion	*	*	*	*	269	39%	*	*	*	*	401	59%	*	*
Morrow	*	*	*	*	24	62%	*	*	*	*	13	33%	*	*
Multnomah	72	5%	130	8%	333	21%	11	1%	7	£ 0.5%	908	57%	121	8%
Polk	*	*	*	*	26	22%	*	*	*	*	89	75%	*	*
Sherman	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tillamook	*	*	*	*	11	22%	*	*	*	*	38	76%	*	*
Umatilla	*	*	*	*	78	40%	*	*	*	*	105	54%	*	*
Union	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	40	91%	*	*
Wallowa	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	100%	*	*
Wasco	*	*	*	*	27	28%	*	*	*	*	64	67%	*	*
Washington	120	9%	36	3%	478	35%	*	*	10	1%	624	45%	108	8%
Wheeler	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Yamhill	*	*	*	*	35	19%	*	*	*	*	141	78%	*	*
Oregon	248	3%	201	2%	1999	23%	86	1%	30	£ 0.5%	5715	66%	409	5%

Data Source: December Special Education Child Count

Date Created: 08/09/2019

https://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-and-family/SpecialEducation/earlyintervention/Pages/default.aspx

Percents are calculated using the total number of ECSE children served in each county.

^{*}To conform with the Department of Education's confidentiality policy, cell sizes less than 6 are suppressed. In some cases, larger cells may require suppression in order to maintain confidentiality.

^{**}More information about EI/ECSE can be found at:

Early Learning Availability and Enrollment Enrollment in Early Childhood Special Education by Primary Language

				Age 3 to 5	(ECSE) by	Primary	Language			
					, , ,				ECSE C	Other
Table 5	ECSE E	nglish	ECSE Sp	oanish	ECSE R	ussian	ECSE Viet	tnamese	Lang	uage
County	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Baker	24	96%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Benton	73	80%	9	10%	*	*	*	*	9	10%
Clackamas	674	83%	49	6%	*	*	*	*	84	10%
Clatsop	100	85%	16	14%	*	*	*	*	*	*
Columbia	126	96%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Coos	139	97%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Crook	43	98%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Curry	23	96%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Deschutes	229	87%	25	9%	*	*	*	*	10	4%
Douglas	260	97%	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	2%
Gilliam	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grant	17	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Harney	31	97%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hood River	36	52%	33	48%	*	*	*	*	*	*
Jackson	335	81%	43	10%	*	*	*	*	34	8%
Jefferson	61	84%	8	11%	*	*	*	*	*	*
Josephine	94	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	37	28%
Klamath	107	75%	12	8%	*	*	*	*	24	17%
Lake	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9	90%
Lane	974	91%	75	7%	*	*	*	*	16	1%
Lincoln	73	89%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Linn	248	92%	16	6%	*	*	*	*	6	2%
Malheur	63	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*	8	11%
Marion	454	67%	126	18%	*	*	*	*	101	15%
Morrow	15	38%	11	28%	*	*	*	*	13	33%
Multnomal	1140	72%	140	9%	6	£ 0.5%	10	1%	286	18%
Polk	100	85%	8	7%	*	*	*	*	10	8%
Sherman	6	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tillamook	46	92%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Umatilla	145	74%	23	12%	*	*	*	*	27	14%
Union	43	98%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Wallowa	6	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Wasco	51	54%	12	13%	*	*	*	*	32	34%
Washingtor	863	63%	353	26%	6	£ 0.5%	17	1%	138	10%
Wheeler	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Yamhill	144	80%	20	11%	*	*	*	*	16	9%
Oregon	6751	78%	1006	12%	13	£ 0.5%	32	£ 0.5%	881	10%

Data Source: December Special Education Child Count

Date Created: 08/09/2019

https://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-and-family/SpecialEducation/earlyintervention/Pages/default.aspx
Percents are calculated using the total number of ECSE children served in each county.

^{*}To conform with the Department of Education's confidentiality policy, cell sizes less than 6 are suppressed. In some cases, larger cells may require suppression in order to maintain confidentiality.

^{**}More information about EI/ECSE can be found at:

PDG Workbook 3 Early Learning Availability and Quality
Section I Child Care and Early Learning Facilities
Source: Oregon State University, Estimating Supply

Age: N/A

Most recent year available: 2018 (data as of January 1, 2018)

Race/ethnicity categories

available:

N/A

Geographic region/level

available:

State, County

Domain: Quality & Availability of Services - Child Care/PreK Availability

Rationale for inclusion: Rationale for inclusion: Provides information about the overall number of licensed child care programs

operating in your region.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile

Calculation:

Metric calculation numerator: Total number of facilities

Metric calculation denominator: N/A

Definition of terms: Data Source Information:

The Estimating Supply (ES) dataset is put together by Oregon State University (OSU) by merging licensing data (Child Care Regulatory Information System) and Child Care Resource & Referral databases (NACCRRAware). Every two years, the Central Coordination manages a data update process in which data on capacity and price by age group are collected from all Oregon child care facilities within a three-month period to ensure all data were comparable and current. Data is current as of January 1, 2018.

The dataset includes data on each facility's regulatory status and desired capacity by age group. Desired capacity is the number of slots they desire to fill and may differ from their licensed capacity since a facility may be licensed for more slots than they chose to fill. Therefore, desired capacity better represents of the amount of child care available.

Results are provided by type of care, regulation type, and facility type:

Types of Care: Certified Centers, Recorded Programs, Exempt Centers, Certified Family Homes, Registered Family Homes, Exempt Family Homes.

Regulation Types: regulated, recorded, and exempt.

- *Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family (OCC license ids CC, CF, RF)
- *Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups (OCC license ids PS & SA)
- *Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family

Facility Types: Center-Based or Home-Based

Results are also reported for the following age groups: 0-2, 3-5, and 0-5. Age group results are reported in a separate tab with "(AG 0-5)" in the tab name. 0-2 year olds includes children birth through age 2. 3-5 year olds includes children 3 through the end of age 5.

**Data note: Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show them as zeros.

Information Specific to each tab (also included in tab)

Facilities:

1Data as of January 1, 2018

2Regulation Type: Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family; Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups; Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family.

3Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show zeros.

Facilities (AG 0-5):

1Data as of January 1, 2018

2Providers can serve more than one age group, therefore the totals for 0-2 and 3-5 cannot be added together. Use the total provided under 0-5 for total providers serving ages 0-5.

3Regulation Type: Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family; Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups; Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family. 40-2 year olds includes children birth through age 2. 3-5 year olds includes children 3 through the end of age 5.

5Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show zeros.

Slots:

1Data as of January 1, 2018

2The number of slots reflects the desired capacity of facilities which may differ from licensed capacity.

3Regulation Type: Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family; Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups; Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family.

4Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show zeros.

Slots (AG 0-5):

1Data as of January 1, 2018

2The number of slots reflects the desired capacity of facilities which may differ from licensed capacity.

3Regulation Type: Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family; Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups; Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family.

4Percent listed under regulation and facility type is the percent of the county's supply in that category.

5Recorded Programs serve primarily preschool and school age groups, however, a few reported slots in the infants/toddlers age group. It is unclear if this is accurate or a data reporting error.

60-2 year olds includes children birth through age 2. 3-5 year olds includes children 3 through the end of

7Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show zeros.

Early Learning Availability and Quality Number of Facilities by Type of Care, Regulation Type, and Facility Type

		Number of Facilities By Type of Care									
	Certified Centers	Facility Page 1									
	N	N	N	N	N	N					
State	1,345	444	212	801	1,883	556	5,241				

	Number of Facilities By Type of Care										
Table 1	Certified Centers	Recorded Programs	Exempt Centers	Certified Family Homes	Registere d Family Homes	Exempt Family Homes	Total Facilities				
	N	N	N	N	N	N					
Baker	1	4	4	1	14	13	37				
Benton	38	8	8	9	23	9	95				
Clackamas	114	40	22	49	135	33	393				
Clatsop	13	4	5	3	12	3	40				
Columbia	15	8	1	1	20	1	46				
Coos	13	3	4	6	30	34	90				
Crook	4	3	4	2	8	4	25				
Curry	7	2	1	4	5	1	20				
Deschutes	57	14	36	43	49	23	222				
Douglas	27	7	4	8	49	18	113				
Gilliam	1	1	1	1	-	-	3				
Grant	2	1	5	1	2	3	14				
Harney	1	2	3	-	-	9	15				
Hood River	15	2	6	11	11	3	48				
Jackson	51	28	14	36	116	21	266				
Jefferson	9	-	3	2	12	4	30				
Josephine	32	7	-	7	18	5	69				
Klamath	17	7	1	2	21	14	62				
Lake	1	2	-	-	-	3	6				
Lane	122	15	23	72	125	23	380				
Lincoln	11	4	9	4	10	4	42				
Linn	24	17	6	8	49	27	131				
Malheur	10	5	-	4	15	6	40				
Marion	112	28	9	76	192	60	477				
Morrow	8	1	-	-	3	5	17				
Multnomah	327	143	16	207	475	73	1241				
Polk	24	5	2	17	37	11	96				
Sherman	1	-	-	2	-	-	3				
Tillamook	8	2	1	2	12	3	28				
Umatilla	21	5	6	12	49	26	119				
Union	7	5	2	3	31	46	94				
Wallowa	3	3	2	2	1	3	14				
Wasco	9	2	1	5	22	7	46				
Washington	214	59	1	169	299	46	788				
Wheeler	3	-	-	-	1	-	4				
Yamhill	23	7	13	32	37	15	127				
Total	1,345	444	212	801	1,883	556	5,241				

By Regulation Type									
Regulated Recorded (CC, CF, RF) (RP)				Exen (E.Cent Fam	er, E.	Total Facilities			
N	%	N %		N	%				
4,029	77%	444	8%	768	15%	5,241			

Table 2 Regulated (CC, CF, RF) Recorded (RP) Exempt (E.Center, E. Family) Total facilities N N N N N % Baker 16 43% 4 11% 17 46% 37 Benton 70 74% 8 8% 17 18% 95 Clackamas 298 76% 40 10% 55 14% 393 Clatsop 28 70% 4 10% 8 20% 40 Columbia 36 78% 8 17% 2 4% 46 Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84		By Regulation Type									
Baker 16 43% 4 11% 17 46% 37 Benton 70 74% 8 8% 17 18% 95 Clackamas 298 76% 40 10% 55 14% 393 Clatsop 28 70% 4 10% 8 20% 40 Columbia 36 78% 8 17% 2 4% 46 Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3	Table 2	_		Recor	ded	Exer (E.Cent	ter, E.				
Benton 70 74% 8 8% 17 18% 95 Clackamas 298 76% 40 10% 55 14% 393 Clatsop 28 70% 4 10% 8 20% 40 Columbia 36 78% 8 17% 2 4% 46 Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14		N	%	N	%	N	%				
Clackamas 298 76% 40 10% 55 14% 393 Clatsop 28 70% 4 10% 8 20% 40 Columbia 36 78% 8 17% 2 4% 46 Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 4% 9 19% 48	Baker	16	43%	4	11%	17	46%	37			
Clatsop 28 70% 4 10% 8 20% 40 Columbia 36 78% 8 17% 2 4% 46 Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48	Benton	70	74%	8	8%	17	18%	95			
Columbia 36 78% 8 17% 2 4% 46 Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266	Clackamas	298	76%	40	10%	55	14%	393			
Coos 49 54% 3 3% 38 42% 90 Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30	Clatsop	28	70%	4	10%	8	20%	40			
Crook 14 56% 3 12% 8 32% 25 Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69	Columbia	36	78%	8	17%	2	4%	46			
Curry 16 80% 2 10% 2 10% 20 Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 <	Coos	49	54%	3	3%	38	42%	90			
Deschutes 149 67% 14 6% 59 27% 222 Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hanney 1 7% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6	Crook	14	56%	3	12%	8	32%	25			
Douglas 84 74% 7 6% 22 19% 113 Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 <	Curry	16	80%	2	10%	2	10%	20			
Gilliam 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 3 Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lak	Deschutes	149	67%	14	6%	59	27%	222			
Grant 5 36% 1 7% 8 57% 14 Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lake<	Douglas	84	74%	7	6%	22	19%	113			
Harney 1 7% 2 13% 12 80% 15 Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lake 1 17% 4 46 12% 380 Lincoln <td< td=""><td>Gilliam</td><td>2</td><td>67%</td><td>1</td><td>33%</td><td>0</td><td>0%</td><td>3</td></td<>	Gilliam	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3			
Hood River 37 77% 2 4% 9 19% 48 Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lane 319 84% 15 4% 46 12% 380 Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477	Grant	5	36%	1	7%	8	57%	14			
Jackson 203 76% 28 11% 35 13% 266 Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lane 319 84% 15 4% 46 12% 380 Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 <t< td=""><td>Harney</td><td>1</td><td>7%</td><td>2</td><td>13%</td><td>12</td><td>80%</td><td>15</td></t<>	Harney	1	7%	2	13%	12	80%	15			
Jefferson 23 77% 0 0% 7 23% 30 Josephine 57 83% 7 10% 5 7% 69 Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lane 319 84% 15 4% 46 12% 380 Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241	Hood River	37	77%	2	4%	9	19%	48			
Josephine 57	Jackson	203	76%	28	11%	35	13%	266			
Klamath 40 65% 7 11% 15 24% 62 Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lane 319 84% 15 4% 46 12% 380 Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3	Jefferson	23	77%	0	0%	7	23%	30			
Lake 1 17% 2 33% 3 50% 6 Lane 319 84% 15 4% 46 12% 380 Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28	Josephine	57	83%	7	10%	5	7%	69			
Lane 319 84% 15 4% 46 12% 380 Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119	Klamath	40	65%	7	11%	15	24%	62			
Lincoln 25 60% 4 10% 13 31% 42 Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94	Lake	1	17%	2	33%	3	50%	6			
Linn 81 62% 17 13% 33 25% 131 Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 <	Lane	319	84%	15	4%	46	12%	380			
Malheur 29 73% 5 13% 6 15% 40 Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46	Lincoln	25	60%	4	10%	13	31%	42			
Marion 380 80% 28 6% 69 14% 477 Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788	Linn	81	62%	17	13%	33	25%	131			
Morrow 11 65% 1 6% 5 29% 17 Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4	Malheur	29	73%	5	13%	6	15%	40			
Multnomah 1,009 81% 143 12% 89 7% 1,241 Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127 <td>Marion</td> <td>380</td> <td>80%</td> <td>28</td> <td>6%</td> <td>69</td> <td>14%</td> <td>477</td>	Marion	380	80%	28	6%	69	14%	477			
Polk 78 81% 5 5% 13 14% 96 Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Morrow	11	65%	1	6%	5	29%	17			
Sherman 3 100% 0 0% 0 0% 3 Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Multnomah	1,009	81%	143	12%	89	7%	1,241			
Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Polk	78	81%	5	5%	13	14%	96			
Tillamook 22 79% 2 7% 4 14% 28 Umatilla 82 69% 5 4% 32 27% 119 Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Sherman	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3			
Union 41 44% 5 5% 48 51% 94 Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127		22	79%	2	7%	4	14%	28			
Wallowa 6 43% 3 21% 5 36% 14 Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Umatilla	82	69%	5	4%	32	27%	119			
Wasco 36 78% 2 4% 8 17% 46 Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Union	41	44%	5	5%	48	51%	94			
Washington 682 87% 59 7% 47 6% 788 Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Wallowa	6	43%	3	21%	5	36%	14			
Wheeler 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 4 Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Wasco	36	78%	2	4%	8	17%	46			
Yamhill 92 72% 7 6% 28 22% 127	Washington	682	87%	59	7%	47	6%	788			
	Wheeler	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4			
Total 4,029 77% 444 8% 768 15% 5,241	Yamhill	92	72%	7	6%	28	22%	127			
	Total	4,029	77%	444	8%	768	15%	5,241			

By Facility Type								
Center- (CC, R Cent	P, E.	Home-I (CF, R Fam	F, E.	Total Facilities				
N	%	N %						
2,001	38%	3,240	62%	5,241				

	By Facility Type									
Table 3	Center- (CC, R Cent	P, E.	Home-I (CF, R Fam	F, E.	Total Facilities					
	N	%	N	%						
Baker	9	24%	28	76%	37					
Benton	54	57%	41	43%	95					
Clackamas	176	45%	217	55%	393					
Clatsop	22	55%	18	45%	40					
Columbia	24	52%	22	48%	46					
Coos	20	22%	70	78%	90					
Crook	11	44%	14	56%	25					
Curry	10	50%	10	50%	20					
Deschutes	107	48%	115	52%	222					
Douglas	38	34%	75	66%	113					
Gilliam	2	67%	1	33%	3					
Grant	8	57%	6	43%	14					
Harney	6	40%	9	60%	15					
Hood River	23	48%	25	52%	48					
Jackson	93	35%	173	65%	266					
Jefferson	12	40%	18	60%	30					
Josephine	39	57%	30	43%	69					
Klamath	25	40%	37	60%	62					
Lake	3	50%	3	50%	6					
Lane	160	42%	220	58%	380					
Lincoln	24	57%	18	43%	42					
Linn	47	36%	84	64%	131					
Malheur	15	38%	25	63%	40					
Marion	149	31%	328	69%	477					
Morrow	9	53%	8	47%	17					
Multnomah	486	39%	755	61%	1,241					
Polk	31	32%	65	68%	96					
Sherman	1	33%	2	67%	3					
Tillamook	11	39%	17	61%	28					
Umatilla	32	27%	87	73%	119					
Union	14	15%	80	85%	94					
Wallowa	8	57%	6	43%	14					
Wasco	12	26%	34	74%	46					
Washington	274	35%	514	65%	788					
Wheeler	3	75%	1	25%	4					
Yamhill	43	34%	84	66%	127					
Total	2,001	38%	3,240	62%	5,241					

		Number of Facilities By Type of Care Ages 0-2										
	Certified Centers	,										
	N	N	N	N	N	N						
State	538	6	11	31,	1042,	431	80632					

[Ages 0-2			
Table 4	Certified Centers	Recorded Programs	Exempt Centers	Certified Family Homes	Registered Family Homes	Exempt Family Homes	Total 0-2 Facilities
	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Baker	g	g	g	1	12	4	1,
Benton	13	g	g	6	19	3	, 9
Clackamas	5G	8	1	, 4	98	8G	888
Clatso-	,	g	1	8	11	8	82
Col7mpua	5	g	g	1	14	1	82
Coos	3	g	g	,	8G	11	, 6
Crook	1	g	g	1	6	,	1,
C7rrb	8	g	g	8	,	1	9
i escy7tes	89	g	1	43	43	19	181
i o7Dlas	G	g	1	3	44	6	55
hulluam	1	g	g	1	g	g	8
h rant	g	g	g	1	1	1	4
Harneb	g	g	g	g	g	,	,
Hood Rwer	6	g	g	3	6	1	84
Jackson	1G	g	g	42	6G	13	152
Jefferson	6	g	1	8	11	,	83
Jose- yune	1,	g	g	3	14	8	45
Klamaty	G	g	g	8	16	6	45
Lake	g	g	g	g	g	8	8
Lane	, G	g	4	52	G	14	169
Luncoln	4	g	1	4	4	1	11
Lunn	18	g	g	5	4G	82	G,
Malye7r	3	g	g	,	12	8	88
Maruon	44	1	g	33	156	51	429
Morrow	5	g	g	g	4	,	18
M7ltnomay	1, 2	4	1	15G	892	59	352
Polk	18	g	g	14	48	11	36
Syerman	g	g	g	8	g	g	8
Tullamook	8	g	g	g	G	8	11
Umatulla	9	g	1	12	4G	19	G3
Unuon	1	g	g	4	86	15	, G
Wallowa	1	g	g	1	1	g	4
Wasco	3	g	g	8	1G	3	41
WasyunDton	94	8	g	11G	164	8G	, 88
Wyeeler	g	g	g	g	1	g	1
Yamyull	12	g	g	89	42	11	62
Total	538	6	11	31,	1042,	431	8632

			Number of	Facilities By T Ages 3-5	ype of Care		
	Certified Centers	Recorded Programs	Exempt Centers	Certified Family Homes	Registered Family Homes	Exempt Family Homes	Total 3-5 Facilities
	N	N	N	N	N	N	
State	998	290	118	675	1,525	353	3,959

Table 5 Certified Centers Recorded Programs Exempt Centers Family Homes A A D A B D D A D A D A A D A A D A A D D A A D A A D A D A D A D A	
Baker 1 4 3 1 12 4 Benton 27 7 3 9 20 8 Clackamas 94 37 11 44 108 25 Clatsop 12 3 4 2 12 2 Columbia 13 8 1 1 16 1 Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1	otal 3-5 acilities
Benton 27 7 3 9 20 8 Clackamas 94 37 11 44 108 25 Clatsop 12 3 4 2 12 2 Columbia 13 8 1 1 16 1 Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 4 Hood River 15 2 3 <th></th>	
Clackamas 94 37 11 44 108 25 Clatsop 12 3 4 2 12 2 Columbia 13 8 1 1 16 1 Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 <td>25</td>	25
Clatsop 12 3 4 2 12 2 Columbia 13 8 1 1 16 1 Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 1 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2	74
Columbia 13 8 1 1 16 1 Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 4 1	319
Coos 12 1 4 5 28 14 Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 <td>35</td>	35
Crook 4 2 3 2 8 3 Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	40
Curry 6 1 1 2 4 1 Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	64
Deschutes 54 9 17 42 44 18 Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	22
Douglas 24 6 3 6 43 7 Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - - - Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	15
Gilliam 1 1 - 1 - </td <td>184</td>	184
Grant 2 1 5 1 1 1 Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	89
Harney 1 1 3 - - 4 Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	3
Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	11
Hood River 15 2 3 9 8 1 Jackson 46 18 1 34 100 16 Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	9
Jefferson 9 - 2 2 12 4 Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	38
Josephine 21 4 - 7 14 2 Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	215
Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	29
Klamath 15 5 1 2 19 8	48
	50
Lake 1 2 - - 1	4
Lane 95 9 11 54 87 12	268
Lincoln 10 2 2 3 7 2	26
Linn 18 14 4 8 40 21	105
Malheur 6 4 - 4 10 2	26
Marion 70 19 8 73 174 52	396
Morrow 4 1 3 2	10
Multnomah 221 53 16 173 374 57	894
Polk 14 4 2 14 34 9	77
Sherman 1 2	3
Tillamook 7 2 1 - 11 2	23
Umatilla 16 5 4 10 41 17	93
Union 5 5 2 3 30 17	62
Wallowa 2 2 - 2 1 -	7
Wasco 7 2 1 4 20 6	40
Washington 141 50 1 126 211 21	550
Wheeler 3 1 - 1 -	4
Yamhill 20 6 1 29 32 13	101
Total 998 290 118 675 1,525 353	3,959

			Number of	Facilities By T	ype of Care		
				Total 0-5			
	Certified Centers	Recorded Programs	Exempt Centers	Certified Family Homes	Registered Family Homes	Exempt Family Homes	Total 0-5 Facilities
	N	N	N	N	N	N	
State	1,050	291	118	715	1,547	400	4,121

				Total 0-5			
Table 6	Certified Centers	Recorded Programs	Exempt Centers	Certified Family Homes	Registered Family Homes	Exempt Family Homes	Total 0-5 Facilities
	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Baker	1	4	3	1	13	4	26
Benton	29	7	3	9	20	8	76
Clackamas	95	37	11	47	108	29	327
Clatsop	12	3	4	2	12	3	36
Columbia	13	8	1	1	16	1	40
Coos	12	1	4	5	28	14	64
Crook	4	2	3	2	8	4	23
Curry	6	1	1	2	4	1	15
Deschutes	55	9	17	43	44	21	189
Douglas	25	6	3	7	43	8	92
Gilliam	1	1	-	1	-	-	3
Grant	2	1	5	1	1	1	11
Harney	1	1	3	-	-	5	10
Hood River	15	2	3	9	9	1	39
Jackson	49	18	1	34	101	17	220
Jefferson	9	-	2	2	12	4	29
Josephine	23	4	-	7	14	2	50
Klamath	17	5	1	2	20	9	54
Lake	1	2	-	-	-	2	5
Lane	97	9	11	58	88	13	276
Lincoln	11	2	2	3	7	2	27
Linn	19	14	4	8	40	22	107
Malheur	9	4	-	4	11	2	30
Marion	70	19	8	73	177	58	405
Morrow	7	1	-	-	3	4	15
Multnomah	240	54	16	192	385	63	950
Polk	16	4	2	14	34	11	81
Sherman	1	-	-	2	-	-	3
Tillamook	7	2	1	-	11	2	23
Umatilla	18	5	4	10	41	19	97
Union	6	5	2	3	30	20	66
Wallowa	3	2	-	2	1	-	8
Wasco	9	2	1	4	20	7	43
Washington	143	50	1	136	212	30	572
Wheeler	3	-	-	-	1	-	4
Yamhill	21	6	1	31	33	13	105
Total	1,050	291	118	715	1,547	400	4,121

											Ages 3-3	1									
				70 ca9v	,													101al 0-5			
	Regulated (CC, CF, RF)	ted RF)	Recorded (RP)	ded	Exempt (E.Center, E. Family)	npt ter, E. ily)	Total 0-2 Facilities	Regu (CC, C	Regulated (CC, CF, RF)	Recorded (RP)	ded (c	Exempt (E.Center, E. Family)		Total 3-5 Facilities	Regulated (CC, CF, RF)	lated F, RF)	Recorded (RP includes PS, SA)	ded udes (A)	Exempt (E.Center, E. Family)		Total 0-5 Facilities
	\vdash	%			z	%		z	%	z		z	%		z	%	z	%	z	%	
	2,480	87%	∞	%0	372	13%	2,860	3,198	81%	290	2%	471	12%	3,959	3,312	%08	291	2%	518	13%	4,121
				Ages 0-2	.5						Ages 3-5	15						Total 0-5	2		
Table 7	Regulated	ted	Recorded (RP)	ded	Exempt (E.Center, E.		Total 0-2 Facilities	Regu	Regulated	Recorded	pap.	Exempt (E.Center, E.		Total 3-5 Facilities	Regulated	lated	Recorded (RP includes	ded udes	Exempt (E.Center, E.		Total 0-5 Facilities
	ולני, נרי, א	/N/ %	Z	%	Z	%		2) 2	, Nr) %	Z	%	Z	%) 	, Nr) %	, S	%	Z	%	
Baker	11	%62	0	%0	: m	21%	14	14	%95	4	16%	7	28%	25	15	28%	4	15%	7	27%	26
Benton	43	%88	0	%0	9	12%	49	99	%92	7	%6	11	15%	74	28	%92	7	%6	11	14%	92
Clackamas	192	%98	2	1%	28	13%	222	246	77%	37	12%	36	11%	319	250	%9/	37	11%	40	12%	327
Clatsop	17	85%	0	%0	ĸ	15%	20	26	74%	3	%6	9	17%	35	56	72%	3	%8	7	19%	36
Columbia	19	%56	0	%0	1	2%	20	30	75%	8	20%	2	2%	40	30	75%	8	20%	2	2%	40
Coos	37	77%	0	%0	11	23%	48	45	%02	1	7%	18	28%	64	45	%02	1	2%	18	28%	64
Crook	10	71%	0	%0	4	79%	14	14	64%	2	%6	9	27%	22	14	61%	2	%6	7	30%	23
Curry	∞	%68	0	%0	1	11%	6	12	%08	1	2%	2	13%	15	12	%08	1	2%	2	13%	15
Deschutes	101	83%	0	%0	20	17%	121	140	%92	6	2%	35	19%	184	142	75%	6	2%	38	20%	189
Douglas		84%	0	%0	ם ת	o	55	73	82%	۰ و	%/	2 6	%TT	SS C	٠ ر	82%	۰ و	%/	11	17%	92
GIIIIam		300T	0	%0	o +	%0	7	7	%/9	٦,	33%	0	%0	y 1,	7	%/9	1 .	33%	0	%0	χ) ,
Grant	7 0	%/0	0	%0		33%	0 4	4 4	30%	٦,	376	1 0	2007	II	4 4	30%	1 .	3%	٥	0200	11
Harney	2 0	%0	0	%0	4 -	**************************************	22	7 6	VTT	٦ ,	11% E%		11%	D 00	7 6	NO.	٦ ر	70.Z	× <	3U%	10
Hood Nivel	127	0/0/0	0 0	0.00	1 21	110%	150	100	0.470	10,	0/0	† [007	30	20,	0700	10	0/0	t 6	00/0	סכר
Jefferson	21	81%	0	%0	2 2	19%	26	23	79%	0	%0) T	21%	29	23	79%	0	%0	9	21%	29
Josephine	33	94%	0	%0	2	%9	35	42	%88	4	%8	2	4%	48	44	%88	4	%8	2	4%	50
Klamath	27	77%	0	%0	∞	23%	35	36	72%	5	10%	6	18%	50	39	72%	5	%6	10	19%	54
Lake	0	%0	0	%0	2	100%	2	1	25%	2	20%	1	25%	4	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	5
Lane	173	95%	0	%0	16	%8	189	236	88%	6	3%	23	%6	268	243	%88	6	3%	24	%6	276
Lincoln	6	82%	0	%0	2	18%	11	70	77%	2	%8	4	15%	26	21	78%	2	2%	4	15%	27
	54	73%	0	%0	20	27%	74	99	%89	14	13%	25	24%	105	67	%89	14	13%	26	24%	107
Malheur	20	91%	0	%0	7	%6	22	20	77%	4 ;	15%	2	%	26	24	80%	4 ;	13%	2	7%	30
Marion	757	83%		%0	51	1/%	309	31/	%0x	IB	%	90	15%	396	320	%6/	19	2%	99		405
Morrow	∞ !	%29	0	%0	4 8	33%	12	7	70%	1	10%	2	20%	10	2 5	%29	1	7%	4 5	27%	15
Multnomah	28/	%06	r (%0	09	%6	650	89/	86%	53	%9	/3	% ;	894	81/	%98	54	%9	6/	%%	950
Polk		84%	0	%0	11	16%	89	62	81%	4 (2%	11	14%	11	, 64	79%	4 0	2%	13	16%	81
Snerman		%OOT	0	%0	، د	10%	7,	γ ²	300/	0 0	%0	، د	126	٣ در	ν ^ξ	300/	0 0	%0	0 0	126/	, ,
Illamook	ש ל	240	0	%0	7 5	18%	11	Į Į	720/	7 .	8 2	v 5	13%	57	ρĮ	1200	7 .	%6	n (13%	57
Union	000	/4/0		000	75	220/0	77	/0	7270	0 4	0/0	10	2370	55	60	/17/0	0 7	0/0	62	0.470	16
Wallowa		100%	0	%0	3 0	%0	4,	3 6	71%	,	%60	3	%0	7	کر ح	75%	2	25%	7 0	%60	8 8
Wasco	١.	81%	0	%0	9	19%	31	31	78%	2	2%	7	18%	40	33	77%	2	2%	00	19%	43
Washington	393	93%	2	%0	27	%9	422	478	87%	20	%6	22	4%	550	491	%98	50	%6	31	2%	572
Wheeler	1	100%	0	%0	0	%0	1	4	100%	0	%0	0	%0	4	4	100%	0	%0	0	%0	4
Yamhill	69	%98	0	%0	11	14%	80	81	%08	9	%9	14	14%	101	82	81%	9	%9	14	13%	105
Total	007	/020		/00	1	,000	0000														

						Ву	Facility 1	Гуре						
		Ages 0-	2				Ages 3	.5				Total 0-	5	
					Cent	er-				Cent	er-			
Center-I	Based	Home-B	ased	Total 0-2	Base	ed	Home-E	Based	Total 3-5	Base	ed	Home-E	Based	Total 0-5
(CC, P	. , en	(CR, PI	R, en	Facilities	(CC, P.	. <i>,</i> en	(CR, P	R, en	Facilities	(CC, P.	. , en	(CR, P	R, en	Facilities
Ctr)	t FE	Rami	lyE		Ctr)	t FE	Rami	lyE		Ctr)	t FE	Rami	lyE	
N	%	N	%		N	%	N	%		N	%	N	%	
476	18%	1,102	78%	1,798	6,589	k9%	1,44k	95%	k,242	6,542	k4%	1,991	94%	5,616

			Ages 0	-2				Ages 3	-5				Total 0-	5	
						Cent	er-				Cent	er-			
Table 8	Center-	Based	Home-E	Based	Total 0-2	Bas		Home-E	Based	Total 3-5	Base	ed	Home-I	Based	Total 0-5
Tubic 0	(CC, P		(CR, P		Facilities	(CC, P		(CR, P		Facilities	(CC, P		(CR, P		Facilities
	Ctr)	t FE	Ram	T .		Ctr)	t FE	Ram	ilyE		Ctr)	t FE	Ram	ilyE	
	N	%	N	%		N	%	N	%		N	%	N	%	
3aBt F	8	8%	65	688%	65	7	k1%	60	97%	14	7	k6%	67	92%	19
3tr)or	69	kk%	kk	90%	52	k0	48%	k0	48%	05	k2	46%	k0	52%	09
ClacBamas	98	10%	691	0k%	111	651	54%	600	44%	k62	65k	55%	675	49%	k10
Cla)sop	4	14%	64	04%	18	62	45%	69	59%	k4	62	4k%	60	50%	k9
Columbia	4	14%	64	04%	18	11	44%	67	54%	58	11	44%	67	54%	58
Coos	9	6k%	51	77%	57	60	10%	50	0k%	95	60	10%	50	0k%	95
СГооВ	6	0%	6k	2k%	65	2	56%	6k	42%	11	2	k2%	65	96%	1k
CuFFy	1	11%	0	07%	2	7	4k%	0	50%	64	7	4k%	0	50%	64
Dt schu)t s	k8	14%	26	04%	616	78	5k%	685	40%	675	76	5k%	687	40%	672
Douglas	7	64%	50	74%	44	kk	k0%	49	9k%	72	k5	k0%	47	9k%	21
Gilliam	6	48%	6	48%	1	1	90%	6	kk%	k	1	90%	6	kk%	k
GFar)	8	8%	k	688%	k	7	0k%	k	10%	66	7	0k%	k	10%	66
HaFrty	8	8%	5	688%	5	4	49%	5	55%	2	4	48%	4	48%	68
Hood Pivt F	7	k4%	64	94%	1k	18	4k%	67	50%	k7	18	46%	62	52%	k2
JacBsor	60	66%	6kk	72%	648	94	k8%	648	08%	164	97	k6%	641	92%	118
Jt fft Fsor	2	k4%	60	94%	19	66	k7%	67	91%	12	66	k7%	67	91%	12
Jost phir t	65	58%	16	98%	k4	14	41%	1k	57%	57	10	45%	1k	59%	48
Klama)h	0	18%	17	78%	k4	16	51%	12	47%	48	1k	5k%	k6	40%	45
LaBt	8	8%	1	688%	1	k	04%	6	14%	5	k	98%	1	58%	4
Lart	48	19%	6k2	05%	672	664	5k%	64k	40%	197	660	51%	642	47%	109
Lir colr	5	k9%	0	95%	66	65	45%	61	59%	19	64	49%	61	55%	10
Lirr	61	69%	91	75%	05	k9	k5%	92	99%	684	k0	k4%	08	94%	680
Malht uF	9	10%	69	0k%	11	68	k7%	69	91%	19	6k	5k%	60	40%	k8
MaFior	k5	66%	104	72%	k82	20	15%	122	09%	k29	20	15%	k87	09%	584
MoFFow	4	51%	0	47%	61	4	48%	4	48%	68	7	4k%	0	50%	64
Mul)r omah	655	11%	489	07%	948	128	k1%	985	97%	725	k68	kk%	958	90%	248
. olB	61	67%	49	71%	97	18	19%	40	05%	00	11	10%	42	0k%	76
Sht Fmar	8	8%	1	688%	1	6	kk%	1	90%	k	6	kk%	1	90%	k
TillamooB	1	67%	2	71%	66	68	5k%	6k	40%	1k	68	5k%	6k	40%	1k
Uma)illa	68	6k%	99	70%	09	14	10%	97	0k%	2k	10	17%	08	01%	20
Urior	6	1%	59	27%	50	61	62%	48	76%	91	6k	18%	4k	78%	99
Wallowa	6	kk%	1	90%	k	5	40%	k	5k%	0	4	9k%	k	k7%	7
Wasco	9	62%	14	76%	k6	68	14%	k8	04%	58	61	17%	k6	01%	5k
Washir g)or	24	1k%	k10	00%	511	621	k4%	k47	94%	448	625	k5%	k07	99%	401
WhttltF	8	8%	6	688%	6	k	04%	6	14%	5	k	04%	6	14%	5
Yamhill	68	6k%	08	77%	78	10	10%	05	0k%	686	17	10%	00	0k%	684
To)al	476	18%	1,102	78%	1,798	6,589	k9%	1,44k	95%	k,242	6,542	k4%	1,991	94%	5,616
I U Jai	4/0	TO \0	1,102	/ 0 /0	1,730	0,363	NJ/0	1,441	22/0	1,242	0,342	N+/0	1,331	J+/0	3,010

PDG Workbook 3 **Early Learning Availability and Quality** Section II Children 0-5 with Access to a Child Care Slot Source:

Oregon State University, Estimating Supply

Age: Age groups 0-2, 3-5, and 0-5 Most recent year available: 2018 (data as of January 1, 2018)

Race/ethnicity categories

available:

State, County

Geographic region/level available:

N/A

Domain: Rationale for inclusion:

Estimates the availability of child care slots relative to the number of young children in your region. Higher estimates indicate that families in your community may have more options for available children care. Also provides an indicator of overall availability for children in your region. Families living in regions with lower estimates have fewer available options for child care.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile **Calculation:**

Percent with Access to a Public Slot

Metric calculation numerator: Number of child care slots

Quality & Availability of Services - Child Care/PreK Availability

Metric calculation denominator: Number of children in poverty (100% FPL)

Quartile ranges: L=0%-16.52%, ML=16.53%-21.75%, MH=21.76%-34.12%, H=34.13 and above

Mean, Standard deviation: mean= 57.44%, SD=182.29% (one county has many more publicly funded slots

than children in poverty, which throws off the mean and SD)

Reach groups, access 0-5: L: 0%-19.09%, ML: 19.10% - 23.97%, MH: 23.98%-27.98%, , H: 27.99% and

higher, Mean: 25.17, SD: 8.78%

Reach groups, access 0-2: L: 0%-6.38%, ML: 6.39%-9.19%, MH: 9.20%-13.21%, H: 13.22% and higher

Mean: 10.87%, SD: 6.20%

Reach groups, access 3-5: L: 0%-29.49%, ML: 29.50-37.02%, MH: 37.03%- 44.55%, H: 44.56% and higher,

Mean: 39.94%, SD: 15.83%

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are

higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as "H" (High)

Definition of terms:

Data Source Information:

The Estimating Supply (ES) dataset is put together by Oregon State University (OSU) by merging licensing data (Child Care Regulatory Information System) and Child Care Resource & Referral databases (NACCRRAware). Every two years, the Central Coordination manages a data update process in which data on capacity and price by age group are collected from all Oregon child care facilities within a three-month period to ensure all data were comparable and current. Data is current as of January 1, 2018.

The dataset includes data on each facility's regulatory status and desired capacity by age group. Desired capacity is the number of slots they desire to fill and may differ from their licensed capacity since a facility may be licensed for more slots than they chose to fill. Therefore, desired capacity better represents of the amount of child care available.

Public Funding:

Data on capacity of publicly funded facilities by age group came from multiple sources. The Early Learning Division (ELD) administers several publicly-funded early learning programs: Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten (OPK), Early Head Start (EHS), and Preschool Promise (PP). ELD program managers provided lists of funded programs. Lists included facility identification information and numbers of children served or slots funded by each program. Oregon has a limited number of federally funded Head Start (HS) programs that did not receive either OPK or PP funding and were thus not on the ELD lists. These included federal HS, tribal HS, and Migrant and Seasonal HS programs. The Oregon Child Development Coalition (OCDC) manages the Migrant and Seasonal Head Start programs. Many programs blended funding and hence were on ELD lists and also were federally funded. Thus, in addition to using the ELD lists, researchers identified programs that had OCDC or Head Start in their facility name but had not appeared on an ELD list.

Public slots reported here do not include all public investments in child care. For instance, investments in the Employment-Related Day Care program (ERDC) are not included in this analysis of slots. Although ERDC, which is Oregon's child care subsidy program, helps parents pay for child care, it typically does not create child care slots. Parents who receive ERDC rely on the regulated and legally-operating unregulated slots in their community to find a slot into which they enroll their child. A subsidy voucher helps them purchase care in one of those slots.

(continued on next page)

Public Funding (continued):

In addition, the number of publicly funded slots is likely underestimated. Local entities such as school districts or counties sometimes use their own public funds to deliver child care services, but that information was not in the available data and so those slots could have been missed. If a program that received only federal Head Start dollars did not have "Head Start" in its name, researchers may not have identified it as publicly funded. Head Start Child Care Partnerships use federal dollars to fund child care slots within community facilities, but those community facilities were not identified in the dataset.

Therefore, public slots reported here include Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten, Early Head Start, Preschool Promise, Federal and Tribal Head Start, and Federal Migrant and Seasonal Head Start managed by the Oregon Child Development Coalition. The percentage of slots that are publicly funded is calculated by dividing the number of public slots by the total number of slots for the category/age group.

Public Funding results are provided by type of care, regulation type, and facility type:

Types of Care: Certified Centers, Recorded Programs, Exempt Centers, Certified Family Homes, Registered Family Homes, Exempt Family Homes.

Regulation Types: regulated, recorded, and exempt.

- *Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family (OCC license ids CC, CF, RF)
- *Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups (OCC license ids PS & SA)
- *Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family

Facility Types: Center-Based or Home-Based

Age group results are reported in a separate tab with "(AG 0-5)" in the tab name. 0-2 year olds includes children birth through age 2. 3-5 year olds includes children 3 through the end of age 5.

**Data note: Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show them as zeros.

Relief Nursery:

Data on Relief Nurseries was compiled by manually identifying the 31 Oregon Relief Nurseries in the Estimating Supply data set. A list of Oregon Relief Nurseries and their locations can be found at: https://www.oregonreliefnurseries.org/. Relief Nurseries are all Certified Centers, so data by type of care not necessary.

Note: Four of the 31 Relief Nurseries did not report the age groups for their slots. These slots are likely in the 0-5 age range, but we are not able to determine which age group. Therefore, the number of Relief Nursery slots for 0-5 may be an underestimation the slots available. As a result, we included the number of slots for school age and unspecified so you can see the counties for which this is the case.

Information Specific to each tab (also included in tab)

1Data as of January 1, 2018

2The number of slots reflects the desired capacity of facilities which may differ from licensed capacity. 3Relief Nurseries are all Certified Centers

4Note: Four of the 31 Relief Nurseries did not report the age groups for their slots. These slots are likely in the 0-5 age range, but we are not able to determine which age group. Therefore, the number of Relief Nursery slots for 0-5 may be an underestimation the slots available.

5Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show zeros.

Early Learning Availability and Quality Percent of Children Ages 0-2, 3-5, and 0-5 with Access to a Slot

						Percent with Access to a Slot	scess to a Slot					
		Ages 0-2	: 0-2			Ages 3-5	3-5			Ages 0-5	: 0-5	
Table 1	Population	Slots	% Access	Estimated Reach Level (Higher means more access)	Population	Slots	% Access	Estimated Reach Level (Higher means more access)	Population	Slots	% Access	Estimated Reach Level (Higher means more access)
Baker	574	26	2%		544	198	36%	ML	1,118	224	20%	ML
Benton	2,161	416	19%	Н	2,232	1,222	25%	Н	4,393	1,638	37%	Т
Clackamas	13,704	1,799	13%	МН	14,203	5,294	37%	МН	206'22	7,093	25%	MH
Clatsop	1,383	134	10%	МН	1,334	202	38%	MH	2,717	689	24%	MH
Columbia	1,672	126	%8	ML	1,706	669	41%	MH	3,378	825	24%	MH
Coos	2,199	150	%L	ML	2,092	999	32%	ML	4,291	816	19%	Γ
Crook	654	37	%9	Г	672	259	39%	MH	1,326	296	22%	ML
Curry	522	47	%6	ML	202	157	31%	ML	1,029	204	20%	ML
Deschutes	6,930	800	12%	МН	6,923	2,607	38%	MH	13,853	3,407	25%	MH
Douglas	3,546	347	10%	МН	3,476	1,029	30%	ML	7,022	1,376	20%	ML
Gilliam	71	18	25%	Н	61	36	29%	I	132	54	41%	Т
Grant	188	10	%5	Г	183	146	80%	н	371	156	42%	н
Harney	244	80	3%	L	242	135	26%	I	486	143	29%	Ψ
Hood River	953	202	21%	Н	986	515	52%	Ŧ	1,939	717	37%	Н
Jackson	7,890	732	%6	МН	7,618	2,347	31%	ML	15,508	3,079	20%	ML
Jefferson	951	271	78%	I	968	459	51%	I	1,847	730	40%	I
Josephine	2,687	306	11%	МН	2,644	807	31%	ML	5,331	1,113	21%	ML
Klamath	2,404	214	%6	ML	2,339	1,045	45%	MΗ	4,743	1,259	27%	Ψ
Lake	221	4	2%	L	212	47	22%	7	433	51	12%	7
Lane	10,881	1,387	13%	MH	10,875	4,033	37%	MΗ	21,756	5,420	25%	Ψ
Lincoln	1,510	65	4%	L	1,405	492	35%	ML	2,915	557	19%	ML
Linn	4,973	324	%2	ML	4,905	1,291	792	7	9,878	1,615	16%	7
Malheur	1,426	123	%6	ML	1,377	477	35%	ML	2,803	009	21%	ML
Marion	15,355	1,397	%6	ML	15,188	4,183	78%	7	30,543	5,580	18%	7
Morrow	468	63	13%	Ι	488	94	19%	7	926	157	16%	7
Multnomah	30,089	5,497	18%	I	28,860	12,416	43%	Ψ	58,949	17,913	30%	I
Polk	3,316	292	%6	ML	3,277	710	22%	7	6,593	1,002	15%	7
Sherman	99	12	18%	I	09	29	48%	I	126	41	33%	I
Tillamook	972	46	2%	L	913	266	738%	7	1,885	312	17%	- I
Umatilla	3,714	442	12%	МН	3,651	1,042	29%	Γ	7,365	1,484	20%	ML
Union	1,132	103	%6	ML	1,094	486	44%	MH	2,226	589	26%	MH
Wallowa	285	17	%9	L	268	74	28%	Γ	553	91	16%	L
Wasco	1,113	194	17%	I	1,077	551	51%	I	2,190	745	34%	I
Washington	24,962	3,834	15%	I	25,122	9,286	37%	ML	50,084	13,120	26%	MH
Wheeler	46	2	4%	L	42	41	%86	I	88	43	49%	I
Yamhill	4,119	437	11%	MH	4,165	1,135	27%	Γ	8,284	1,572	19%	L
Total	153,381	19,882	13%		151,637	54,779	36%		305,018	74,661	24%	

Early Learning Availability and Quality By Age Group & Regulation Type: Percent of Children Ages 0-2, 3-5, and 0-5 with Access to a Slot

			dused	***************************************											200	400		
			neguia (CC, C	(CC, CF, RF)					Recorded	pəp.					(E.Center	Exempt (E.Center, E.Family)		
	Age	Ages 0-2	Age	Ages 3-5	Ages 0-5	: 0-5	Age	Ages 0-2	Ages 3-5	3-5	Ages 0-5	. 0-5	Ag	Ages 0-2	Age	Ages 3-5	Ages 0-5	0-5
	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slot	% Access	Slots	% Access
State	19,079	12%	44,411	15%	63,490	21%	98	%0	7,202	2%	7,288	2%	717	%0	3,166	1%	3,883	1%
			Regulated** (CC, CF, RF)	sted** F, RF)					Recorded	pep.					Exe (E.Center	Exempt E.Center, E.Family)		
Table 2	Age	Ages 0-2	Age	Ages 3-5	Ages	Ages 0-5	Age	Ages 0-2	Ages 3-5	3-5	Ages	Ages 0-5	Ag	Ages 0-2	Age	Ages 3-5	Ages 0-5	0-5
	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slots	% Access	Slot	% Access	Slots	% Access
Baker	23	4%	96	18%	119	11%		%0	45	%8	45	4%	m	1%	57	10%	09	2%
Benton	406	19%	948	42%	1354	31%		%0	193	%6	193	4%	10	%0	81	4%	91	2%
Clackamas	1,715	13%	4,063	29%	5778	21%	10	%0	997	7%	1007	4%	74	1%	234	2%	308	1%
Clatsop	104	%8	347	798	451	17%		%0	42	3%	42	2%	30	2%	116	%6	146	2%
Columbia	124	2%	490	78%	614	18%		%0	188	11%	188	%9	2	%0	21	1%	23	1%
Coos	137	%9	533	25%	029	16%		%0	24	1%	24	1%	13	1%	109	2%	122	3%
Crook	31	2%	159	24%	190	14%		%0	30	4%	30	2%	9	1%	70	10%	92	%9
Curry	45	%6	131	79%	176	17%		%0	15	3%	15	1%	2	%0	11	2%	13	1%
Deschutes	764	11%	1,947	28%	2711	20%		%0	136	2%	136	1%	36	1%	524	8%	260	4%
Douglas	323	%6	844	24%	1167	17%		%0	80	2%	80	1%	24	1%	105	3%	129	2%
Gilliam	18	25%	20	33%	38	29%		%0	16	26%	16	12%	'	%0	,	%0	,	%0
Grant	6	2%	46	25%	55	15%		%0	52	28%	52	14%	1	1%	48	76%	49	13%
Harney	0	%0	32	13%	32	7%		%0	35	14%	35	7%	8	3%	89	28%	92	16%
Hood River	201	21%	412	42%	613	32%		%0	40	4%	40	2%	1	%0	63	%9	64	3%
Jackson	708	%6	1,989	26%	2697	17%		%0	317	4%	317	2%	24	%0	41	1%	65	%0
Jefferson	225	24%	396	44%	621	34%		%0	-	%0	-	%0	46	2%	63	7%	109	%9
Josephine	303	11%	731	28%	1034	19%	-	%0	74	3%	74	1%	3	%0	2	%0	5	%0
Klamath	202	%8	930	40%	1132	24%		%0	93	4%	93	2%	12	%0	22	1%	34	1%
Lake	0	%0	20	%6	20	2%		%0	56	12%	56	%9	4	2%	1	%0	5	1%
Lane	1,344	12%	3,404	31%	4748	22%		%0	389	4%	389	2%	43	%0	240	2%	283	1%
Lincoln	54	4%	374	27%	428	15%	•	%0	20	4%	50	2%	11	1%	89	5%	79	3%
Linn	291	%9	851	17%	1142	12%	,	%0	324	7%	324	3%	33	1%	116	2%	149	2%
Malheur	120	%8	293	21%	413	15%		%0	182	13%	182	%9	က	%0	2	%0	2	%0
Marion	1,291	%8	3,582	24%	4873	16%	36	%0	387	3%	423	1%	70	%0	214	1%	284	1%
Morrow	54	12%	77	16%	131	14%		%0	15	3%	15	2%	6	2%	2	%0	11	1%
Multnomah	5,375	18%	11,037	38%	16412	28%	22	%0	1009	3%	1031	2%	100	%0	370	1%	470	1%
Polk	277	%8	540	16%	817	12%		%0	119	4%	119	2%	15	%0	51	2%	99	1%
Sherman	12	18%	29	48%	41	33%		%0		%0		%0	•	%0	•	%0		%0
Tillamook	42	4%	190	21%	232	12%		%0	54	%9	54	3%	4	%0	22	2%	56	1%
Umatilla	401	11%	812	22%	1213	16%		%0	105	3%	105	1%	41	1%	125	3%	166	2%
Union	81	2%	343	31%	424	19%	٠	%0	89	%9	89	3%	22	2%	75	7%	97	4%
Wallowa	17	%9	58	22%	75	14%		%0	16	%9	16	3%	•	%0	٠	%0		%0
Wasco	185	17%	417	39%	602	27%		%0	55	2%	55	3%	6	1%	79	7%	88	4%
Washington	3,770	15%	7,369	29%	11139	22%	18	%0	1804	7%	1822	4%	46	%0	113	%0	159	%0
Wheeler	2	4%	41	%86	43	49%		%0		%0		%0		%0	٠	%0		%0
Yamhill	425	10%	860	21%	1285	16%		0%	222	2%	222	3%	12	%0	23	1%	65	1%
Total	19,079	12%	44,411	15%	63,490	21%	98	%0	7,202	2%	7,288	2%	717	%0	3,166	1%	3,883	1%

Early Learning Availability and Quality Percent of Children Below Poverty Served by Public Slots

		Pı	ıblic Slots per Estimated Nu	ımber of Childre	en in Poverty	
		Ages O-2	2		Ages 3-5	
Table 3	# of Public Slots	Estimated # of children in poverty (100% FPL)	Estimated % Public Slot Coverage (# Public Slots/# of children in poverty)	# of Public Slots	Estimated # of children in poverty (100% FPL)	Estimated % Public Slot Coverage (# Public Slots/# of children in poverty)
Baker	0	121	0%	46	144	32%
Benton	8	380	2%	121	340	36%
Clackamas	27	1597	2%	541	1630	33%
Clatsop	0	230	0%	117	206	57%
Columbia	0	296	0%	174	295	59%
Coos	0	617	0%	268	486	55%
Crook	0	171	0%	60	234	26%
Curry	0	90	0%	60	80	75%
Deschutes	0	1030	0%	200	911	22%
Douglas	0	977	0%	273	907	30%
Gilliam	8	0	-	12	0	-
Grant	0	53	0%	20	57	35%
Harney	0	73	0%	32	49	66%
Hood River	72	251	29%	120	187	64%
Jackson	56	2084	3%	642	1965	33%
Jefferson	106	342	31%	218	320	68%
Josephine	32	713	4%	250	623	40%
Klamath	56	634	9%	449	479	94%
Lake	0	128	0%	20	107	19%
Lane	8	2428	0%	810	2518	32%
Lincoln	0	462	0%	100	476	21%
Linn	8	952	1%	247	1071	23%
Malheur	32	575	6%	248	593	42%
Marion	116	3361	3%	1,004	3424	29%
Morrow	46	124	37%	40	137	29%
Multnomah	273	5381	5%	2,400	5410	44%
Polk	56	610	9%	241	517	47%
Sherman	0	0	-	11	1	1100%
Tillamook	0	245	0%	84	238	35%
Umatilla	222	865	26%	498	1000	50%
Union	8	200	4%	77	191	40%
Wallowa	8	58	14%	37	60	62%
Wasco	88	176	50%	272	177	153%
Washington	114	3419	3%	993	3309	30%
Wheeler	0	11	0%	16	15	105%
Yamhill	0	893	0%	214	844	25%
Total	1,344	29,548	5%	10,915	29,000	38%

Data Source: 2018 Estimating Supply, Oregon State University

Data Source: ACS, 2017

										Ву Т	ype of (are									
											Ages 0-2	!									
										Cert	ified Fa	nily	Regis	tered Fa	mily						
	Cert	ified Ce	nter	Recor	ded Pro	gram	Exe	mpt Cer	nter		Home			Home		Exe	mpt Far	nily	Tot	al Facili	ties
		Slots			Slots			Slots			Slots			Slots			Slots				
		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%	Total	N	%
	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	TOTAL	Public	Public
State	12,884	1,341	10%	86	0	0%	169	0	0%	3,569	3	0%	2,626	0	0%	548	0	0%	19,882	1,344	7%

											A 0 7	.									
											Ages 0-2 ified Fai		Regis	tered Fa	mily						
Table 4	Certi	ified Cei Slots	nter	Recor	ded Pro	gram	Exe	mpt Cer Slots	nter		Home Slots			Home Slots		Exe	mpt Fan Slots	nily	Tot	al Facilit	ties
	T-4-1	N	% Dublis	T-4-1	N	% Dublis	T-4-1	N	% Dark lin	T-4-1	N	% Dublis	T-4-1	N	% David ii a	T-4-1	N	% Dublis	Total	N	% Public
Dalsas	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total 2	Public	Public 0%	Total	Public -	Public 0%	Total	Public -	Public 0%	20	Public	
Baker	326	8	2%		-			-		42	-	0%	21 38	-	0%	3 10	-	0%	26 416	8	0% 2%
Benton	\vdash		2%	10	-	0%	32	-	0%	272	-	0%	182	-	0%	42	-	0%	1,799	27	2%
Clatcon	1261 75	27 -	0%	-	_		25	-	0%	8	-	0%	21	-	0%	5	-	0%	1,799	0	
Clatsop Columbia	97	-	0%		_			_		2	_	0%	25	_	0%	2	-	0%	126	0	
Coos	62	-	0%		_			-		21	_	0%	54	_	0%	13	-	0%	150	0	
Crook	14	-	0%		_			-		21	_	0%	15	_	0%	6	-	0%	37	0	
Curry	28	-	0%		_			-		9	_	0%	8	_	0%	2	-	0%	47	0	
Deschutes	486	_	0%		_		5	_	0%	203		0%	75	_	0%	31	 	0%	800	0	
Douglas	210	_	0%		_		10	_	0%	48	_	0%	65	_	0%	14	_	0%	347	0	
Gilliam	11	8	73%		_		-	_		7	_	0%		_		-	<u> </u>		18	8	44%
Grant	-	-			_		_	_		7	_	0%	2	_	0%	1	-	0%	10	0	
Harney	_	_			_		_	_		<u> </u>	_		-	_		8	-	0%	8	0	
Hood River	138	72	52%		_		_	_		45	_	0%	18	_	0%	1	-	0%	202	72	36%
Jackson	370	56	15%	_	_			_		165	_	0%	173	_	0%	24	-	0%	732	56	8%
Jefferson	194	106	55%	_	-		38	_	0%	9	-	0%	22	_	0%	8	-	0%	271	106	39%
Josephine	241	32	13%	-	-		-	-		33	-	0%	29	-	0%	3	-	0%	306	32	10%
Klamath	158	56	35%	-	-		-	-		9	-	0%	35	-	0%	12	-	0%	214	56	26%
Lake	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		4	-	0%	4	0	0%
Lane	940	8	1%	-	-		25	-	0%	254	-	0%	150	-	0%	18	-	0%	1,387	8	1%
Lincoln	40	-	0%	-	-		10	-	0%	8	-	0%	6	-	0%	1	-	0%	65	0	0%
Linn	196	8	4%	-	-		-	-		22	-	0%	73	-	0%	33	-	0%	324	8	2%
Malheur	70	32	46%	-	-		-	-		19	-	0%	31	-	0%	3	-	0%	123	32	26%
Marion	709	116	16%	36	-	0%	-	-		265	-	0%	317	-	0%	70	-	0%	1,397	116	8%
Morrow	48	46	96%	-	-		-	-		-	-		6	-	0%	9	-	0%	63	46	73%
Multnomah	3715	273	7%	22	-	0%	10	-	0%	1073	-	0%	587	-	0%	90	-	0%	5,497	273	5%
Polk	150	56	37%	-	-		-	-		65	-	0%	62	-	0%	15	-	0%	292	56	19%
Sherman	-	-		-	-		-	-		12	-	0%	-	-		-	-		12	0	0%
Tillamook	28	-	0%	-	-		-	-		-	-		14	-	0%	4	-	0%	46	0	0%
Umatilla	277	219	79%	-	-		14	-	0%	50	3	6%	74	-	0%	27	-	0%	442	222	50%
Union	8	8	100%	-	-		-	-		11	-	0%	62	-	0%	22	-	0%	103	8	8%
Wallowa	8	8	100%	-	-		-	-		7	-	0%	2	-	0%	-	-		17	8	47%
Wasco	138	88	64%	-	-		-	-		12	-	0%	35	-	0%	9	-	0%	194	88	45%
Washington	2673	114	4%	18	-	0%	-	-		736	-	0%	361	-	0%	46	-	0%	3,834	114	3%
Wheeler	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		2	-	0%	-	-		2	0	0%
Yamhill	213	-	0%	-	-		-	-		151	-	0%	61	-	0%	12	-	0%	437	0	0%
Total	12884	1341	10%	86	-	0%	169	-	0%	3569	3	0%	2626	-	0%	548	-	0%	19,882	1,344	7%

									Ву Т	ype of C	Care									
										Ages 3-5	,									
									Cert	ified Fai	mily	Regis	tered Fa	mily						
Cert	ified Ce	nter	Recor	ded Pro	gram	Exe	mpt Cer	nter		Home			Home		Exe	mpt Fan	nily	Tot	al Facilit	ties
	Slots			Slots			Slots			Slots			Slots			Slots				
	N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%	Total	N	%
Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public	Total	Public	Public
33,818	10,394	31%	7,202	253	4%	2,721	124	5%	4,860	120	2%	5,733	24	0%	445	0	0%	54,779	10,915	20%

											Ages 3-5	;									
Table 5	Cert	ified Ce Slots		Recor	ded Pro		Exe	mpt Cer Slots		Cert	ified Fai Home Slots	,	Regis	tered Fa Home Slots	•	Exe	mpt Far Slots		Tot	al Facilit	
	Total	N Public	% Public	Total	N Public	% Public	Total	N Public	% Public	Total	N Public	% Public	Total	N Public	% Public	Total	N Public	% Public	Total	N Public	% Public
Baker	40	40	100%	45	-	0%	52	-	0%	6	-	0%	50	6	12%	5	-	0%	198	46	23%
Benton	793	101	13%	193	20	10%	70	-	0%	79	-	0%	76	-	0%	11	-	0%	1,222	121	10%
Clackamas	3332	541	16%	997	-	0%	201	-	0%	301	-	0%	430	-	0%	33	-	0%	5,294	541	10%
Clatsop	284	114	40%	42	-	0%	113	-	0%	16	-	0%	47	3	6%	3	-	0%	505	117	23%
Columbia	418	174	42%	188	-	0%	20	-	0%	7	-	0%	65	-	0%	1	-	0%	699	174	25%
Coos	396	268	68%	24	-	0%	93	-	0%	32	-	0%	105	-	0%	16	-	0%	666	268	40%
Crook	108	60	56%	30	-	0%	67	-	0%	22	-	0%	29	-	0%	3	-	0%	259	60	23%
Curry	105	60	57%	15	-	0%	10	-	0%	10	-	0%	16	-	0%	1	-	0%	157	60	38%
Deschutes	1447	200	14%	136	-	0%	499	-	0%	320	-	0%	180	-	0%	25	-	0%	2,607	200	8%
Douglas	628	273	43%	80	-	0%	98	-	0%	40	-	0%	176	-	0%	7	-	0%	1,029	273	27%
Gilliam	18	10	56%	16	-	0%	-	-		2	2	100%	-	-		-	-		36	12	33%
Grant	34	20	59%	52	-	0%	47	-	0%	8	-	0%	4	-	0%	1	-	0%	146	20	14%
Harney	32	32	100%	35	-	0%	62	-	0%	-	-		-	-		6	-	0%	135	32	24%
Hood River	299	120	40%	40	-	0%	62	-	0%	83	-	0%	30	-	0%	1	-	0%	515	120	23%
Jackson	1341	603	45%	317	-	0%	24	-	0%	248	30	12%	400	9	2%	17	-	0%	2,347	642	27%
Jefferson	344	218	63%	-	-		59	-	0%	9	-	0%	43	-	0%	4	-	0%	459	218	47%
Josephine	617	250	41%	74	-	0%	-	-		58	-	0%	56	-	0%	2	-	0%	807	250	31%
Klamath	848	449	53%	93	-	0%	10	-	0%	11	-	0%	71	-	0%	12	-	0%	1,045	449	43%
Lake	20	20	100%	26	-	0%	-	-		-	-		-	-		1	-	0%	47	20	43%
Lane	2692	783	29%	389	-	0%	228	-	0%	363	27	7%	349	-	0%	12	-	0%	4,033	810	20%
Lincoln	314	100	32%	50	-	0%	65	-	0%	26	-	0%	34	-	0%	3	-	0%	492	100	20%
Linn	644	230	36%	324	17	5%	92	-	0%	55	-	0%	152	-	0%	24	-	0%	1,291	247	19%
Malheur	238	160	67%	182	78	43%	-	-		16	4	25%	39	6	15%	2	-	0%	477	248	52%
Marion	2490	946	38%	387	28	7%	152	-	0%	439	30	7%	653	-	0%	62	-	0%	4,183	1,004	24%
Morrow	69	40	58%	15	-	0%	-	-		-	-		8	-	0%	2	-	0%	94	40	43%
Multnomah	8390	2258	27%	1009	50	5%	283	84	30%	1374	8	1%	1273	-	0%	87	-	0%	12,416	2,400	19%
Polk	348	230	66%	119	-	0%	40	-	0%	76	11	14%	116	-	0%	11	-	0%	710	241	34%
Sherman	20	11	55%	-	-		-	-		9	-	0%	-	-		-	-		29	11	38%
Tillamook	149	84	56%	54	-	0%	20	-	0%	-	-		41	-	0%	2	-	0%	266	84	32%
Umatilla	583	438	75%	105	20	19%	106	40	38%	76	-	0%	153	-	0%	19	-	0%	1,042	498	48%
Union	217	77	35%	68	-	0%	50	-	0%	15	-	0%	111	-	0%	25	-	0%	486	77	16%
Wallowa	37	37	100%	16	-	0%	-	-		18	-	0%	3	-	0%	-	-		74	37	50%
Wasco	314	272	87%	55	-	0%	72	-	0%	32	-	0%	71	-	0%	7	-	0%	551	272	49%
Washington	5609	945	17%	1804	40	2%	86	-	0%	930	8	1%	830	-	0%	27	-	0%	9,286	993	11%
Wheeler	37	16	43%	-	-		-	-		-	-		4	-	0%	-	-		41	16	39%
Yamhill	563	214	38%	222	-	0%	40	-	0%	179	-	0%	118	-	0%	13	-	0%	1,135	214	19%
Total	33818	10394	31%	7202	253	4%	2721	124	5%	4860	120	2%	5733	24	0%	445	-	0%	54,779	10,915	20%

							By R	egulat	ion Typ	е									
			Ag	ges 0-2								A	Ages 3-5	5					
							Exempt								E	xempt			
Re	gulated	l	R	ecorde	d	(E.	Center,	E.	Re	egulate	d	R	ecorde	d	Exempt (E.Center, E. Family)				
(CC	, CF, RF	:)		(RP)		ı	Family)		(C	C, CF, R	F)		(RP)		(E.Center, E. Family)				
	N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		
Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.		
19,079	1,344	7%	86	0	0%	169	0	0%	44,411	10,538	24%	7,202	253	4%	3,166	124	4%		

				Ag	ges 0-2								Α	ges 3-5	;			
							ı	xempt								E	xempt	
Table 6	Re	gulated	i	Re	ecorde	t	(E.	Center,	E.	Re	egulate	d	Re	ecorde	d	(E.	Center,	E.
	(CC	C, CF, RF)		(RP)		ı	amily)		(C	C, CF, R	F)		(RP)			Family)	
		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%
	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.	Total	Pub.	Pub.
Baker	23	0	0%	0	0		3	0	0%	96	46	48%	45	0	0%	57	0	0%
Benton	406	8	2%	0	0		10	0	0%	948	101	11%	193	20	10%	81	0	0%
Clackamas	1,715	27	2%	10	0	0%	74	0	0%	4,063	541	13%	997	0	0%	234	0	0%
Clatsop	104	0	0%	0	0		30	0	0%	347	117	34%	42	0	0%	116	0	0%
Columbia	124	0	0%	0	0		2	0	0%	490	174	36%	188	0	0%	21	0	0%
Coos	137	0	0%	0	0		13	0	0%	533	268	50%	24	0	0%	109	0	0%
Crook	31	0	0%	0	0		6	0	0%	159	60	38%	30	0	0%	70	0	0%
Curry	45	0	0%	0	0		2	0	0%	131	60	46%	15	0	0%	11	0	0%
Deschutes	764	0	0%	0	0		36	0	0%	1,947	200	10%	136	0	0%	524	0	0%
Douglas	323	0	0%	0	0	-	24	0	0%	844	273	32%	80	0	0%	105	0	0%
Gilliam	18	8	44%	0	0	-	0	0		20	12	60%	16	0	0%	0	0	
Grant	9	0	0%	0	0		1	0	0%	46	20	43%	52	0	0%	48	0	0%
Harney	0	0		0	0		8	0	0%	32	32	100%	35	0	0%	68	0	0%
Hood River	201	72	36%	0	0		1	0	0%	412	120	29%	40	0	0%	63	0	0%
Jackson	708	56	8%	0	0		24	0	0%	1,989	642	32%	317	0	0%	41	0	0%
Jefferson	225	106	47%	0	0		46	0	0%	396	218	55%	0	0		63	0	0%
Josephine	303	32	11%	0	0		3	0	0%	731	250	34%	74	0	0%	2	0	0%
Klamath	202	56	28%	0	0		12	0	0%	930	449	48%	93	0	0%	22	0	0%
Lake	0	0		0	0		4	0	0%	20	20	100%	26	0	0%	1	0	0%
Lane	1,344	8	1%	0	0		43	0	0%	3,404	810	24%	389	0	0%	240	0	0%
Lincoln	54	0	0%	0	0		11	0	0%	374	100	27%	50	0	0%	68	0	0%
Linn	291	8	3%	0	0		33	0	0%	851	230	27%	324	17	5%	116	0	0%
Malheur	120	32	27%	0	0		3	0	0%	293	170	58%	182	78	43%	2	0	0%
Marion	1,291	116	9%	36	0	0%	70	0	0%	3,582	976	27%	387	28	7%	214	0	0%
Morrow	54	46	85%	0	0		9	0	0%	77	40	52%	15	0	0%	2	0	0%
Multnomah	5,375	273	5%	22	0	0%	100	0	0%	11,037	2,266	21%	1,009	50	5%	370	84	23%
Polk	277	56	20%	0	0		15	0	0%	540	241	45%	119	0	0%	51	0	0%
Sherman	12	0	0%	0	0		0	0		29	11	38%	0	0		0	0	
Tillamook	42	0	0%	0	0		4	0	0%	190	84	44%	54	0	0%	22	0	0%
Umatilla	401	222	55%	0	0		41	0	0%	812	438	54%	105	20	19%	125	40	32%
Union	81	8	10%	0	0		22	0	0%	343	77	22%	68	0	0%	75	0	0%
Wallowa	17	8	47%	0	0		0	0		58	37	64%	16	0	0%	0	0	
Wasco	185	88	48%	0	0		9	0	0%	417	272	65%	55	0	0%	79	0	0%
Washington	3,770	114	3%	18	0	0%	46	0	0%	7,369	953	13%	1,804	40	2%	113	0	0%
Wheeler	2	0	0%	0	0		0	0		41	16	39%	0	0		0	0	
Yamhill	425	0	0%	0	0		12	0	0%	860	214	25%	222	0	0%	53	0	0%
Total	19,079	1,344	7%	86	0	0%	717	0	0%	44,411	10,538	24%	7,202	253	4%	3,166	124	4%

					By Facil	ity Type					
		Age	s 0-2					Age	s 3-5		
	nter-Base RP, E.Cen			me-Base RF, E.Fam			nter-Base RP, E.Cen		Ho (CF, F	_	
		%			%			%			%
Total	N Pub.	Pub.	Total	N Pub.	Pub.	Total	N Pub.	Pub.	Total	N Pub.	Pub.
13,139	1,341		6,743	3	0%	43,741	10,771	25%	11,038	144	1%

			Ages	s 0-2					Age	s 3-5		
Table 7		nter-Base RP, E.Cen			me-Base RF, E.Fam			nter-Base RP, E.Cen			me-Base RF, E.Fam	
	, ,		%	, ,		%	, ,		%			%
	Total	N Pub.	Pub.	Total	N Pub.	Pub.	Total	N Pub.	Pub.	Total	N Pub.	Pub.
Baker	0	0		26	0	0%	137	40	29%	61	6	10%
Benton	326	8	2%	90	0	0%	1,056	121	11%	166	0	0%
Clackamas	1,303	27	2%	496	0	0%	4,530	541	12%	764	0	0%
Clatsop	100	0	0%	34	0	0%	439	114	26%	66	3	5%
Columbia	97	0	0%	29	0	0%	626	174	28%	73	0	0%
Coos	62	0	0%	88	0	0%	513	268	52%	153	0	0%
Crook	14	0	0%	23	0	0%	205	60	29%	54	0	0%
Curry	28	0	0%	19	0	0%	130	60	46%	27	0	0%
Deschutes	491	0	0%	309	0	0%	2,082	200	10%	525	0	0%
Douglas	220	0	0%	127	0	0%	806	273	34%	223	0	0%
Gilliam	11	8	73%	7	0	0%	34	10	29%	2	2	100%
Grant	0	0		10	0	0%	133	20	15%	13	0	0%
Harney	0	0		8	0	0%	129	32	25%	6	0	0%
Hood River	138	72	52%	64	0	0%	401	120	30%	114	0	0%
Jackson	370	56	15%	362	0	0%	1,682	603	36%	665	39	6%
Jefferson	232	106	46%	39	0	0%	403	218	54%	56	0	0%
Josephine	241	32	13%	65	0	0%	691	250	36%	116	0	0%
Klamath	158	56	35%	56	0	0%	951	449	47%	94	0	0%
Lake	0	0		4	0	0%	46	20	43%	1	0	0%
Lane	965	8	1%	422	0	0%	3,309	783	24%	724	27	4%
Lincoln	50	0	0%	15	0	0%	429	100	23%	63	0	0%
Linn	196	8	4%	128	0	0%	1,060	247	23%	231	0	0%
Malheur	70	32	46%	53	0	0%	420	238	57%	57	10	18%
Marion	745	116	16%	652	0	0%	3,029	974	32%	1,154	30	3%
Morrow	48	46	96%	15	0	0%	84	40	48%	10	0	0%
Multnomah	3,747	273	7%	1,750	0	0%	9,682	2,392	25%	2,734	8	0%
Polk	150	56	37%	142	0	0%	507	230	45%	203	11	5%
Sherman	0	0		12	0	0%	20	11	55%	9	0	0%
Tillamook	28	0	0%	18	0	0%	223	84	38%	43	0	0%
Umatilla	291	219	75%	151	3	2%	794	498	63%	248	0	0%
Union	8	8	100%	95	0	0%	335	77	23%	151	0	0%
Wallowa	8	8	100%	9	0	0%	53	37	70%	21	0	0%
Wasco	138	88	64%	56	0	0%	441	272	62%	110	0	0%
Washington	2,691	114	4%	1,143	0	0%	7,499	985	13%	1,787	8	0%
Wheeler	0	0		2	0	0%	37	16	43%	4	0	0%
Yamhill	213	0	0%	224	0	0%	825	214	26%	310	0	0%
Total	13,139	1,341	10%	6,743	3	0%	43,741	i	25%	11,038	144	1%

PDG Workbook 3 **Early Learning Availability and Quality**

Section III Facilities serving children receiving DHS Subsidy (ERDC, TANF)

Source: Oregon State University, Estimating Supply

Age groups 0-2, 3-5, 0-5 for access Age: 2018 (data as of January 1, 2018) Most recent year available:

Race/ethnicity categories

available:

Geographic region/level

available:

State, County

Quality & Availability of Services - Child Care/PreK Availability Domain:

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of child care programs in your region that accept children on DHS subsidies (either ERDC or TANF). Higher estimates indicate that there may be more affordable child care options for

families in your region, at least relative to the overall level of child care available.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile Metric calculation numerator (access): Number of Slots (0-2, 3-5, 0-5)

Metric calculation denominator (access): Population (0-2, 3-5, 0-5)

Metric calculation numerator (subsidy): Number of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child

Metric calculation denominator (subsidy): Total regulated facilities

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as "H" (High)

Data Source Information:

The Estimating Supply (ES) dataset is put together by Oregon State University (OSU) by merging licensing data (Child Care Regulatory Information System) and Child Care Resource & Referral databases (NACCRRAware). Every two years, the Central Coordination manages a data update process in which data on capacity and price by age group are collected from all Oregon child care facilities within a three-month period to ensure all data were comparable and current. Data is current as of January 1, 2018.

The dataset includes data on each facility's regulatory status and desired capacity by age group. Desired capacity is the number of slots they desire to fill and may differ from their licensed capacity since a facility may be licensed for more slots than they chose to fill. Therefore, desired capacity better represents of the amount of child care available.

Access:

Access to child care is calculated by taking the Estimated Supply of Child Care in Oregon as of January 2018 and dividing it by the population of children in the county who fall in the age group. Population estimates from the Population Research Center at Portland State University were used for the number of children in age groups. Population estimates were from the 2017 Annual Population Report Tables, released April 15, 2018.

The percent of children with access has been used as an Oregon benchmark for child care availability since the early 1990s and is reported bi-annually in the Early Care and Education Profiles. A recent report by the Oregon Child Care Research Partnership, further looked at child care deserts for regulated child care in the 0-5 age group. National experts (Malik, Hamm, Schochet, Novoa, Workman, & Jessen-Howard, 2018) define a child care desert as a community with more than three children for every regulated child care slot. That is, 33% or fewer children in a community have access to a slot.

Data provided lists the percent of children under 13 with access to a slot (benchmark) [Access tab] as well as access for the 0-2, 3-5, and 0-5 age groups [Access AG 0-5 tab] . Results are provided overall (all types of care combined) as well as separately by regulation types [Access (AG 0-5 by RegType) tab]:

- *Regulated includes Certified Centers, Certified Family, and Registered Family (OCC license ids CC, CF, RF)
- *Recorded Programs serve preschool and school age groups (OCC license ids PS & SA)
- *Exempt includes Exempt Centers and Exempt Family

(*The child care desert report used the percent of children with access to a regulated slot for the 0-2, 3-5 and 0-5 age groups.)

61

Calculation:

Definition of Terms:

Subsidy:

Child care subsidy data from the Department of Human Services/Early Learning Division was merged into the Estimating Supply dataset to determine if a facility cared for a child on subsidy and, if so, the number of children on subsidy in that facility. DHS subsidy includes both Employment Related Daycare (ERDC) and TANF subsidy programs. Majority of cases are ERDC. In 2018, DHS only provided us with a list of the number of children per site for regulated facilities. Therefore, the number of children does not represent all children who received a subsidy. It provides the number of regulated facilities that cared for at least one child who received a subsidy. Information on the age of the children is not available.

**Data note: Zeros are formatted as dashes for ease of viewing data, but changing the excel format to Number will show them as zeros.

Early Learning Availability and Quality Facilities serving at least one child receiving DHS Subsidy (ERDC, TANF)

	Regulated Faci	lities with At Le	ast One Child or	DHS Subsidy
Table 1	Number of		Percent of	Estimated Reach Level (Higher means more
Table 1	Facilities with	Total	Facilities with	Facilities with
	At Least One	Regulated	At Least One	at least one
	Subsidy Child	Facilities	Subsidy Child	subsidy child)
Baker	8	16	50%	Н
Benton	17	70	24%	L
Clackamas	91	298	31%	ML
Clatsop	13	28	46%	Н
Columbia	17	36	47%	Н
Coos	24	49	49%	Н
Crook	4	14	29%	ML
Curry	8	16	50%	Н
Deschutes	58	149	39%	MH
Douglas	35	84	42%	MH
Gilliam	-	2	0%	L
Grant	1	5	20%	L
Harney	-	1	0%	L
Hood River	12	37	32%	ML
Jackson	97	203	48%	Н
Jefferson	10	23	43%	MH
Josephine	32	57	56%	Н
Klamath	15	40	38%	MH
Lake	-	1	0%	L
Lane	155	319	49%	Н
Lincoln	9	25	36%	ML
Linn	32	81	40%	MH
Malheur	13	29	45%	MH
Marion	171	380	45%	MH
Morrow	2	11	18%	L
Multnomah	327	1009	32%	ML
Polk	29	78	37%	ML
Sherman	-	3	0%	L
Tillamook	6	22	27%	ML
Umatilla	30	82	37%	ML
Union	18	41	44%	MH
Wallowa	1	6	17%	L
Wasco	12	36	33%	ML
Washington	230	682	34%	ML
Wheeler	1	4	25%	L
Yamhill	39	92	42%	MH
Total	1,517	4,029	38%	

	Fac wi Leas Su C	
Number of Children on Subsidy Served at These Facilities	Number of Subsidy Children At These Facilities	9,354
: One Child on	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	38%
Regulated Facilities with At Least One Child on DHS Subsidy	Total Regulated Facilities	4,029
Regulated Facili	Number of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	1,517
		State

		_
Number of Children on Subsidy Served at These Facilities	Number of Subsidy Children At These Facilities	9,354
t One Child on	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	38%
Regulated Facilities with At Least One Child on DHS Subsidy	Total Regulated Facilities	4,029
Regulated Facili	Number of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	1,517
		State

Number of Children on Subsidy Served at These Facilities	Number of Subsidy Children At These Facilities	33	89	544	64	105	108	25	35	290	182	1	7	1	49	488	102	205	29	1	1037	56	141	86	827	*	2517	142	-	18	173	91	5	57	1569	*	259	9,354
t One Child on	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	20%	24%	31%	46%	47%	49%	79%	20%	39%	42%	%0	20%	%0	32%	48%	43%	%95	38%	%0	49%	36%	40%	45%	45%	18%	32%	37%	%0	27%	37%	44%	17%	33%	34%	25%	42%	38%
Regulated Facilities with At Least One Child on DHS Subsidy	Total Regulated Facilities	16	70	298	28	36	49	14	16	149	84	2	5	1	37	203	23	57	40	1	319	25	81	29	380	11	1009	78	3	22	82	41	9	36	682	4	92	4,029
Regulated Facili	Number of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	80	17	91	13	17	24	4	8	58	35		1		12	97	10	32	15		155	6	32	13	171	2	327	29	-	9	30	18	1	12	230	1	39	1,517
Table 2		Baker	Benton	Clackamas	Clatsop	Columbia	Coos	Crook	Curry	Deschutes	Douglas	Gilliam	Grant	Harney	Hood River	Jackson	Jefferson	Josephine	Klamath	Lake	Lane	Lincoln	Linn	Malheur	Marion	Morrow	Multnomah	Polk	Sherman	Tillamook	Umatilla	Union	Wallowa	Wasco	Washington	Wheeler	Yamhill	Total

	Certified Centers	Centers			Certified	Certified Family			Registere	Registered Family	
Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Total Regulated Facilities	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Number of Subsidy Children	Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Total Regulated Facilities	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Number of Subsidy Children	Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Total Regulated Facilities	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Number of Subsidy Children
481	1,345	36%	4,237	391	801	49%	2,522	645	1,883	34%	2,595
	Certified Centers	Centers			Certified	Certified Family			Registere	Registered Family	
Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Total Regulated Facilities	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Number of Subsidy Children	Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Total Regulated Facilities	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Number of Subsidy Children	Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Total Regulated Facilities	Percent of Facilities with At Least One Subsidy Child	Number of Subsidy Children
	1	%0	-		1	%0	-	80	14	21%	33
10	38	26%	45	3	6	33%	14	4	23	17%	9
45 4	113	30%	358	F1 ~	۴۶ %	39%	7	38	135	33%	10/
0 80	15	53%	68	1	1	100%	*	+ ∞	20	40%	34
9	13	46%	41	3	9	20%	6	15	30	20%	58
	4 1	%			2	20%	14	ε.	∞ l	38%	11
20	57	35%	136	22	4	50%	11	16	49	33%	14
7	27	79%	53	9	8	75%	63	22	49	45%	99
	1	%0	-		1	%0	-	-		1	
	2	%0			1	%0		1	2	20%	7
		3 %				1 000					. 7
18	51	35%	86	27	36	75%	30	52	116	36% 45%	1189
2	6	22%	36	2	2	100%	39	9	12	20%	27
16	32	20%	147	9	7	%98	21	10	18	%95	37
9	17	35%	27	2	2	100%	6	7	21	33%	31
. 63	122	%1%	594	- 41	- 72	27%	245	- 23	125	42%	198
3 8	11	27%	35	2	4	20%	*	4	10	40%	18
9	24	25%	36	4	8	20%	28	22	49	45%	77
3	10	30%	17	3	4	75%	19	7	15	47%	20
39	112	35%	199	20	9/	%99	305	82	192	43%	323
2	∞ 5	25%	* 0	, 6	' '	1 200	1 0			- 200	1 7
135	37/	4T%	1362	80	107	39%	641	112	4/5	24%	514
,	-	%	5 '		2	%0	3	-	5 '	2	2 .
2	∞	25%	6		2	%0		4	12	33%	6
4	21	19%	34	5	12	42%	38	21	49	43%	101
2	7 8	29%	13	2	3	%29	13	14	31	45%	65
2	6	22%	*	3	5	%09	17		22	32%	37
29	214	31%	778	71	169	45%	412	92	299	31%	379
, 6	_د ز	%6	- 5	, ,	- 6	· òc	- 7.77	1,	1 1	100%	* <
9 181	1345	35%	b3 A 237	301	32	%0¢	152	14	1 883	34%	7 505
401	T,540	26/0	4,237	TAC	TOO	49.0	776'7	040	T,003	2470	CCC'7

PDG Workbook 3 Early Learning Availability and Quality

Section IV Providers with Waitlists and Numbers of Children on Waitlists by Age
Source: Preschool Development Grant Director and Owner-Provider Surveys

Age: N/A
Most recent year available: 2019
Race/ethnicity categories N/A

available:

Geographic region/level

Rationale for inclusion:

available:

State, County

Domain: Quality and Availability of Services

Estimates the percentage of child care programs in your region that reported having a waiting list for enrolling new children and the numbers of children on the waitlist who are 1. Infants and toddlers (0-2) and/or 2. Preschool Aged (3-5). Higher estimates suggest lower overall availability of child care services in

your region.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile

Calculation:

Metric calculation numerator: Number of facilities with waitlists

Metric calculation denominator: Total facilities represented in the survey

Definition of Terms: Data Source Information:

Data are taken from the PDG Director and Owner-Provder Surveys that were made available to all indivduals working in regulated early chidhood education and care facilities in early 2019. This is a convenience sample and as such represents only those individuals who chose to respond to the survey. Directors and Owner-Providers were asked to indicate whether their program had a waitlist and if so, how many children aged 0-2 years and how many children aged 3-5 years were on the waitlist.

Center Based Care refers to registered centers, presechools, and school-based care.

Home-Based Care refers to registered and certified family care.

Data Suppression:

Data is being provided with the caveat that cells with small sample sizes will be suppressed and not made publicly available. Data with fewer than 2 cases available will be suppressed.

Early Learning Availability and Quality Providers with Waitlists and Numbers of Children on Waitlists by Age

			Average for All	Facility Types	
Table 1	Have a	waitlist	Number of children aged 0-2 on waitlist	Number of children aged 3- 5 on waitlist	Total Facilities
	N	%	M	М	Responding
State	267	77%	12	24	346

I

	Have a waitlist		ge for All Facility Type: Number of children	Number of children aged 3-	Total	
Table 2	11470 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aged 0-2 on waitlist	5 on waitlist	Facilities	
	N	%	M	M	Responding	
Baker	1	33%	0	10	3	
Benton	6	86%	63	56	7	
Clackamas	15	75%	5	5	20	
Clatsop	3	75%	9	15	4	
Columbia	3	100%	3	10	3	
Coos	4	100%	53	20	4	
Crook	0	0%	0		2	
Curry	*	*	*	*	1	
Deschutes	8	47%	22	8	17	
Douglas	3	60%	2	1	5	
Gilliam	*	*	*	*	1	
Grant	-	-	-	-	0	
Harney	S	S	S	S	1	
Hood River	3	100%	7	3	3	
Jackson	22	79%	9	5	28	
Jefferson	2	100%	14	80	2	
Josephine	3	60%	26	7	5	
Klamath	*	*	*	*	1	
Lake	-	-	-	-	0	
Lane	28	68%	7	15	41	
Lincoln	4	80%	8	1	5	
Linn	8	73%	9	14	11	
Malheur	4	80%	10	15	5	
Marion	26	72%	8	11	36	
Morrow	*	*	*	*	1	
Multnomah	56	90%	14	41	62	
Polk	11	100%	4	6	11	
Sherman	-	-	-	-	0	
Tillamook	4	100%	8	20	4	
Umatilla	6	67%	4	3	9	
Union	2	100%	3	32	2	
Wallowa	*	*	*	*	1	
Wasco	4	100%	34	28	4	
Washington	23	72%	6	36	32	
Wheeler	-	-	-	-	0	
Yamhill	14	93%	33	106	15	
Totalb	263	76%	14	22	346	

Data Source: Preschool Development Grant Director and Owner-Provider Surveys, 2019

Table notes.

^{*}Numbers below 5 are suppressed

⁻None reported

a Total of center- and home-based facilities may differ from total number of facilities repsonding because some facilities could not be classified and were not included in these analyses.

b Totals might differ from state totals due to rounding and data supression.

			Total Home-	Based	Responding	155
	ed Care	Number of	children aged 3-5	on waitlist	Σ	2
	Home-Based Care	Number of	children aged 0-2 children aged 3-5	on waitlist	M	5
			Have a waitlist		%	74%
tatewide			Have a		Z	174 114
Facility Type Statewide	Center-Based Care		Total Center-	Based	Responding	174
		Number of	children aged 3-5	on waitlist	Δ	26
		Center-B	children aged 0-2 children aged 3-5	on waitlist	Σ	19
			Have a waitlist		%	78%
			Have a		Z	136

PDG Workbook 3 Early Learning Availability and Quality

Section V Providers Supplying Sick Care, Extended Hours, and Flexibility to Drop Off Early or Pick Up Late

Source: Preschool Development Grant Director and Owner-Provider Surveys

Age: NA
Most recent year available: 2019
Race/ethnicity categories NA

available:

Geographic region/level

available:

State, County

Domain: Quality and Availability of Services

Rationale for inclusion: Estimates the percentage of child care programs in your region that reported providing care during non-traditional hours (sick care, extended care, and felexibility with drop off and pick up). Lower estimates

indicate that families in your community who work non-traditional hours may have fewer options for child

care.

Risk/Reach Level Quartile

Calculation:

Metric calculation numerator: Number of facilities providing sick care, extended hours, or flexibility in

drop off or pick up

Metric calculation denominator: Total facilities represented in the survey

Definition of Terms: Data Source Information:

Data are taken from the PDG Director and Owner-Provder Surveys that were made available to all indivduals working in regulated early chidhood education and care facilities in early 2019. This is a convenience sample and as such represents only those individuals who chose to respond to the survey. Directors and Owner-Providers were asked to indicate whether their program provided each of three kinds

of care: sick care, extended care and flexibility to drop off early or pick up late as needed.

Center Based Care refers to registered centers, presechools, and school-based care.

Home-Based Care refers to registered and certified family care.

Data Suppression:

Data is being provided with the caveat that cells with small sample sizes will be suppressed and not made publicly available. Data with fewer than 2 cases available will be suppressed.

Early Learning Availability and Quality Sick, Extended, and Flexible Care

oron, externa	cu, and ric	ubic care					
	Average for All Facility Types						
Table 1	ble 1 Offer Sick Care Offer Extended Care O		Offer Flexible	Total Facilities			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Responding
State	28	7%	144	36%	169	43%	397

Average for All Facility Types by County							
Table 2	Offer Si	ck Care	Offer Exte	nded Care	Offer Flexible	e Drop Off/Pick Up	Facilities
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Responding
Baker	0	0%	2	67%	2	67%	3
Benton	0	0%	1	14%	3	43%	7
Clackamas	2	9%	6	27%	6	27%	22
Clatsop	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4
Columbia	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	3
Coos	0	0%	4	100%	3	75%	4
Crook	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2
Curry	*	*	*	*	*	*	0
Deschutes	0	0%	5	26%	4	21%	19
Douglas	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	5
Gilliam	*	*	*	*	*	*	1
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Harney	*	*	*	*	*	*	1
Hood River	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%	3
Jackson	0	0%	14	44%	22	69%	32
Jefferson	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2
Josephine	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	5
Klamath	*	*	*	*	*	*	1
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Lane	3	7%	19	43%	24	55%	44
Lincoln	1	17%	4	67%	4	67%	6
Linn	2	18%	5	46%	7	64%	11
Malheur	1	20%	1	20%	2	40%	5
Marion	6	14%	11	26%	19	44%	43
Morrow	*	*	*	*	*	*	1
Multnomah	2	3%	25	35%	23	32%	72
Polk	1	7%	8	53%	4	27%	15
Sherman	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Tillamook	0	0%	2	50%	2	50%	4
Umatilla	3	23%	7	54%	7	54%	13
Union	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2
Wallowa	*	*	*	*	*	*	1
Wasco	1	20%	3	60%	2	40%	5
Washington	5	12%	16	39%	20	49%	41
Wheeler	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Yamhill	0	0%	3	15%	5	25%	20
Totalb	28	7%	143	36%	168	42%	397

 ${\it Data \ source: Preschool \ Development \ Grant \ Director \ and \ Owner-Provider \ Surveys, \ 2019} \ {\it Table \ notes} \ .$

^{*}Numbers below 5 are suppressed

⁻None reported

a Total of center- and home-based facilities may differ from total number of facilities repsonding because some facilities could not be classified and were not included in these analyses.

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathsf{b}}$ Totals might differ from state totals due to rounding and data supression.

		Total Home-	Based	Responding	185
		٥	%	63%	
	ed Care	Offer Flexible	Drop Off	Z	116
	Home-Based Care	tended	Care	%	49%
	Ι.	Offer Extended	Ca	Z	91
Je Je		Offer Sick Care		%	%6
Statewic				Z	193 16
Facility Type Statewide		Total Center-	Based	Responding	193
			/Pick Up	%	27%
	ed Care		Drop Off/Pick Up	% N	
	enter-Based Care	Offer Flexible		% N %	
	Center-Based Care		Care Drop Off/Pick Up	% N % N	52 2
	Center-Based Care	Offer Flexible	Care	% N % N %	25% 52 2.

PDG Workbook 3 Early Learning Availability and Quality
Section VI Facilities with a Spark Rating of 3 or Higher
Source: Oregon State University, Structural Indicators

Age: N/A
Most recent year available: 2018
Race/ethnicity categories NA

available:

Geographic region/level

available: Domain:

ivailable:

Rationale for inclusion:

Risk/Reach Level Quartile Calculation:

State, County

Quality & Availability of Services - Child Care/PreK Quality

Estimates the percentage of child care programs in your region that are engaged in the SPARK quality rating system and have achieved a rating of "3" or higher. A SPARK rating of 3 indicates the program meets essential elements of quality for child care. Higher estimates indicate that there may be more high quality child care options in your region, at least relative to the overall level of child care available.

Metric calculation numerator: # facilitites with a SPARK rating of 3 or higher

Metric calculation denominator: # total facilities

Reach level calculation: L=0%-18.75%, ML=18.76%-25.00%, MH=25.01%-33.00, H=33.01 and above

Mean, Standard Deviation: Mean= 30.03%, SD=20.25%

Definition of Terms:

Counties have been categorized as High (H), H-M (High Medium), L-M (Low Medium) and L (Low) relative to other Oregon counties. Counties labeled "L" (Low) are in the bottom 25% of Oregon counties. Counties labeled "LM" fall in the Low-Medium range, or the 26th-50% percentile. Counties labeled "HM" were categorized in the "High-Medium" group and fall between the 51st-75th% percentile. Counties that are higher than 75% of other Oregon counties were categorized as "H" (High)

Data Source Information:

Structural Indicators of Quality are measured for all regulated child care and education programs annually. Facility-level data is collected by Office of Child Care through the renewal process for all regulated facilities including Certified Centers, Certified Family homes, and Registered Family homes. Individual level data is provided by Oregon Registry Online and is merged in based on the individuals who were at a facility at the license renewal date. Therefore, data is current as of the licensing date of each facility during 2018. All individual level data is aggregated at the facility level, and findings are reported at the state, county, and Early Learning Hub levels.

Starting in 2012, the specific structural indicators tracked were aligned with the QRIS/Spark standards. In most cases, the indicators vary by type of care with different indicators for centers than for family homes. Positions included in Structural Indicators vary by license type and include: Director, Teacher (includes both Head Teachers and Teachers), and Aide 2 at Centers; Provider and Assistant 2 in Certified Family homes; and Providers in Registered Family Homes.

**In second version on 09/17/2019, added separate columns for N and Total (rather than "n of n") Information Specific to each Indicator: (also included on indicator tab)

SI Accreditation:

1Accreditiation information is verified on the accrediting agency's website and then matched to Oregon's early care and education facilities in the Structural Indicators dataset.

2Accrediting agencies that have met Oregon Standards include: National Association for the Education of Young Children, Association for Christian School International, National Afterschool Association, National Accreditation Commission for Early Care and Education Programs, and the National Association for Family Child Care.

3Information available publicly, so no suppression needed.

SI Step:

aTeachers includes individuals in the head teacher and teacher positions. bHome-based providers includes Certified Family providers and Registered Family providers --- indicates no facilities in this type of care in this county

SI Degree:

aTeachers includes individuals in the head teacher and teacher positions.

bHome-based providers includes Certified Family providers and Registered Family providers cDegree includes associate's degree, bachelor's degree, master's degree, or PhD/EdD.
--- indicates no facilities in this type of care in this county

SI Retention:

aTeachers includes individuals in the head teacher and teacher positions.

bHome-based facilities include Certified Family and Registered Family homes
cln contrast to other SI categories for which the N is at the facility level, the N in the Center Retention indicator is at the individual level (number of teachers)

SI Spark:

1Spark data provided by Teaching Research Institute for inclusion in the OSU Structural Indicators dataset. 2Spark rating as of December 2018.

Data suppression not required; however, caution should be taken with interpretations of cells with small sample sizes.

Data Suppression:

Data is being provided with the caveat that cells with small sample sizes will be suppressed and not made publicly available. The Structural Indicator Project uses a cutoff of at least five facilities in a cell in order to report data. Cells marked in red should not be reported publicly. [Suppression not needed for Accreditation and Spark data]

Early Learning Availability and Quality Facilities with a Spark Rating of 3 or Higher

Table 1	Facilities with a Spark Rating of 3 or Higher						
	Percent of Facilities with 3 or higher	Number of Facilities with 3 or higher	Total Number of Facilities	Estimated Reach Level			
Baker	10%	2	20	L			
Benton	21%	13	62	ML			
Clackamas	12%	35	288	L			
Clatsop	22%	5	23	ML			
Columbia	27%	9	33	MH			
Coos	19%	8	43	ML			
Crook	38%	5	13	Н			
Curry	18%	2	11	L			
Deschutes	25%	38	154	ML			
Douglas	22%	16	72	ML			
Gilliam	100%	1	1	Н			
Grant	50%	2	4	Н			
Harney	50%	1	2	Н			
Hood River	22%	8	36	ML			
Jackson	26%	51	193	MH			
Jefferson	33%	7	21	МН			
Josephine	31%	18	58	MH			
Klamath	31%	11	35	MH			
Lake	100%	1	1	Н			
Lane	26%	77	295	MH			
Lincoln	35%	8	23	Н			
Linn	14%	11	80	L			
Malheur	44%	11	25	Н			
Marion	14%	49	340	L			
Morrow	27%	3	11	MH			
Multnomah	15%	135	908	L			
Polk	25%	18	73	ML			
Sherman	33%	1	3	MH			
Tillamook	21%	4	19	ML			
Umatilla	21%	16	76	ML			
Union	20%	8	41	ML			
Wallowa	50%	3	6	Н			
Wasco	17%	6	35	L			
Washington	11%	65	611	L			
Wheeler	33%	1	3	МН			
Yamhill	18%	16	87	L			
Total	18%	665	3706				

Early Learning Availability and Quality Facilities with a Spark Rating of 3 or Higher by Type of Care

	park Rating of 3 or Higher				
	All				
			Total		
			Facilitie		
	%	N	S		
State	18%	665	3706		

By Type of Care								
Centers Certified Family			Registered Family					
%	N	Total Facilities	%	N	Total Facilities	%	N	Total Facilities
32%	378	1195	25%	165	673	7%	122	1838

	park Rat	ting of 3	or Highe		
Table 2	All				
			Total Facilitie		
	%	N	S		
Baker	10%	2	20		
Benton	21%	13	62		
Clackamas	12%	35	288		
Clatsop	22%	5	23		
Columbia	27%	9	33		
Coos	19%	8	43		
Crook	38%	5	13		
Curry	18%	2	11		
Deschutes	25%	38	154		
Douglas	22%	16	72		
Gilliam	100%	1	1		
Grant	50%	2	4		
Harney	50%	1	2		
Hood River	22%	8	36		
Jackson	26%	51	193		
Jefferson	33%	7	21		
Josephine	31%	18	58		
Klamath	31%	11	35		
Lake	100%	1	1		
Lane	26%	77	295		
Lincoln	35%	8	23		
Linn	14%	11	80		
Malheur	44%	11	25		
Marion	14%	49	340		
Morrow	27%	3	11		
Multnomah	15%	135	908		
Polk	25%	18	73		
Sherman	33%	1	3		
Tillamook	21%	4	19		
Umatilla	21%	16	76		
Union	20%	8	41		
Wallowa	50%	3	6		
Wasco	17%	6	35		
Washington	11%	65	611		
Wheeler	33%	1	3		
Yamhill	18%	16	87		
Total	18%	665	3706		

	By Type of Care								
	Cente	ers	С	ertified	Family	Registered Family			
%	N	Total Facilities	%	N	Total Facilities	%	N	Total Facilities	
100%	1	1	0%	0	1	6%	1	18	
29%	10	34	0%	0	8	15%	3	20	
16%	17	104	22%	10	46	6%	8	138	
36%	4	11	0%	0	1	9%	1	11	
46%	6	13	0%	0	1	16%	3	19	
60%	6	10	20%	1	5	4%	1	28	
75%	3	4	0%	0	1	25%	2	8	
40%	2	5	0%	0	2	0%	0	4	
40%	22	55	27%	11	41	9%	5	58	
45%	9	20	57%	4	7	7%	3	45	
4370	0	[no facilities]	100%	1	1		0	[no facilities]	
100%	2	2	0%	0	1	0%	0	1	
100%	1	1		0	[no facilities]	0%	0	1	
40%	6	15	18%	2	11	0%	0	10	
49%	24	49	36%	12	33	14%	15	111	
67%	6	9	30/0	0	[no facilities]	8%	1	12	
39%	13	33	43%	3	7	11%	2	18	
50%	7	14	100%	2	2	11%	2	19	
100%	1	1		0	[no facilities]		0	[no facilities]	
41%	46	111	39%	23	59	6%	8	125	
50%	4	8	25%	1	4	27%	3	11	
23%	5	22	14%	1	7	10%	5	51	
50%	4	8	67%	2	3	36%	5	14	
19%	17	90	33%	22	67	5%	10	183	
38%	3	8		0	[no facilities]	0%	0	3	
27%	76	284	20%	34	170	6%	25	454	
55%	11	20	23%	3	13	10%	4	40	
100%	1	1	0%	0	2		0	[no facilities]	
57%	4	7		0	[no facilities]	0%	0	12	
60%	12	20	30%	3	10	2%	1	46	
57%	4	7	0%	0	3	13%	4	31	
100%	3	3	0%	0	2	0%	0	1	
63%	5	8	20%	1	5	0%	0	22	
19%	38	195	16%	22	135	2%	5	281	
50%	1	2		0	[no facilities]	0%	0	1	
20%	4	20	28%	7	25	12%	5	42	
32%	378	1195	25%	165	673	7%	122	1838	
3270	3/0	1132	2570	TOO	0/3	/ 70	TZZ	1020	

Data Source: 2018 Structural Indicators, Oregon State University

Date Created: 09/17/2019

Table Specific Notes:

1Spark data provided by Teaching Research Institute for inclusion in the OSU Structural Indicators dataset. 2Spark rating as of December 2018.

Data suppression not required; however, caution should be taken for cells with small sample sizes.